APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station Sacramento Municipal Utility District 14440 Twin Cities Road Herald, California 95638-9799 Docket No. 50-312 License No. DPR-54

During an NRC inspection conducted on July 22 through September 8, 1989 a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1989), the violation is listed below:

A. Technical Specification 6.8.1 states, in part:

"Written procedures shall be established, implemented and maintained covering. . . the applicable procedures recommended in Appendix "A" of Safety Guide 33, November 1972."

Safety Guide 33, November 1972, Appendix A states, in part that Administrative Procedures shall be developed to assure procedure adherence and temporary change methods when procedures can not be followed.

Procedure, RSAP-1308, "Potential Deviation from Quality", Section 5.3.4, states:

"The originator shall deliver the Potential Deviation from Quality (PDQ) report to the Operations Technical Advisor (OTA) within four (4) hours from the time of identification."

Contrary to the above, on August 17, 1989, a PDQ reporting that the "B" Bruce GM Emergency Diesel Generator failed a surveillance test due to an "unexpected malfunction of plant equipment" was not written and delivered to the OTA within 4 hours of identification.

This is a repeat Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, the Sacramento Municipal Utility District is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region V, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, Anthony D'Angelo, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation if admitted, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why

PDR ADOCK 05000312

the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Consideration may be given to extending the response time for good cause shown.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

A. E. Chaffee, Deputy Director Division of Reactor Safety and Projects

Dated at Walnut Creek, California this 10 day of Odda 1989.