aine Yankee

EDISON DRIVE . AUGUS: MAINE 04336 . (207) 622-4868

November 9, 1989 MN-89-132

110 CFR 50.731 GDW-89-347

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

References: (a) License No. DPR-36 (Docket No. 50-309)

Subject: Maine Yankee Licensee Event Report 89-004-00, Plant Shutdown due to Containment Purge Valve Leakage in Excess of Technical Specification

Limits

Gentlemen:

Please find enclosed Maine Yankee Licensee Event Report 89-004-00. This report is submitted in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(1).

Very truly yours,

SENICHI

for G. D. Whittier, Manager Nuclear Engineering and Licensing

GDW: SJJ

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Richard H. Wessman Mr. William T. Russell Mr. Eric J. Leeds Mr. Cornelius F. Holden American Nuclear Insurers

2269T

Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company	mits ther Facilitie cility Names ements of 10 (2)(iv) 2)(v) 2)(vii) 2)(viii)(A) 2)(viii)(B)	Page(3) 0 9 1 of 3 es Involved(8) Docket Number(s CFR 5
Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company	10 10 1 3 mits	0 9 1 of 3 es Involved(8) Docket Number(s CFR 5 73.71(b) 73.71(c)
Title(4)	mits ther Facilitie cility Names ements of 10 (2)(iv) 2)(v) 2)(vii) 2)(viii)(A) 2)(viii)(B)	es Involved(8) Docket Number(s
Event Date(5) LER Number(6) Report Date(7) (Month Day Year Year Sequential Revision Month Day Year Fa	ements of 10 (2)(iv) _ 2)(v) _ 2)(vii) _ 2)(viii)(A) 2)(viii)(B)	Docket Number(s CFR §
Month Day Year Year Sequential Revision Month Day Year Factor Number Number Number	ements of 10 (2)(iv) _ 2)(v) _ 2)(vii) _ 2)(viii)(A) 2)(viii)(B)	Docket Number(s CFR §
Number Number Number	ements of 10 (2)(iv) _ 2)(v) _ 2)(vii) _ 2)(viii)(A) 2)(viii)(B)	
This Report is Submitted Pursuant to the Require Operating (Check one or more of the following) (1) Mode (9) 7 20.402(b) 20.405(c) 50.73(a)(c)	2)(iv) _ 2)(v) _ 2)(vii) _ 2)(viii)(A) 2)(viii)(B)	73.71(b) 73.71(c)
	2)(iv) _ 2)(v) _ 2)(vii) _ 2)(viii)(A) 2)(viii)(B)	73.71(b) 73.71(c)
Mode (9) 7	2)(iv) _ 2)(v) _ 2)(vii) _ 2)(viii)(A) 2)(viii)(B)	73.71(c)
Power	2)(v) _ 2)(vii) _ 2)(viii)(A) 2)(viii)(B)	73.71(c)
(10) 9 8 20.405(a)(1)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(i) 50.73(a)(a)(a) 20.405(a)(1)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(ii) 50.73(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(2)(viii)(A) 2)(viii)(B)	
20.405(a)(1)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)	2)(viii)(B)	Other (Specify in
20.405(a)(1)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)	STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	
LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12) NAME David A. Rivard, Nuclear Safety Engineer COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED Cause System ponent turer to NPRDS Cause System ponent turer to NPRDS	21/21	
David A. Rivard, Nuclear Safety Engineer COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED Com- Manufac- Reportable	ever 1	Form 366A)
David A. Rivard, Nuclear Safety Engineer COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED Com- Manufac- Reportable	*	and the same
Com- Manufac- Reportable Com- Cause System ponent turer to NPRDS Cause System ponent turer to NPRDS Cause System ponent	Area Code	one Number
Cause System ponent turer to NPRDS		18 12 16 13 12 11
Cause System ponent turer to NPRDS Cause System ponent Cause System Done X J M I S V A 1 B D Y Supplemental Report Expected (14) (If yes, complete Expected		
X J M IISV A1180 Y Supplemental Report Expected (14) (If yes, complete Expected	m- Manufac-	
Supplemental Report Expected (14) (If yes, complete Expected	I I I I I I	TO NEKOS
Supplemental Report Expected (14) (If yes, complete Expected	11111	
(If yes, complete Expected X No No ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-At 1230 on October 10, 1989, Maine Yankee initiated a plant shutdown technical Specifications. A Type C containment leakage rate test ventilation and purge inlet penetration demonstrated a leakage rate	Expected	Month Day Year
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single- At 1230 on October 10, 1969, Maine Yankee initiated a plant shutdo Technical Specifications. A Type C containment leakage rate test ventilation and purge inlet penetration demonstrated a leakage rate	Submission Date(15)	
At 1230 on October 10, 1989, Maine Yankee initiated a plant shutdo Technical Specifications. A Type C containment leakage rate test ventilation and purge inlet penetration demonstrated a leakage rate		
Additional testing showed excessive leakage past the reat of the of the shutdown continued due to the inability to quantify the leakage. The plant was placed in hot shutdown at 1740. Upon the repair of seat, the inboard isolation valve leakage rate was determined to the Specification containment leakage rate limit. No specific failure mechanism was identified. The seats on the invalves were adjusted to obtain a satisfactory leakage rate.	wn as required on the contain e that results limit. Utboard purge e past the interest the outboard is eless than the	d by plant nment ed in a combined isolation valve. board isolation. isolation valve he Technical

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Approved OMB No. 3150-0104 Expires: 8/31/85

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Facility Name(1)	Docket Number(2)	LER Number (6)	Page(3)
Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company		Year Sequential Revision Number Number	11
	1015101010131019	819 1-1 0 1 0 1 4 1-1 0 1 0 13	2 of 3

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC form 366A's) (17)

On October 10, 1989, in preparation for an on-line containment purge, the containment ventilation and purge inlet isolation valves (ISV) were subjected to a Type C containment leakage rate test as described in 10 CFR 50 Appendix J. The leakage rate for this penetration (PEN) was approximately 152 lbm per day calculated at 55 psig. When this leakage rate was added to the remaining Type B and C leakage rates, the Technical Specification for the containment leakage rate of 365 lbm per 24 hours at 50 psig was exceeded by approximately 33 lbm per 24 hours.

At 1230 on October 10, 1989, the plant initiated a shutdown from 98% power as directed by Technical Specifications. Attempts were made to identify and correct the leakage. A valve stem packing leak on the inboard purge isolation valve (VP-A-2, see attached figure) was identified and repaired. Subsequent testing showed the leakage rate to be 148 lbm per day for the purge penetration. Additional investigation found excessive leakage past the seat on the outboard purge isolation valve (VP-A-1). Because the test pressure is applied between the two valves, leakage past VP-A-1 prevented quantification of the leakage rate past VP-A-2. Due to the inability to quantify the leakage rate past VP-A-2 within the time constraints of the Technical Specification remedial actions, the plant was placed in hot shutdown (Condition 5) at 1740.

VP-A-1 and VP-A-2 are 42 inch butterfly valves, manufactured by Allis Chalmers, model number 75WR. These valves have an adjustable rubber seat on the valve disc. This is the first leakage test failure of this penetration subsequent to a satisfactory leakage rate test with no valve manipulations between tests.

Conditions for cold shutdown (Condition 3) were established at 1430 on October 11, 1989. A containment purge was performed which required cycling VP-A-1 and VP-A-2. Upon completion of the purge, the soat on VP-A-1 was adjusted so that a soap solution test at 60 psig showed no leakage. Leakage past VP-A-2 was then determined to be approximately 19 lbm per 24 hours, which verified that the total containment leakage rate had been within the Technical Specification limit. No specific failure mechanism could be identified.

This event is considered to have a negligible safety consequence. The purge valves were previously tested on December 11, 1988. Containment leakage since that time was well within the allowed limit as determined by the containment weight of air monitoring system. The meazured leakage rate of VP-A-2 at 19 1bm per day, combined with the remaining Type B and C leakage rates, was within the Technical Specification limit. Additionally, the purge isolation valves are maintained tagged shut and deactivated by administrative controls during plant operation except when containment purge is in progress. Therefore, VP-A-2 was in the condition prescribed by the Technical Specification remedial action for a single inoperable containment penetration isolation valve.

After the adjustments were made to reduce seat leakage, the valves were cycled with both manual and remote actuators. Subsequent leakage rate tests showed negligible change in the leakage rate.

The seats on both VP-A-1 and VP-A-2 were adjusted to obtain a final leakage rate for the penetration of approximately 4 lbm per 24 hours. The penetration was successfully tested during a subsequent shutdown on November 8, 1989. This penetration will again be tested at the beginning of the 1990 refueling shutdown, in the spring of 1990.

INRC Form 366A U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Approved 048 No. 3150-0104 1(9-83) Expires: 8/31/85 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION |Docket Number(2)|. LER Number (6) Page(3) |Facility Name(1) |Year | |Sequential | | Revision| Number Number Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company 1015101010131019 | 819 |-1 0 | 0 | 4 |-TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17) EQUIP HATCH PURKE SUPPLY UNIT HY-P HEATING COIL-FACE & BYP DMPR FILTERS