

Riverview Medical Center

DEPARTMENT OF DIAGNOSTIC AND THERAPEUTIC RADIOLOGY
ONE RIVERVIEW PLAZA • RED BANK, NEW JERSEY 07701 • (201) 530-2304

119



JOHN A. PARRELLA, M.D. — DIRECTOR
ROBERT W. ACKERMAN, M.D.
LEWIS J. WARSHAUER, M.D.
EDMUND W. H. KWONG, M.D.
ALBERT A. TEDESCHI, M.D.
JEFFREY D. GOULD, M.D.

DOCKET NUMBER
PETITION RULE PRM 35-9
(54FR 38239)

'89 OCT 31

CT SCAN
DIAGNOSTIC X-RAY
DIGITAL ANGIOGRAPHY
NUCLEAR MEDICINE
ULTRASOUND
RADIATION THERAPY

October 25, 1989

OFFICE OF...
DOCKETING...
BRANCH

Secretary of the Commission
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Docketing and Service Branch, Docket #PRM-35-9
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Secretary:

I am writing to express my strong support for the Petition for Rulemaking filed by the American College of Nuclear Physicians and the Society of Nuclear Medicine. I am a practicing Nuclear Medicine physician at Riverview Medical Center, Red Bank, New Jersey.

I feel forced to follow the manufacturers' instructions for kit preparation and expiration times. Here at Riverview Medical Center, we are also forced for therapeutic services to follow instructions - not only for kit preparation and expiration times but also for FDA approved indications, route of administration and activity levels.

The NRC should recognize that the FDA does allow, and often encourages, other clinical uses of approved drugs, and actively discourages the submission of physician-sponsored IND's that describe new indications for approved drugs. The package insert was never intended to prohibit physicians from deviating from it for other indications; on the contrary, such deviation is necessary for growth in developing new diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. In many cases, manufacturers will never go back to the FDA to revise a package insert to include a new indication because it is not required by the FDA and there is simply no economic incentive to do so.

Currently, the regulatory provisions in Part 35 (35.100, 35.200, 35.300 and 33.17(a)(4) do not allow practices which are legitimate and legal under FDA regulations and State medicine and pharmacy laws. These regulations therefore inappropriately interfere with the practice of medicine, which directly contradicts the NRC's Medical Policy statement against such interference.

- continued -

8011080180 B71025
PDR PRM
35-9 PDR

2510

Riverview Medical Center

DEPARTMENT OF DIAGNOSTIC AND THERAPEUTIC RADIOLOGY
ONE RIVERVIEW PLAZA • RED BANK, NEW JERSEY 07701 • (201) 530-2304

119



JOHN A. PARRELLA, M.D. — DIRECTOR
ROBERT W. ACKERMAN, M.D.
LEWIS J. WARSHAUER, M.D.
EDMUND W. H. KWONG, M.D.
ALBERT A. TEDESCHI, M.D.
JEFFREY D. GOULD, M.D.

DOCKET NUMBER
PETITION RULE PRM 35-9
(54FR38239)

CT SCAN
DIAGNOSTIC X-RAY
DIGITAL ANGIOGRAPHY
NUCLEAR MEDICINE
ULTRASOUND
RADIATION THERAPY

October 25, 1989

OFFICE OF...
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Secretary of the Commission
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Docketing and Service Branch, Docket #PRM-35-9
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Secretary:

I am writing to express my strong support for the Petition for Rulemaking filed by the American College of Nuclear Physicians and the Society of Nuclear Medicine. I am a practicing Nuclear Medicine physician at Riverview Medical Center, Red Bank, New Jersey.

I feel forced to follow the manufacturers' instructions for kit preparation and expiration times. Here at Riverview Medical Center, we are also forced for therapeutic services to follow instructions - not only for kit preparation and expiration times but also for FDA approved indications, route of administration and activity levels.

The NRC should recognize that the FDA does allow, and often encourages, other clinical uses of approved drugs, and actively discourages the submission of physician-sponsored IND's that describe new indications for approved drugs. The package insert was never intended to prohibit physicians from deviating from it for other indications; on the contrary, such deviation is necessary for growth in developing new diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. In many cases, manufacturers will never go back to the FDA to revise a package insert to include a new indication because it is not required by the FDA and there is simply no economic incentive to do so.

Currently, the regulatory provisions in Part 35 (35.100, 35.200, 35.300 and 35.17(a)(4)) do not allow practices which are legitimate and legal under FDA regulations and State medicine and pharmacy laws. These regulations therefore inappropriately interfere with the practice of medicine, which directly contradicts the NRC's Medical Policy statement against such interference.

- continued -

8911080180 891025
PDR PRM
35-9 PDR


2510

Finally, I would like to point out that highly restrictive NRC regulations will only jeopardize public health and safety by: restrictive access to appropriate Nuclear Medicine procedures; exposing patients to higher radiation absorbed doses from alternative legal, but non-optimal, studies; and exposing hospital personnel to higher radiation absorbed doses because of unwarranted, repetitive procedures. The NRC should not strive to construct proscriptive regulations to cover all aspects of medicine, nor should it attempt to regulate radiopharmaceutical use. Instead, the NRC should rely on the expertise of the FDA, State Boards of Pharmacy, State Boards of Medical Quality Assurance, the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations, radiation safety committees, institutional Q/A review procedures, and most importantly, the professional judgement of physicians and pharmacists who have been well-trained to administer and prepare these materials.

Since the NRC's primary regulatory focus appears to be based on the unsubstantiated assumption that misadministrations, particularly those involving diagnostic radiopharmaceuticals, pose a serious threat to the public health and safety, I strongly urge the NRC to pursue a comprehensive study by a reputable scientific panel, such as the National Academy of Sciences or the NCRP, to assess the radiobiological effects of misadministrations from Nuclear Medicine diagnostic and therapeutic studies. I firmly believe that the results of such a study will demonstrate that the NRC's effort to impose more and more stringent regulations are unnecessary and not cost-effective in relation to the extremely low health risks of these studies.

In closing, I strongly urge the NRC to adopt the ACNP/SNM Petition for Rulemaking as expeditiously as possible.

Sincerely,



Albert A. Tedeschi, M.D.
Staff Radiologist
Riverview Medical Center

AAT/mt