ISC Form 691			U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
12 81; 0 CFR 2 201	SAFET / IN	ISPECTION		
: 4				
- S-PENSEE	RECEIVED	2. REGIONAL OF	FICE	
When Trabuston Inc.	REGION V	II S Nuc	lear Regulatory Commission	
Ultra Technology, Inc. Pouch #340122		Region V	rear Regulatory Commission	
Prudhoe Bay, Alaska 99734			1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210	
89 (	CT 16 AIO: 40		eek, CA 94596	
DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)		5. DATE OF INSPECTION	
030-20969	50-23363-01		August 17, 1989	
	30-23363-01		August 17, 1969	
censee	1 1 1			
			radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear	
			pection consisted of selective examinations of procedures idings as a result of this inspection are as follows:	
		mspector: The fin	tings as a result of this inspection are as ronows.	
1. Within the scope of this inspection, no viol	lations were observed.			
72. The inspector also verified the steps you h	ave taken to correct the violat	ions identified dur	ing the last inspection. We have no further questions on	
those actions at this time.				
3. During this inspection certain of your acti				
THIS IS A NOTICE CT VIOLATION WIN	ich is required to be posted in	accordance with 1	V CFM 19.11,	
			was not properly posted to indicate the presence	
ota			. 10 CFR 20.203(b), (c), (d), (e) or 34.42	
B. Container: located in		20040141	were not properl	
labeled to indicate the presence of rad	loactive material. 10 CFR 20.	203(f)(1), or (f)(2		
[]c.			of sealed sources were not performed at the prope	
frequencies. 10 CFR		Lic	ense Condition Number	
D. Records of			were not properly maintained	
10 CFR		or License Condit		
E. Documents were not properly posted	or otherwise made available. 1	O CFR 19.11.	891106012B B90919	
			REG5 LIC30 50-23363-01 PNU	
F. Reports or notifications of			were not made in accordance	
10 CFR 20.408(b) p	tes. in part, that	or License Condit	ndividual terminates employment wi	
	at a s still book of billion b	THE CALL WALL BY	iditional beimine des chipies de	
THA licensee, the licens	ee whall furnish t	he Pirector	r. Office of Nuclear Regulatory Re-	
Ha licensee, the licens	ee whall furnish t duals exposure to	he Pirector radiation	r, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Re- incurred during the period of emplo	
a report of the indivi- ment. Contrary to the	ee whall furnish t duals exposure to above, the requir	he Pirector radiation ed reports	r, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Re- incurred during the period of employers not sent for two persons on	
A report of the indivi	ee whall furnish t duals exposure to above, the requir	he Pirector radiation ed reports	r, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Re- incurred during the period of emplo	
a report of the indivi- ment. Contrary to the	ee whall furnish t duals exposure to above, the requir	he Pirector radiation ed reports	r, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Re- incurred during the period of employers not sent for two persons on	
a report of the indiviment. Contrary to the	ee whall furnish to duals exposure to above, the requirements with respense	he Pirector radiation ed reports ctively.	r, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Re- incurred during the period of emplo- were not sent for two persons on terminate	
a report of the indiviment. Contrary to the 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 x	ee whall furnish to duals exposure to above, the requirements of the composition of the c	he Pirector radiation ed reports ctively.	r, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Re- incurred during the period of emplo- were not sent for two persons on terminate ensee is required pursuant to	
a report of the indiviment. Contrary to the 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 xo	ee whall furnish to duals exposure to above, the requirement of the control of th	radiation radiation radiation red reports ctively.  when a lice exposure reports repor	ensee is required pursuant to ecord to the Commission, he shall ort. Contrary to the above, the	
a report of the indiviment. Contrary to the 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 x0 10 CFR 19.13(d) provid 10 CFR 20.408 to report also provide the indivirequired reports were	ee whall furnish to duals exposure to above, the requirement of the control of th	radiation radiation radiation red reports ctively.  when a lice exposure reports repor	r, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Re- incurred during the period of emplo- were not sent for two persons on terminate ensee is required pursuant to	
a report of the indivi- ment. Contrary to the 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 xo  10 CFR 19.13(d) provid  10 CFR 20.408 to report also provide the indivi	ee whall furnish to duals exposure to above, the requirement of the control of th	radiation radiation radiation red reports ctively.  when a lice exposure reports repor	ensee is required pursuant to ecord to the Commission, he shall ort. Contrary to the above, the	
a report of the indiviment. Contrary to the 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 to 10 CFR 19.13(d) provide 10 CFR 20.408 to report also provide the indivired reports were	ee whall furnish to duals exposure to above, the requirement of the control of th	radiation radiation radiation red reports ctively.  when a lice exposure reports repor	ensee is required pursuant to ecord to the Commission, he shall ort. Contrary to the above, the	
a report of the indiviment. Contrary to the 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 to 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 to 10 CFR 19.13(d) provide the indivired reports were keeper also provide the indivired reports were keeper to keep the individual ind	ee whall furnish to duals exposure to above, the requirement of the property of the part, that the individual's idual with a copy, not sent to two in assertibed by me to the inspector.	when a lice exposure modividuals	ensee is required pursuant to ecord to the Commission, he shall ort. Contrary to the above, the terminated on 11/19/88 and 1/16/89	
a report of the indiviment. Contrary to the 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 to 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 to 10 CFR 19.13(d) provide the indivired reports were keeper also provide the indivired reports were keeper to keep the individual ind	ee whall furnish to duals exposure to above, the requirement of the property of the part, that the individual's idual with a copy, not sent to two in assertibed by me to the inspector.	when a lice exposure modividuals	ensee is required pursuant to ecord to the Commission, he shall ort. Contrary to the above, the terminated on 11/19/88 and 1/16/89	
a report of the indiviment. Contrary to the 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 to 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 to 10 CFR 19.13(d) provide the indivirequired reports were respectively.	ee whall furnish to duals exposure to above, the requirement of the property of the part, that the individual's idual with a copy, not sent to two in assertibed by me to the inspector.	when a lice exposure redividuals	ensee is required pursuant to ecord to the Commission, he shall ort. Contrary to the above, the terminated on 11/19/88 and 1/16/89	
a report of the indiviment. Contrary to the 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 to 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 to 10 CFR 19.13(d) provide the indivirequired reports were respectively.	ee whall furnish to duals exposure to above, the requirement of the property of the part, that the individual's idual with a copy, not sent to two in assertibed by me to the inspector.	when a lice exposure redividuals	ensee is required pursuant to ecord to the Commission, he shall ort. Contrary to the above, the terminated on 11/19/88 and 1/16/89	
a report of the indiviment. Contrary to the 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 to 11/19/88 and 1/16/89 to 10 CFR 19.13(d) provide the indivired reports were respectively.	ee whall furnish to duals exposure to above, the requirement of the property of the part, that the individual's idual with a copy, not sent to two in assertibed by me to the inspector.	when a lice exposure redividuals	ensee is required pursuant to ecord to the Commission, he shall ort. Contrary to the above, the terminated on 11/19/88 and 1/16/89	