

MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Bureau of Geology P. O. Box 5348 Jackson, Mississippi 39216 (601) 354-6228

A DI

March 3, 1980

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS POOR QU'UTY PAGES

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of the Secretary Washington, D. C. 20555

Attn: Docketing and Service Branch

Re: Comments on Proposed Rules for NRC Licensing Procedures for Muclear Waste Pepositories

Mr. Secretary:

These proposed rules are intended to present requirements applicable to the Department of Energy in submitting an application for a license for a nuclear waste repository. The proposed rules also set forth provisions for consultation and participation in the license review by State Covernment. With reference to the State participation, it is stated, "the Commission has undertaken a thorough review of the matter and now proposes a more extensive informal involvement during early phases of site characterization and a deferral of formal proceedings until site characterization has been completed." The term informal involvement appears to be somewhat out-of-step with previously stated ideas that target States would be actively involved by being assured of having the opportunity to engage in the decision making process. This idea is even stated in these proposed rules under the Site Characterization Feview section. We object to the term informal involvement, especially, if the Federal government (including The President) is sincere in its many statements relative to the States' role of "consulting partners' to the Federal government in matters concerning ruclear waste recositories.

ke fully agree with the concept of the Muclear Pegulatory Commission, as well as the States, having the opportunity to consult in and review the site characterization studies to help insure adequate data and safeguards are obtained before a site is finally selected.

It is stated in the Scope of Proposed Rule section, "The technical criteria against which the license application will be reviewed are still under development." Are the States going to be consulted during the development of these criteria, as we have been led to telieve? If so, why isn't it indicated in the rules? If not, why not?

It is stated once the wastes have been emplaced the Department of Energy may submit an application to decommission the site. There is no mention of a long-term monitoring system. Will the site te monitored and will the States be involved in the design of same? Will appropriate State agencies to involved in any way in the monitoring process?

8008120246

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission March 3, 1980 Page 2

Under Subpart D. Section 60.71 - Records and Reports - Why not also notify the affected State of any deficiency found in the site?

Section 60.73 - Inspections - Section states the Department of Energy shall allow the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to inspect on the premises of the repository. Why not allow appropriate State representatives to accompany on such inspections?

Obviously, we have the idea States are being excluded as much as possible in these matters which are of great concern to them. We sincerely hope the States can be involved in these matters which could have an economic, social and safety effect on them for centuries to come.

ke appreciate the opportunity of reviewing these Proposed Fules.

Sincerely

EUREAU OF GEOLOGY

tim W. She

John W. Green Enviropmental Geologist

Jing: js

cc: Hon. William A. Allain State Attorney General

> Alvin R. Bicker, Jr. Acting Director Bureau of Geology