

DOCKET NUMBER 35-9  
PETITION RULE PRM  
(54 FR 38239)

106



'89 OCT 31 AIO:31

October 19, 1989 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH

Secretary of the Commission  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Docketing & Service Branch  
Docket # PRM-35-9  
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Secretary:

I am writing to express my strong support for the Petition for Rulemaking filed by the American College of Nuclear Physicians and the Society of Nuclear Medicine. I am a practicing Nuclear Medicine physician at the University of Miami/Jackson Memorial Medical Center in Miami, Florida. I am deeply concerned over the revised 10 CFR 35 regulations effective April 1987 governing the medical use of byproduct material as they significantly impact my ability to practice high-quality Nuclear Medicine/Nuclear Pharmacy and are preventing me from providing optimized care to individual patients.

Scans for Thallium tumor detection would not be available if we limited Thallium to detect heart disease. Also, dose limits would force elderly patients to be in pain to be unable to complete scans due to the time needed to scan at radiation doses desired for young patients.

The NRC should recognize that the FDA does allow, and often encourages, other clinical uses of approved drugs, and actively discourages the submission of physician-sponsored IND's that describe new indications for approved drugs. The package insert was never intended to prohibit physicians from deviating from it for other indications; on the contrary, such deviation is necessary for growth in developing new diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. In many cases, manufacturers will never go back to the FDA to revise a package insert to include a new indication because it is not required by the FDA and there is simply no economic incentive to do so.

Currently, the regulatory provisions in Part 35 (35.100, 35.200, 35.300 and 33.17(a) (4) do not allow practices which

8911080081 891019  
PDR PRM  
35-9 PDR

Department of Radiology (D-57)  
Division of Nuclear Medicine  
P.O. Box 016960  
Location: Jackson Memorial Hospital  
Miami, Florida 33101

DSID

Secretary of the Commission

October 22, 1989

Page 2


are legitimate and legal under FDA regulations and State medicine and pharmacy laws. These regulations therefore inappropriately interfere with the practice of medicine, which directly contradicts the NRC's Medical Policy statement against such interference.

Finally, I would like to point out that highly restrictive NRC regulations will only jeopardize public health and safety by; restricting access to appropriate Nuclear Medicine procedures; exposing patients to higher radiation absorbed doses from alternative legal, but non-optimal studies; and exposing hospital personnel to higher radiation absorbed doses because of unwarranted, repetitive procedures. The NRC should not strive to construct proscriptive regulations to cover all aspects of medicine, nor should it attempt to regulate radiopharmaceutical use. Instead, the NRC should rely on the expertise of the FDA, State Boards of Pharmacy, State Boards of Medical Quality Assurance, the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations, radiation safety committees, institutional Quality Assurance review procedures, and most importantly, the professional judgement of physicians and pharmacists who have been well-trained to administer and prepare these materials.

Since the NRC's primary regulatory focus appears to be based on the unsubstantiated assumption that misadministrations, particularly those involving diagnostic radiopharmaceuticals, pose a serious threat to the public health and safety, I strongly urge the NRC to pursue a comprehensive study by a reputable scientific panel, such as the National Academy of Sciences or the NCRP, to assess the radiobiological effects of misadministration from Nuclear Medicine diagnostic and therapeutic studies. I firmly believe that the results of such a study will demonstrate that the NRC's efforts to impose more and stringent regulations are unnecessary and not cost-effective in relation to the extremely low health risks of these studies.

In closing, I strongly urge the NRC to adopt the ACNP/SNM Petition for Rulemaking as expeditiously as possible.

Sincerely,

  
William I. Ganz, M.D.  
Assistant Professor of Radiology  
UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI/JACKSON MEMORIAL  
MEDICAL CENTER