DOCKET NUMBER
PETITION RULE PRM 35-9
(54FR38239)

(70)

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF RADIOLOGY
B220 CLINICAL CENTER
TELEPHONE (517) 355-0120

EAST LANSING · MICHIGAN · 48824-1315

'89 OCT 25 P3:55

DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH

October 23, 1989

Secretary of the Commission
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Docketing and Service Branch
Docket # PRM-35-9
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Secretary:

I am writing to express my strong support for the Petition for Rulemaking filed by the American College of Nuclear Physicians and the Society of Nuclear Medicine. I am a Past President of the Society of Nuclear Medicine; and have been actively involved in radiopharmaceutical development. I am deeply concerned over the revised 10CFR 35 regulations (effective April, 1987) governing the medical use of byproduct materials as they significantly impact on the many community hospitals integrated in my residency program. These regulations not only prevent my hospitals from providing optimized care to individual patients; but impact on the quality of resident education in the Michigan State University program.

The NRC should recognize that the FDA does allow, and often encourages, other clinical uses of approved drugs, and actively discourages the submission of physician-sponsored IND's that describe new indications for approved drugs. The package insert was never intended to prohibit physicians from deviating from it for other indications; on the contrary, such deviation is necessary for growth in developing new diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. In many cases, manufacturers will never go back to the FDA to revise a package insert to include a new indication because it is not required by the FDA and there is simply no economic incentive to do so.

Currently, the regulator provisions in Part 35(35.100, 35.200, 35.300 and 33.17(a)(4)) do not allow practices which are legitimate and legal under FDA regulations and State medicine and pharmacy laws. These regulations, therefore, inappropriateiy interfere with the practice of medicine, which directly contradicts the NRC's Medical Policy statement against such interference.

Finally, I would like to point out that highly restrictive NRC regulations will only jeopardize public health and safety by: restricting access to appropriate Nuclear Medicine procedures; exposing patients to higher radiation absorbed doses from alternative legal, but non-optimal, studies; and exposing hospital personnel to higher radiation absorbed doses because of unwarranted, repetitive procedures. The NRC should not strive to construct proscriptive regulations to cover all aspects of medicine, nor should it attempt to regulate radiopharmaceutical use. Instead, the NRC should rely on the expertise of the FDA, State Boards of

8910270226 891023
PDR PRM
35-9 PDR

PS10

MSU is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Institution

Pharmacy, State Boards of Medical Quality Assurance, the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations, radiation safety committees, institutional Q/A review procedures, and most importantly, the professional judgement of physicians and pharmacists who have been well-trained to administer and prepare these materials.

Since the NRC's primary regulatory focus appears to be based on the unsubstantiated assumption that misadministrations, particularly those involving diagnostic radiopharmaceuticals, pose a serious threat to the public health and safety, I strongly urge the NRC to pursue a comprehensive study by a reputable scientific panel, such as the National Academy of Sciences or the NCRP, to assess the radiobiological effects of misadministrations from Nuclear Medicine diagnostic and therapeutic studies. I firmly believe that the results of such a study will demonstrate that the NRC's efforts to impose more and more stringent regulations are unnecessary and not cost-effective in relation to the extremely low health risks of these studies.

In closing, I strongly urge the NRC to adopt the ACNP/SNM Petition for Rulemaking as expeditiously as possible.

Sincerely,

E. James Potchen, M.D.
Professor and Chairman

EJP/kmk