WENDELL H. FORD
KENTUCKY

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

COMMITTEES:
COMMERCE, SCIENCE
AND TRANSPORTATION

ENERGY AND
NATURAL RESOURCES

RULES AND
ADMINISTRATION

April 11, 1980

Dear Mr. Hendrie:

We are forwarding for your review correspondence from Ms. Deborah H. McCarthy regarding the meeting at Madison, Indiana on March 25, 1980 concerning Marble Hill.

Sincerely,

Wendell Ford

Mr. Joseph M. Hendrie, Chairman
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
631 Park Ave
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Enclosure

DISTRICT OFFICES:
343 WALLER AVENUE
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40504
(606) 233-2484

172-C NEW FEDERAL BUILDING
LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY 40232
(502) 562-6251

19 U.S. POST OFFICE AND COURTHOUSE
COVINGTON, KENTUCKY 41011
(606) 431-7929

305 FEDERAL BUILDING
OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42301
(502) 685-5158

Deborah H. McCarthy
208 Elm
Eminence, Kentucky 40019

April 2, 1980

Mr. Joseph M. Hendrie, Chairman
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
631 Park Avenue
King of Pruss¹., Pennsylvania 19406

Dear Mr. Hendrie,

I attended the meeting at Madison on March 25, 1980,
regarding the issue of whether or not to lift the stop-work
order at Marble Hill; I ask that the following questions and
comments be included in the record of that proceeding as
allowed by the U.S.C.A.. (Mr. Stello stated there would be
a transcript of the meeting, as required, although our local
papers reported that the NRC had refused to make such a
record.)

1. You (NRC representatives) stated that you write the
regulations (regarding qualifications for employment,
inspection procedures, training requirements, etc.) for PSI;
so I ask who, specifically, among you writes them and what
training and qualifications (specifically) does that person(s)
have to do so?

2. It was noted by Mr. Keppler (I believe) that it has
come to your attention that there are inconsistencies in
some instances between your procedure manual and PSI's
procedure manuals. With regard to this problem (which
should be enough by itself to continue the stop-work order),
you merely asked PSI to explain. Did you consider that
singular question, and PSI's nonspecific reply, adequate
investigation? Why did you not present examples of such
inconsistencies and ask them, specifically, to explain the
problem and how and when it arose and will be corrected?

following adequate
does not conform to
ed to write its own
ot rely on them to