

**C-E Power Systems**

Combustion Engineering, Inc.  
1000 Prospect Hill Road  
Windsor, Connecticut 06095

Tel. 203/688-1911  
Telex: 9-9297



April 14, 1980  
Docket No. 99900002/80-01  
Program No. 51500  
CENPM No. NQA 80-006

Mr. Uldis Potapovs  
Chief, Vendor Inspection Branch  
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000  
Arlington, Texas 76012

Dear Mr. Potapovs:

This is in reply to your letter of March 28, 1980 regarding NRC inspection of our Nuclear Power Systems Manufacturing Quality Assurance activities at Windsor, Connecticut.

The requested corrective actions, preventive measures, and related completion dates regarding the items listed in the Notice of Deviation enclosure of your memo are given in the attachment hereto.

We have reviewed your letter and the attached report and do not find any information of a proprietary nature.

Very truly yours,

COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC.  
POWER SYSTEMS GROUP

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'H. V. Lichtenberger'.

H. V. Lichtenberger  
Vice President-Manufacturing

HVL/sam  
Attachment

8006090103

RESPONSE REGARDING NOTICE OF DEVIATION

1.0 Findings

1.1 Finding D.3.c.(1)

1.1.1 Corrective Action

A tag indicating the deviation notice number was immediately placed with the rods in question.

1.1.2 Preventive Measures

Written quality instructions already require proper marking of deviated material. The cognizant quality control supervisors held meetings with all personnel and stressed the requirement for status marking of deviated material.

Secondary administrative controls for detecting deviated material form a part of the nonconforming item program. The components involved had not yet reached a secondary control point.

1.1.3 Preventive Measure Completion Dates

The meetings were held during the week of March 17, 1980. The secondary controls have been in effect for several years.

1.2 Finding D.3.c.(2)

1.2.1 Action Taken

Quality Control Engineering immediately reviewed the disposition and signified approval of the change by written signature on the deviation notice.

1.2.2 Preventive Action

The incident appears to be of an isolated nature. The Engineering Manager was informed of the problem but could not take immediate action since the individual involved in the incident was not on site during the NRC inspection. The Engineering Manager subsequently discussed the problem with the individual involved and stressed the countersignature requirement.

Secondary administrative controls for proper signature review form a part of the nonconforming item program. The component involved had not yet reached a secondary control point.

1.2.3 Dates of Completion

The incident was discussed with the engineer that failed to obtain the proper signature on March 17, 1980. The secondary controls have been in effect for several years.