



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20585

AUG 21 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR: R. F. Burnett, Director
Division of Safeguards, NMSS

FROM: W. B. Brown, Chief
Fuel Facility Safeguards
Licensing Branch
Division of Safeguards, NMSS

SUBJECT: STATEMENT OF WORK FOR CONSEQUENCES OF SABOTAGE AT
NONPOWER REACTORS

The attached memorandum from you to R. S. Brown, Assistant to the Director and Chief, Program Support Branch, NMSS has been prepared for your signature in order that we may proceed with further study of potential consequences of sabotage at nonpower reactors. This further study is based on the following: the attached memorandum to the Commissioners from the Director, Office of Policy Evaluation, dated January 17, 1984; concerns by the ACRS about manipulation of reactor control systems; and concerns by a public interest group about the effects of incendiary devices on reactor components.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "W. B. Brown".

W. B. Brown, Chief
Fuel Facility Safeguards
Licensing Branch
Division of Safeguards, NMSS

Attachments:
(2) As stated

8911140103 891101
PDR FOIA
KUPERMAB9-451 PDR

B/5

4/22/84

WILL BROWN

I BELIEVE THIS IS
WHAT WE NEEDED SO THE
UPR RES. EFFORT COULD
START. NOTE NRA WANTS
TO REVIEW THE SCENARIOS SELECTED,
IS THIS A PROBLEM?

LET'S GET THE RESUME
GOING - BB. 4/22/84

I TOLD BURNETT I THOUGHT WE SHOULD
HAVE WITHHE AVAILABLE TO REVIEW OUR S.O.W.
LAST TIME. ALSO, BURNETT WANTS NRA TO
CONCUR ON THE S.O.W. SINCE THEY OFFER TO
REVIEW & APPROVE CREDIBLE SCENARIOS AND
ANALYTICAL ASSUMPTIONS.

HE THINKS OUR ASSUMPTION IF NO CREDIT
FOR SAFETY FEATURES IS OK LIKE TENGUYK'S
2ERS HAVE THE SAME ASSUMPTION? R?

I read this as within the project, not the goal.

B/L