ORIGINAL

## OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Agency:

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Title:

Combustion Engineering, Inc., Hematite Fuel Fabrication Facility License No. SNM-33

Docket No.

70-36-MLA

LOCATION:

"illsboro, Missouri

DATE

Wednesday, October 25, 1989 PAGES: 38 - 55

N RILEY & ASSOCIATES, LTD.

1612 K St. N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 293-3950

|   | 1  | UNITED STATES OF                   | FAMERICA                    |
|---|----|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|   | 2  | NUCLEAR REGULATORY                 | COMMISSION                  |
|   | 3  |                                    | · -x                        |
|   | 4  | In the matter of:                  |                             |
|   | 5  | COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC.,      |                             |
|   | 6  | Hematite Fuel Fabrication          | : Docket No. 70-36-MLA      |
|   | 7  | Facility License No. SNM-33        | •                           |
|   | 8  |                                    | x                           |
|   | 9  |                                    | Wednesday, October 25, 1989 |
|   | 10 |                                    | Viking Room                 |
|   | 11 |                                    | Student Center              |
| ) | 12 |                                    | Jefferson College           |
|   | 13 |                                    | Hillsboro, Missouri         |
|   | 14 | The above-entitled matter          | came on for pre-hearing     |
|   | 15 | conference, pursuant to notice, at | 10:18 o'clock, a.m.         |
|   | 16 | BEFORE:                            |                             |
|   | 17 | CHARLES BECHHOEFER, ADMI           | INISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE       |
|   | 18 |                                    |                             |
|   | 19 | ALSO PRESENT:                      |                             |
|   | 20 |                                    |                             |
|   | 21 | DR. JERRY KLINE, ADMINIST          | TRATIVE LAW JUDGE '         |
|   | 22 | U.S. Nuclear Regulatory (          | Commission                  |
|   | 23 |                                    |                             |
|   | 24 |                                    |                             |
|   | 25 |                                    |                             |

| 1  | APPEARANCES:                    |
|----|---------------------------------|
| 2  |                                 |
| 3  | On behalf of the Applicant:     |
| 4  |                                 |
| 5  | NEWMAN AND HOLTZINGER           |
| 6  | BY: MICHAEL A. BAUSER, ESQUIRE  |
| 7  | MAURICE AXELRAD, ESQUIRE        |
| 8  | 1615 L Street, N.W.             |
| 9  | Washington, D.C. 20036          |
| 10 |                                 |
| 11 | On behalf of the Intervenors:   |
| 12 |                                 |
| 13 | STATE SENATOR JEREMIAH W. NIXON |
| 14 | MOLLY MOORE, STAFF ASSISTANT    |
| 15 | Room 429                        |
| 16 | State Capitol                   |
| 17 | Jefferson City, Missouri 65101  |
| 18 |                                 |
| 19 | MARTHA DODSON                   |
| 20 | 412 Mississippi Avenue          |
| 21 | Crystal City, Missouri 63019    |
| 22 |                                 |
| 23 |                                 |
| 24 |                                 |
| 25 |                                 |

## PROCEEDINGS

| 2  | [%0:18 a.m.]   |
|----|--|
| 3  | JUDGE BECHHOEFER: Good morning, ladies and                     |
| 4  | gentlemen. We're starting a little late this morning. At my    |
| 5  | encouragement, the parties have been meeting to try to settle  |
| 6  | their differences and we've been delayed for that reason. Just |
| 7  | to have the formal record reflect who's participating, could   |
| 8  | the well first, my name is Charles Bechhoefer, and I'm the     |
| 9  | presiding officer.   |
| 10 | At my left is Dr. Jerry Kline, who's an environmental          |
| 11 | scientist and is assisting me with the issues in this case.    |
| 12 | Could the parties now identify themselves. Mr. Bauser or       |
| 13 | Axelrad or both?   |
| 14 | MR. BAUSER: Good morning Judge Bechhoefer, Judge               |
| 15 | Kline. My name is Michael Bauser. I'm with the Washington,     |
| 16 | D.C. law firm of Newman and Holtzing r, and with me this       |
| 17 | morning is Mr. Maurice Axelrad, also of that firm.             |
| 18 | JUDGE BECHHOEFER: Senator Nixon?                               |
| 19 | MR. NIXON: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is Jay            |
| 20 | Nixon, state senator representing this district and also an    |
| 21 | individual and party in this matter; and reside in Hillsboro,  |
| 22 | Missouri. This is my staff assistant, Molly Moore, who's here  |
| 23 | assisting me this morning.                                     |
| 24 | JUDGE BECHHOEFER: Ms. Dodson?                                  |

MS. DODSON: I'm Martha Dodson from Crystal City.

JUDGE BECHHOEFER: I would like to inquire for the record has anyone seen Mrs. Sisk, who's also an intervenor?

MR. BAUSER: Judge Bechhoefer, we have not seen Mrs. Sisk. We have been attempting to reach her since the beginning of last week. The last time I called, I left a message concerning the meeting that we held yesterday to attempt settlement among the parties. I left that message on her answering machine, but as I say, we have not encountered her in the last week or more.

MR. NIXON: For the record, Your Honor, I have -this Jay Nixon again -- I have not talked orally with her. She
did receive, or at least was sent from my office, a letter
indicating the time and the meeting place, of both last night's
meeting as well as today's, as well as other correspondence
from me.

I have received nothing back from her and no phone calls or other correspondence that I'm aware of. I have no knowledge as to here whereabouts now.

JUDGE BECHHOEFER: Well, I might say I have tried to reach her as well, and have not been able to do so. Mrs. Sisk did not submit any statements of issues or anything like that. She presumably received notice that she should do that. So we will have to proceed without her. Is there a spokesman for what went on this morning

MR. AXELRAD: Judge Bechhoefer, as you are aware, we

have spent a good part of last evening and this morning conferring with Senator Nixon and Mrs. Dodson. We did so in response to your encouragement and your order that the parties attempt to sell the issues among them, consistent with NRC policy encouraging the settlement of issues among parties.

The discussions were very successful. The parties discussed the matters that were of concern to Senator Nixon and to Mrs. Dodson. We have been able to reach agreement on a joint stipulation, and I will hand that up to you and to the Court Reporter, and the stipulation recites several agreements that have been entered among the parties, and provides they will become effective upon the presiding officer's approval of the withdrawal of the petition of Senator Nixon and Mrs. Dodson and upon the termination of these proceedings.

At this point, I will hand that up, but the -- I'm sure Senator Nixon and Mrs. Dodson will want to make a statement.

[Joint stipulation document handed to the ALJ.]

MR. AXELRAD: Your Honor, I'd like to make one additional very brief statement, because I'd like to make sure that this is understood also. A number of the matters addressed in the joint stipulation are matters which, in the view of Combust - Pering, are not matters which would necessarily of the part of this hearing if the hearing were . Do not matter which would necessarily of the stipulation are matters which would necessarily of the stipulation are not matters which were not necessarily of the stipulation are not necessarily of the stipulation are not necessarily of the stipulation are necessarily of the stipulation are

What we have tried to do in the stipulation is to address the real concerns of Senator Nixon and Mrs. Dodson, and therefore we've been willing in the spirit of accommodation, to deal with those matters in the stipulation. That's why those matters are in there.

MR. NIXON: If I may, Your Honor. I would further mirror counsel's statement along those areas. The agreement is a much broader agreement than the mere specific legal issues addressed concerning the additional pellet line application in this situation, and I both thank and applaud both counsel as well as those members who have represented Combustion Engineering to myself and Mrs. Dodson in this matter, for being willing to broaden their discussions and broaden the stipulation to cover issues outside the rather narrow scope of the framework of the legal issues involved in this matter.

[Pause.]

JUDGE BECHHOEFER: I have two questions about two of the terms. I don't have any problems with them, but actually both of them relate to Condition 6. First, I wondered whether the parties had any agreement on who or what the off-site response organizations will be.

MR. NIXON: Our discussions included local emergency police, sheriff, fire authorities.

JUDGE BECHHOEFER: I see, but you do not intend to designate any here, I take it?

| 1  | MR. NIXON: It was not our intent to designate, for              |
|----|---|
| 2  | fear of limitation or ordering in a rather what could           |
| 3  | potentially assuming problems which we hope never occur, be a   |
| 4  | rather important and quick time for other action and we did not |
| 5  | want to hold them to that, but merely indicate that those off-  |
| 6  | site, local was the key for us, emergency folks should be       |
| 7  | identified.   |
| 8  | I'm not adverse to including it. I don't know what              |
| 9  | the position of the others are. But it was discussed and then   |
| 10 | left in that open fashion. I don't know if Mr. Axelrad, do      |
| 11 | you have anything you would like to add to that?                |
| 12 | JUDGE BECHHOEFER: Yes. My other question about the              |
| 13 | same provision is, is that effective upon approval of this      |
| 14 | stipulation, rather than upon the effectiveness of the NRC      |
| 15 | regulations, which cover that in more detail, which is next     |
| 16 | April I believe.  |
| 17 | MR. AXELPAD: This is effective upon the approval of             |
| 18 | the stipulation.  |
| 19 | JUDGE BECHHOEFER: So it's unlike decommissioning,               |
| 20 | one which is effective when the Commission's rules go into      |
| 21 | effect.   |
| 22 | MR. AXELRAD: Yes.   |
| 23 | JUDGE BECHHOEFER: Okay.   |
| 24 | MR. NIXON: Yes. It's our understanding, Your Honor              |

that this would be upon approval of the stipulation, and then

withdrawal of petitions, that this would be an immediate -JUDGE BECHHOEFER: Right, right.

MR. NIXON: And I don't mean to say by that that we spent the last two hours or four hours last night with Combustion Engineering consistently fighting that particular provision. We have had some difficulty with probably too many lawyers in the room trying to come up with exact language we could all agree to, but --

JUDGE BECHHOEFER: No. I was asking the question for clarification rather than for anything else. Judge Kline would like to ask a question.

JUDGE KLINE: Yes. I have one question on paragraph 5. The first sentence refers to an agreement by Combustion Engineering to provide an additional remote sampling site. I would just wonder if the parties could clarify what "additional remote sampling site means" and make sure that -- it seems to me vague at the moment, but --

MR. AXELRAD: Right. Well, there were two remote sampling sites which are maintained by Combustion Engineering right now. We have indicated to the NRC that we would propose to add a third one. They are reviewing that subject, including where the location of that additional sampling site would be. As soon as we get the NRC's approval of the location, we will install it.

MR NIXON: If I might further amplify and if I say

I believe in some of the things that have provided the NRC by Combustion to date, it is their intention of this additional off-site sampling site, monitoring sampling rite, to be generally in the east-northeast region from the plant, which is sort of the general area of where the prevailing winds go.

That is, the calculations and the determinations that they're attempting to make and work through is that working with the NRC, it's their intention to try to put this site at a place where the generally-prevailing winds go.

MR. AXELRAD: I might just say one thing though.

Senator Nixon used the term "off-site." This is a remote monitoring station. It's not at the plant, but it's within -- I believe within the site boundaries but you know, off in that direction. So it's not, quote "off-site." It's remote.

JUDGE KLINE: I understand that. This stipulation was not intended then to specify the location of that site now; is that right?

MR. AXELRAD: No. That is something that the NRC is going to have to approve.

JUDGE KLINE: And I understand that the parties have agreed to accept whatever RC finally approves? Is that the intent?

MR. NIXON: I don't know that anybody here is willing to agree to anything that's coing to happen tomorrow or the

1.5

next day. It is our fervent hope that the additional expertise that the NRC can provide in these areas will make sure that this remote sampling site is in an area that's the best place to put it. It's my assumption that through the work of the NRC and Combustion, it's to everyone's best interest that it be at that place and we have seen nothing, I have seen nothing, I don't think, from Ms. Podson.

But I have seen nothing to indicate that anyone, be it the NRC or Combustion, has a desire to put it any place except what would be the most valuable place generally as far as the wind direction, as far as the remote site in that area.

But we would -- I would at this point, you know, it's my assumption the NRC will work closely with them to choose that best site, but it's -- you know, if for some strange reason some other arm of the NRC decides that this remote sampling site should be in West Memphis, it will be hard for me to say I'm going to hold mute and sit quietly can that.

But I have had no -- I have no belief as we sit here right now and no fear and no misunderstanding and no intrepidation whatsoever about the NRC and Combustion being able to come up with what is both the best and the most applicable site.

JUDGE KLINE: Well, I'm just trying to assure that this language actually resolver the controversy, and I understand you're not going to make any ironclad guarantees,



but presuming good faith, the language as written does resolve the controversy.

MR. NIXON: We are excited. I am excited about the forthrightness and the willingness for Combustion to expend additional resources of theirs, to spend additional dollars for additional monitoring devices because of the procedure we're here with is one of the -- we are all happy with that.

JUDGE KLINE: Okay.

JUDGE BECHHOEFER: And I might add we would not have before us -- whether or not we even had jurisdiction to do it, we would not have before us an adequate record to pinpoint and say the best spot is here. So we would not try to do that. We would leave that to the NRC Staff, which has the resources to perform those investigations.

I believe that I could accept this, if you would wish, right now as long as the parties -- it isn't signed, but as long as the parties put on the record that they do accept it, and I could accept it, make it effective immediately, because I would also --

MR. AXELRAD: Do you think this could be made an attachment to the transcript, an exhibit, and I think each party could stipulate that they would agree to a joint stipulation.

JUDGE BECHHOEFFR: Right. Well, if they indicated on the record, and I would be prepared to accept that. I would

also have the record reflect that I would have to -- well, the coalition has asked to be dismissed or to withdraw, and I would accept that. I do accept that.

Ms. Sisk has not answered or filed issues or really participated during the last several weeks, and I would propose to dismiss her intervention for lack of participation since she has not officially joined this stipulation. If she still is a party, I would dismiss her for failure to file various matters and appear here or request an extension of some sort. I regret if it she's ill, but I think the appropriate a ...on would be to accept this and to dismiss the proceeding.

MR. NIXON: Your Honor, if I might, at some point here, in a moment or two, if I could have an opportunity to make a statement concerning the stipulation, I would appreciate that.

JUDGE BECHMOEFER: We'll do that right away.

MR. NIXON: Okay. Whenever. I don't know when that step might be.

JUDGE BECHHOEFER: Go ahead right now.

MR. NINON: Okay.

First, to reiterate, I would like to thank the NRC and the various staff members that have been especially helpful to us in this matter, both in the technical and in trying to understand many of the problems, as well as providing -- since the beginning of this process, the Nuclear Regulatory

Commission and its various staff members have travelled from Washington and Chicago and come down here, for both a public meeting which was held, as well as on-site investigations of Combustion, as well as this proceeding, as well as last night's proceeding. And for the citizens of Jefferson County that buy my internal standing as well as my Senate standing, I would like to thank you and the others who have been involved, as well as Combustion Engineering and their staff and their people, for the openness they have shown to me, and the openness and the respect and cordiality with which they have dealt with us on all of these issues.

1.8

That being said, I want to very quickly go through and make a short statement here concerning the stipulation. I feel that but for the actions of Ms. Dodson and myself and others who have been active in this area, that many of the things that are agreed to here would not have been agreed to because there wouldn't have been a forum for them.

as members of Combustion that many of the things dealt with in the stipulation are not those issues directly related to the pelletizing, and I am overjoyed and elated at their willingness to open up our discussions and negotiations into a broader arena than merely the narrow legal grounds with which we were discussing some of the pelletizing processes.

That prefatory stuff being said, I will very quickly

go through my remarks, which is, one, I think it's important for the people of this area to understand that what is happening here is, and the stipulation specifically reflects, that no more product, no more uranium -- and that's not the proper term -- no more product will be coming in, and no more waste will be going out of the plant because of what's going on here, and that Combustion Engineering's intention is to move waste away from the Hematite facility as quickly and as efficiently as possible, and it is not neither their desire nor their intent to store additional waste on-site. It is, in fact, their desire to continue to move that to licensed low-level radioactive waste facilities in other areas as quickly and as efficiently as possible.

And some statements that have been made concerning the ability to store waste there and the desire to store waste, -- there may have been some misstatements or inaccuracies in that, and I am convinced by their discussions and by their statements that they are going to continue their intention and continue their process of moving this waste off the site as efficiently as possible.

Regarding paragraph four of the stipulation, we are also excited that there will be additional financial assurances concerning decommissioning, and that Combustion Engineering, on or before July 27th, 1990, with the new NRC regulations. Will be providing greater independent assurance of the availability

of these funds should decommissioning become necessary at any time in the future.

I'm also, in paragraph five, extremely excited that the Combustion Engineering on their -- because of this process and others, they are willing to providing the additional remote sampling site, and our discussions have been indicating that that would be downwind, generally downwind. And I think that is not only useful, but important, and will add greatly to the ability of all in this area to discern whether or not there are any problems, and get an immediate response should there be any.

We then turn to paren six, which deals with, and I think speaks for loudly for itself, and is one of the key issues, and one of the key issues that we discussed in great specificity, which is that in the event of an off-site release similar or more severe than the one which occurred in August, Combustion Engineering will notify, as appropriate, the emergency response folks, and I think that is a key in this particular area, and also paren seven, dealing with the continuing regulatory requirements concerning the evaporation ponds generally behind our sastward, and their continuing afforts to work with the NRC to alleviate whatever problems may be there.

Generally, this effort made by all parties I think has reached an agreement which is to the great betterment of



the people of not only Hematite, but also of Jefferson County, and the areas, and also those folks who live in close proximity to the plant, I think are gaining dramatically under this. And I am excited and elated that all parties have worked so diligently together to make sure that the citizens near that plant, as well as those of the Jefferson County, will be receiving additional information, additional financial security, and additional sampling efforts that can be of great benefit to all of us.

Also, the final point is under paren five, where it deals with the additional remote sampling site, Combustion Engineering is also agreeing to perform radiation surveys including, as appropriate, off-site surveys, which I think is another important step towards alleviating any potential fears that those residents in that area may have of problems. And this step, I think, is another fine step forward for all of us which will continue, hopefully, as years go by to alleviate any misinformation or fears, and also to indicate if fears are necessary, very quickly and efficiently and with the highest level of technology and expertise that's currently out there.

I'm excited and happy and support the stipulation, and then thank all of the parties involved, and especially Ms. Dodson for her unfailing efforts and inability to be bored by reading regulations, and her continuing concern for the people of that area. It has been an interesting and educational



1 process, and I thank her and others for their help.

JUDGE BECHHOEFER: Ms. Dodson, do you have any sort of statement you wish to make, or did Senator Nivon say it all?

MS. DODSON: Senator Nixon has said it all. I do thank you for coming. I thank Senator Nixon for his compliments. I have nothing further to add.

MR. AXELRAD: I just have a couple of words.

JUDGE BECHHOEFER: Yes.

MR. AXELRAD: I would like to thank both Senator
Nixon and Ms. Dodson for their very cooperative attitude and
for their willingness to spend time with us until late last
night and this morning to achieve these agreements.

I would just add that, of course, the terms of the joint stipulation which is approved for the Board speak for themselves, and I will not comment on anything further than was said in description of the provisions themselves. I think that this joint stipulation is representative of the agreement of the parties.

JUDGE BECHHOEFER: All right. Well, I accept the stipulation, as I have said. I will approve the withdrawal of Senator Nixon and Ms. Dodson subject to this stipulation. I will also approve the requested lithdrawal of the Coalition for the Environment, which I received several days ago, and finally, I will dismiss Ms. Sisk for not showing up and for not responding, not setting forth any issues.

| 1  | With that, the roceeding will be terminated. I will            |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | issue an order recording this, perhaps attaching a copy of the |  |  |
| 3  | stipulation to it, or I may just refer to the place in the     |  |  |
| 4  | transcript where it will appear.                               |  |  |
| 5  | Thank you.   |  |  |
| 6  | [Whereupon, at 10:4' a.m., the hearing concluded.]             |  |  |
| 7  |  |  |  |
| 8  |  |  |  |
| 9  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

in the matter of:

NAME OF PROCEEDING:

Combustion Engineering, Inc.,

Hematite Fuel Fabrication Facility

Hu Dley#

DOCKET NUMBER: 70-36-MLA

PLACE OF PROCEEDING:

Hillsboro, Missouri

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

JON HUNDLEY

Official Reporter

Ann Riley & Associates, Ltd.

## Joint Stipulation Among Combustion Engineering, Inc., Sen. Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, and Mrs. Martha Dodson

- 1. The amendment does not authorize an increase in the limitations on quantities of radioactive material authorized on site.
- 2. The amendment will not authorize an increase in quantities of uranium product transported from the site by Combustion Engineering.
- 3. Combustion Engineering's intention is to remove low level radioactive waste produced by the pelletizing operations under the amendment from the site for disposal at an approved off-site facility, as reasonably available. Except for high efficiency filters (used to remove uranium from exhaust air), Combustion Engineering does not anticipate any significant increases in the quantity of low level radioactive waste from this amendment.
- 4. On or before July 27, 1990, Combustion Engineering will comply with the NRC's new regulations on funding decommissioning (10 C.F.R. 70.25). These regulations provide greater independent assurance of the availability of funds for decommissioning than under the NRC's practices in 1979.
- 5. Upon approval by the NRC, Combustion Engineering will provide an additional remote sampling site. In the unlikely event of releases of radioactive material in excess of license "action levels", Combustion Engineering will perform radiation surveys, including, as appropriate, off-site surveys.
- 6. In the event a site emergency is declared, Combustion Engineering will notify, as appropriate, off-site response organizations.
- 7. Combustion Engineering will continue to work with the NRC to meet the NRC's regulatory requirements concerning the evaporation ponds.

This Joint Stipulation will become effective upon the Presiding Officer's approval of the withdrawal of the petitions of Senator Nixon and Mrs. Dodson and the termination of the NRC proceedings.