

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION II 101 MARIETTA ST., N.W., SUITE 3100

101 MARIETTA ST., N.W., SUITE 3100 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

MAY 1 6 1980

In Reply Refer To: RII:JPO 50-348 50-364

Gentlemen:

This Information Notice is forwarded for information. No written response to this Information Notice is required. If you have any questions related to this matter, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

James P. O'Reilly

Director

## Enclosures:

1. IE Information Notice No. 80-21

2. List of Recently Issued
IE Information Notices



cc w/encl:
A. R. Barton
Executive Vice President
Post Office Box 2641
Birmingham, Alabama 35291

F. L. Clayton, Jr. Senior Vice President Post Office Box 2641 Birmingham, Alabama 35291

J. W. McGowan Manager-Operations Quality Assurance Post Office Box 2641 Birmingham, Alabama 35291

O. D. Kingsley, Jr.
Manager, Nuclear Engineering and
Technical Services
Post Office Box 2641
Birmingham, Alabama 35291

H. O. Thrash Manager, Nuclear Generation Post Office Box 2641 Birmingham, Alabama 35291

W. C. Petty Manager-Quality-Design Assurance (Design and Construction) Post Office Box 2641 Birmingham, Alabama 35291

W. G. Hairston, III Plant Manager Drawer 470 Ashford, Alabama 36312

R. E. Hollands, Jr. QA Supervisor Post Office Box U Ashford, Alabama 36312

SSINS No.: 6870 Accession No. 8005050050

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT WASHINGTON. D.C. 20555

May 16, 1980

IE Information Notice No. 80-21

ANCHORAGE AND SUPPORT OF SAFETY-RELATED ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT

Description of Circumstances:

Seismic design evaluations in connection with the NRC Systematic Evaluation Program (SEP) have indicated a potential safety deficiency in the anchorage and support of safety-related electrical equipment. This subject was highlighted for more in-depth evaluation after site visits to several facilities. The site reviews indicated that equipment was supported in a non-uniform manner. In some cases, design modifications were required to render acceptable seismic design margins. The deficiencies in anchorage and support may be due to the fact that earlier engineering design criteria did not require rigorous analyses.

Letters were issued in January 1980 to the SEP plants which requested a review of the anchorage of safety-related electrical equipment. The findings from the reviews at Haddam Neck, Big Rock Point, Dresden 2, Oyster Creek, and Palisades identified various safety-related electrical equipment that did not have positive anchorage. The reviews at other SEP plants are continuing. A summary is provided below of those items so far identified.

Station Service Transformers
(4160 V - 480V)

DC to AC Inverters

Emergency Diesel Generator
Room Heater

Batteries - Emergency Diesel
Generator
- Diesel Fire Pump
- Station

Station Battery Rack

Motor Control Centers Cable Trays Computer

Control Panels - MG Set - Air Compressor

- Control Room Instrument Rack Battery Room Main Breaker and Distribution Panel

A related item has been identified at Comanche Peak (under construction) in which the welds to the floor supports for the main control panels were found to be undersized and improperly spaced. Also, the SEP reviewers observed that non-seismic Category I ancillary items (dolleys, gas bottles, block and tackle gear, ductwork, etc.) may be located such that they could potentially dislodge, impact, and damage safety related equipment during an earthquake.

The type of anchorage systems utilized in the SEP plants and their expected capacities vary widely. For example, high uncertainty exists relative to the capacity of non-engineered tack welds and attachments that rely on frictional clamping forces. In some cases, equipment has been found free standing with no means of positive lateral support. (Friction being the only lateral load

carrying mechanism). Most often, heavier equipment is anchored using (1) tack welds to steel angles embedded in concrete; (2) clips that rely on frictional resistance; (3) concrete embedded anchor bolts; or (4) external braced frames. Lighter equipment housed in cabinets or attached to panels or racks has been anchored using (1) bolts; (2) sheet metal screws; (3) tack welds; and (4) braced racks.

The potential concern is that certain pieces of equipment may not have adequate levels of seismic resistance capability due to limited anchorage capacity. The potential problems relate to overturning and/or sliding of large equipment and gross movement or unacceptable forces on smaller attached equipment that may render it inoperable during an earthquake. For certain large battery racks, this judgment is supported by analysis that predict unacceptable seismic behavior.

Section 3.10 of the Standard Review Plan provides acceptance criteria for the seismic qualification of Category I electrical equipment. These criteria include IEEE Std. 344, "Guide for Seismic Qualification of Class 1E Electrical Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations", first issued in 1971. Facilities designed before about 1971 without benefit of such design and testing criteria may have some anchorage deficiencies.

The NRC staff is continuing to evaluate this issue on the SEP plants as part of the seismic review in the SEP. Remedial actions have been planned for the affected plants.

This Information Notice is provided as notification of a possibly significant matter. It is expected that recipients will review the information for possible applicability to their facilities. No specific action or response is requested at this time. If NRC evaluations so indicate, additional actions may be requested or required. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact the Director of the appropriate NRC Regional Office.

## RECENTLY ISSUED IE INFORMATION NOTICES

Information Notice No.	Subject	Date Issued	Issued To
80-21	Anchorage and Support of Safety-Related Electrical Equipment	5/16/80	All power reactors facilities with an OL or CP
80-20	Loss of Decay Heat Removal Capability at Davis-Besse Unit 1 While in a Refueling Mode	5/8/80	All light water reactor facilities holding power reactor OLs or CPs
80-19	NIOSH Recall of Recircu- lating-Mode (Closed-Circuit) Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus (Rebreathers)	5/6/80	All holders of a power reactor OL, Research Reactor License, Fuel Cycle Facility License and Priority I Material License
80-18	Possible Weapons Smuggling Pouch	5/5/80	All power reactor facilities with an OL, fuel fabrication and processing facilities and Materials Priority I licensees (processors and distributors)
80-17	Potential Hazards Associated With Interchangable Parts On Radiographic Equipment	5/5/80	All radiography Licenses
80-16	Shaft Seal Packing Causes Binding In Main Steam Swing Check And Isolation Valves	4/29/80	All power reactor facilities in your Region with an OL or CP
80-15	Axial (Longitudinal) Oriented Cracking In Piping	4/21/80	All Light Water Reactor Facilities holding power reactor OLs or CPs
80-14	Safety Suggestions From Employees	4/2/80	All power reactor facilities with an OL or CP
80-13	General Electric Type SBM Control Switches - Defective Cam Followers	4/2/80	All light water reactor facilities holding power reactor OLs or CPs