MAY 1 1980

NOTE TO: Document Control Room 016

FROM:

Please place the attached document in the PDR using the following file and file points:

PDR File (Select One)	Related Documents (Enter if appropriate)
Proposed Rule (PR) 72-43 FR 46309 Reg. Guide Draft Reg. Guide Petition (PRM) Effective Rule (RM)	ACRS Minutes No. Proposed Rule (PR) Draft Reg. Guide Reg. Guide Petition (PRM) Effective Rule (RM) Federal Register Notice SD Task No. FP 516-1 NUREG Report Contract No.
Subject: 10 CF	R PART 72

Contact: R. E. L. Stanford

Fuel Process Systems Standards Branch Division of Engineering STandards Office of Standards Development 443-5910



NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MAY 7 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: The Files

FROM:

Robert B. Minogue, Director

Office of Standards Development

SUBJECT:

10 CFR PART 72

On April 18, 1980, Mr. Cole McClure of the Bechtel Corporation called me in regard to a point initially identified in SECY-79-300 which I had raised a few days earlier in briefing the Commission on technical issues concerned with Appendix A to Part 100. I had made a particular point to the Commission of the importance of distinguishing between the basis for structural design, and the assessment of site earthquake effects concerned with foundation geology. Mr. McClure expressed concern that Part 72 properly reflect this distinction, particularly in view of its approach of specifying a standardized structural design basis selected with very great conservatism. He said that he personally supported the idea of a standardized structural design basis for this type of facility and considered it a very reasonable approach, but that it was important for the wording to make clear that the concept is intended to apply to the design of structures.

Robert B Minogue, Director Office of Standards Development

cc: L. Beratan K. Stever