9347

UNITED STATES MELATED CORRESPONDENCE

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION III
788 ROOSEVELT ROAD
GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

DOLKETED

October 18, 1989

'89 DOT 23 P3:09

Sherry J. Stein, Esq. 131 North Eagle Street Geneva, OH 44041

In the Matter of
ADVANCED MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC.
(Suspension Order)
(Byproduct Material License No. 34-19089-01)
Docket No. 30-16055-SP; EA-86-155; ASLBP No. 87-545-01-SP

Dear Ms. Stein:

You may wish to file a Freedom of Information Act request for the remaining documents. I assume you can determine which documents you are interested in by comparing the list you prepared while in Region III with the documents provided. I am enclosing a copy of the list in the event you misplaced it.

Please contact Colleen Woodhead or Mitzi Young if you wish to discuss this matter further.

Sincerely,

Bruce a. Berson

Bruce A. Berson Regional Counsel

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/enclosures: Service List



Mississippi State Department of Health

P.O. Box 1700 - 2423 North State Street Jackson, Missiesippi 39205 601/354-6618

Alton B. Cobb, M.D., M.P.H.

October 1, 1986

Mr. S. S. Stein, President Advanced Medical Systems, Inc. 1020 London Road Cleveland, Ohio 44110

Dear Mr. Stein:

This letter is to serve as "Official Notice of Violation" concerning your activities authorized under reciprocal recognition of U.S. NRC License No. 36-19089-01. This notice regards work performed at the Oxford-Lafayette County Hospital, Oxford, Mississippi, on August 14 and 15, 1986.

Certain aspects of these activities appeared to be in a state of noncompliance with conditions of Radioactive Material License No. 36-19089-01. Advanced Medical Systems, Inc. Operating Procedures, and the Mississippi State Board of Health Environmental Regulations, Part 801-Radiation.

 Section 801.D.203(f)(1) of the Mississippi State Board of Health Environmental Regulations states in part that each container of radioactive material shall bear a durable, clearly visible label identifying the radioactive contents.

Contrary to the above, the authorized personnel responsible for source exchange unknowingly failed to exchange the old teletherapy source during the source exchange procedure. However, the teletherapy head was labeled with the new source information following the final survey. This item is classified as a violation.

 Section 801.C.100(a)(1) of the Mississippi State Board of Health Environmental Regulations states in part that no licensee shall deliver any radioactive material for transport unless the licensee complies with the applicable requirements of the U.S. Department of Transportation Regulations regarding packing, monitoring, labeling and marking.

Contrary to the above, after unknowingly failing to exchange the old teletherapy source, authorized personnel transported the shipping cask (containing two new teletherapy sources) to a second job site in Tennessee. The actual curie content of the shipping cask must therefore have greatly exceeded the curie content required on the D.O.T. shipping labels. This item is classified as a violation.

Mr. S. S. Stein, President October 1, 1985 Page 2

In addition to the above, the following items were noted by the hospital personnel during the source exchange:

- Advanced Medical Systems' (AMS) survey meter was inoperable prior to beginning work. Your personnel attempted to borrow the hospital's survey meter which was not suitable for their purposes. Finally a hospital employee managed to locate spare batteries for the AMS survey meter.
- 2. A five year maintenance check failed to note a problem with the drive motor for the teletherapy head rotation. The unit was supposedly rotated during the survey that followed the second source exchange by your personnel. However, immediately following the source exchange, the hospital's consultant found the head rotation to be inoperable. The problem with the drive motor had been particularly stressed by the hospital representatives prior to the source exchange and servicing.
- 3. At the conclusion of the <u>second</u> source exchange, one of the AMS representatives expressed an interest in exactly how thorough the hospital's consultant is regarding unit survey and calibrations. This Agency finds such remarks both curious and distressing. Any doubts concerning either the survey of the teletherapy unit or the services of the consultant should be addressed to this Agency.

This Agency finds an error of this magnitude and the circumstances surrounding this incident very disturbing. In the very least, it would appear that an investigation by the licensee and subsequent retraining of personnel is in order. At the very most, it would appear that the AMS radiation safety program and procedures should be reviewed by the licensing Agency.

Please respond to the above cited items within ten (10) days of your receipt of this Notice. Upon determination that a violation did in fact exist, please state in your response the corrective steps that have been taken by you and the results achieved, corrective steps that will be taken, and the date when full compliance is achieved. If, in your judgement, a violation did not in fact exist, describe the circumstances whereby the cited item was not a violation including producing those records substantiating such claim.

If you should have any questions or comments, please contact this agency.

Eddie S. Juente

Eddie S. Fuente, Director

Division of Radiological Health

ESF: 0226K:2

cc: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region 111 Offices Advanced Medical Systems, Inc.

(216) 456-46 (216) 410-4272-183

October 16, 1986

VIA EXPRESS MAIL

OCT 2 0 1986

RADIULUGIONE MENLTH

Mr. Eddie S. Fuente, Director Division of Radiological Health Mississippi State Department of Health P.O. Box 1700 2423 North State Street Jackson, Mississippi 39205

Dear Mr. Fuente:

Mr. Ed Svigel, Chief of AMS Engineering, and Mr. Theador Hebert, Manager of Facilities and Operations, conducted a thorough in-house investigation and interview of Mr. Keith Jordan, AMS Service Engineer, regarding the Oxford Lafayette County Hospital, Mississippi August 14 and 15, 1986 source exchange. We find that Mr. Jordan, while conducting the source exchange, did remove the source from the teletherapy unit. Having conducted the prescribed preventative maintenance, he then proceeded with the installation of the new teletherapy source. However, as noted by Mr. Jordan, he had difficulty with the procedures involved with the trapping and orientation of the new source within the exchange container. Through his efforts to reposition the sources. a disorientation occurred whereby the same source removed from the teletherapy head was inadvertently replaced within the head. The sources are identified; but when contained within the source exchange unit, observation of this identification number is impossible. They can be observed in the teletherapy unit head, but only at the risk of exposure. Source exchanges are normally verified by meter readings taken at the top of the teletherapy head. Mr. Jordan indicated that he had moved the meter in its proximal location on the head. Our engineering staff indicated that they believe the change in location of the meter may have affected the readings. With sources such as this, meter reading changes of 5mR are significant to indicate that the exchange has been effective.

We have implemented the following as remedial action:

 Service engineers will mark the exact position of the meter on the teletherapy head when taking readings to determine if an effective exchange has taken place. This procedure will immediately become part of the AMS standard operating procedure.

It should be noted that Mr. Jordan has conducted about 10 field source exchanges without error or procedural discrepancies. We feel that in this instance, he followed the AMS procedures manual exactly, even though a source discrientation did occur.

In response to your letter as Official Notice of Violation, we have enclosed the following information:

- 1. Section 801.0.203(f)(1). Mr. Jordan did affix the new labels to the teletherapy unit after conducting what he believed to be a source exchange: The final survey is not final conclusive evidence that a source transfer has taken place. The final conclusive evidence is always up to the hospital physicist who conducts the new source calibration within the teletherapy unit. Our serviceman affixes the labels for the new source when he finishes the transfer exchange. The hospital physicist confirms that the new source conforms to the new labels, often after AMS has left the job site.
- 2. Section 801.C.100(a)(1). Mr. Jordan did not knowingly or unknowlingly transport two new teletherapy sources to a primary or secondary job site in a single shipping cask. The shipping cask and source exchange container are one and the same unit. Although other companies may utilize a single cask to ship two sources, our cask is specifically designed to carry only one source at a time and cannot be secured for transport with a two-source content. The only time two sources may be placed in this exchange unit is when it is physically in place for an exchange with a teletherapy unit.

The AMS shipping and exchange unit is approved to carry up to 13,680 curies. A combination of all of the sources involved in the Mississippi and Tennessee transfers would not have exceeded 13,680 curies. The fact remains that the new source for the Tennessee exchange was shipped in its own source exchange unit as was the Mississippi source.

Reference Your Letter, Page 2, Paragraph 1

The primary tool kit carried by the AMS service personnel weighs in excess of 60 pounds. Mr. Jordan had the option of returning to his temporary base of operations and procuring his secondary kit which contained spare batteries and spare meter, or the procurement of 4 D cell batteries (available in nearly every store in the U.S.) to fix the meter in his primary kit. Borrowing 4 D cell batteries from the hospital was not necessary, but did expedite the service.

Reference Your Letter, Page 2, Paragraph 2

Mr. Jordan stated that he had checked the head rotation for allowable anyles and that the motor was operating properly. However, following the second and proper source exchange, the hospital representative rotated the head beyond the maximum allowable angles causing gear tooth damage within the motor. As an end result, AMS sent a new motor to the hospital which was installed by their personnel.

Reference Your Letter, Page 2, Paragraph 3

As a routine, AMS respresentatives always express an interest in exactly how thorough the hospital consultant is regarding unit survey and calibrations. AMS will not install a machine or cobalt source if it is not satisfied that the hospital is properly licensed and has adequate personnel to conduct the subsequent surveys and calibrations.

In our judgment, no violation of any regulatory compliance existed. In fact, we look upon the disorientation of sources and the inadvertent loading of the teletherapy unit with its old source as an inconvenience for both the hospital and ourselves, but nevertheless, a learning experience which directed our Engineering Department to further improve our standard operating procedures.

I have enclosed specific drawings and documents supporting the above facts. Should your office require additional information, please contact AMS.

Sincerely yours,

S.S. STEIN President

SSS/mz Enclosures

CERTIFIED MAIL #P185486105 RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED



Mississippi State Department of Health

P.O. Box 1700 - 2423 North State Street Jackson, Mississippi 39205 601/354-6612

Alton B. Cobb, M.D., M.P.H.

October 24, 1986

Mr. S. S. Stein, President Advanced Medical Systems, Inc. 1020 London Road Cleveland, Ohio 44110

Dear Mr. Stein:

This Agency received your response to the Official Notice of Violation concerning the August 14 and 15, 1986, licensed activities authorized under reciprocal recognition of U.S. NRC Radioactive Material License No. 36-19089-01. It is noted that those actions which you have instituted toward correction appear to be satisfactory. Future inspections by this Agency will be utilized to determine the effectiveness of said corrections.

However, this Agency cannot agree with your position regarding the ultimate responsibility for verifying the sealed source exchange. Your company is licensed to conduct activities for which it bears the ultimate responsibility for performing the licensed activity safely and correctly. The consulting physicist is responsible for calibration after servicing and source exchange.

Our Agency must also take issue with your explanation regarding two shipping casks rather than one. Regardless of the number of casks involved, the undisputed fact remains that, during the trip into Tennessee, two casks possessed new sealed sources. In this case, assuming an old source in one cask, the cask had to be either unlabelled or labelled incorrectly. Both alternatives violate the U.S. DOT Regulations.

To the terror of the second se

Thank you for your response.

Sincerely yours,

Eddie S. Fuente, Director

Eddie S. Frente

Division of Radiological Health

ESF/bkf

MISSIPPIPPI Sente Health Dopon+

DEC 3 1 1986

VI. Quelquetino for the Roberton Sopety goin ... Gone. Ipage bold 12. Lane armel clorepulat lant - Rac 27, 1780 - by Joseph M. Harrown - 7 pages - plus appertion york 2. Notne go Variation - Apparelie - Ray 9,1884 V 3. Picker Unterstand Letter - Oct 22, 1982 d page 14 NRC lette May 5, 1983 - Lyatin & fine 1 5. Feb 19,1982 - to AMS - Vagrection & V6. 15 - to Ans - Morgan Chapterion 1 2. Sept 12, 1984 to maure morially, FOLAS 1 8. Dec 21, 1984 letter & lot for Jane D. Kyple. 19. Covereation Road March 26, 1884 Spages NO. Ams Letter flow Should closing agent 10, 1984

VII Jun 22, 1904 Ams Getter by Mundelswin 1 page V12 2 Commentin Records 10/2 2/83 13. Commenter Rootel 3/9/83 114 p 242 TAKOVEM 246 furctions to be approved by learned by becaused aly 5 pages 15 249 -200 furctions to be performed by beined personal 200 and the second states

3419089-01 B MIC 1/82-17-18 .485- Rf86 Jac 16 86 mario per Billing 1 pg

Dec 1686 mario prince 3 LOST 18 Poller & Woods in Stand Land Land Colo 18 March Land Constitution Word - No Brance 18 Colo 18 March Land Constitution Word - No Brance 18 Colo 18 March Constitution Word - No Brance 18 Colo 18 March Constitution Word - No Brance 18 Colo 18 March Constitution Word - No Brance 18 Colo 18 March Constitution 18 Colo 18 March Colo 18 g) somewho has not antereum tellen 9801to OHIOKS. at 1914/10 Oct 10 sp Kal distand travar interverse Helen 3801 to A) responding provid majoramon trappour assortion of sented an enough metagones tellan 380, to Consister by Secretary many second the secon 054 (08% JE4B 86 Och 2186 conversion notation 1800-though Oct 27 86 Ball Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 Ball Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 21 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 21 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 21 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 21 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 21 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral Continuation relard 1899
Cet 22 86 E & Minoral C

341989 FOI B 1/85-1486 water of brance melty felizo to. comp net of some sine Repros Dely 31 & ne: cellen produce 2885 28 85 Well egy socialent sommiand eather re: from nalya reles rolle. Py 15 Plo letter June 386 letter rolly 38 5 south Lemensation revoul BUITHANT Mon 3080 McCour amotion volar

there felow from & planty & 9/00dup - Downerd : 9/0/87-9/89 D 10/88-9/4/88 APO 30 87 LUTE LOVE /g M2/2 Conversation value wolff- Heart 1.60 And response about 1-23-87 "confidental" months of " 12/23/16 3 pgs aly IN To 21 LOW when nomedeed Shor to Bugin per 5'86 Burran town Carvains Dec 1886 millaver to Burgin Dec 1886 arter purhaber remallers ays yther roops would sportly legion I to home so mont tourch Region 3 herse per familial 5/28/16 Comerson read worden - Ely 12/188

Mean ster to to but 1/25/88 268

Mean of the Mary - tops another of the top o

18/1/2 looks moderal 5/27/86

13 pgs.

AZ. 3419889-01 PAOSENTION audis

23 000

Og 9 Intra , Og 10 - Sotoges, etc 34-19089-02