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TECHNICAL OBJECTIVES: To further develop and apply computer simulation models and other methods of quantitative analysis in assessing the effects of power plant entrainment and impingement on the striped bass population in the Hudson River.

STATUS OF SUBTASKS: Although substantial progress was made on Subtask II, all subtasks are behind schedule.

## MAJOR ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

I. Quantitative Methodologies for Estimating the Probability of Entrainment Mortality

Preparation of a manuscript entitled "Detectability and precision of Estimates of Entrainment Mortality of Ichthyoplankton" was continued.
II. Stock-Recruitment Analysis

A draft manuscript has been prepared including analyses for California striped bass and, as a basis for comparison, for Atlantic menhaden and American shad.
III. Relative Contribution

Text for the methods section of the report were drafted. Further analysis and writing will be postponed until FY 1981.

PUBLICATIONS, PRESENTATIONS, AND MEETINGS: None.
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PROJECT (189 No.): B0423 - Hudson River White Perch
PERSON IN CHARGE: Webster Van Winkle
PRINCIPAL SCIENTIST: Lawrence W. Barnthouse
TECHNICAL OBJECTIVES: To complete the topical reports on estimating and evaluating collection rates and conditional mortality rates due to impingement of white perch at the Indian Point Nuclear Station and the other power plants on the Hudson River. To collect, compile, and analyze data on white perch entrainment losses and densitydependent growth. To review data and i.Iformation on white perch from other water bodies. To document in a second topical report the results of the new analyses and to make a detemination whether the combined entrainment and impingement losses may have an adverse impact on the Hudson River white perch population.

STATUS OF SUBTASKS: Work on all subtasks is proceeding on schedule.

## MAJOR ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

A. Direct Impact of Impingement on the Hudson River White Perch Population

Using data on the 1974 and 1975 wite perch year classes in the Hudson River, separate conditional impingement mortality rates were compuled for (a) each of six power plants, and (b) each of four seasons. The methods and results will be presented at the 5th National Workshop on Entrainment and Impingement, San Francisco, May 5-7, 1980. A manusript (copy attached) has been written and will be published in the workshop proceedings.
B. Analysis of White Perch Impingement Rates

The manuscript entitled "An evaluation of impingement rate as an index of vear-class strength" entered technical review. The manuscript entitled "An analysis of the minimum detectable reduction in year-class strength of the Huds on hiver white perch population based on impingement rate data" is being revised for review.

## C. Multispecies Effects

Two more generalized multispecies models were constructed and analyzed using loop analysis. The first is a four-compartment model that includes a predator feeding on white perch. The second is a five-compartment model that includes both a predator and a competitor.

PUBLICATIONS, PRESENTAIIONS, AND MEETINGS: None.

# THE IMPACT OF IMPINGEMENT ON THE HUDSON RIVER WHITE PERCH POPULATION 

L. W. Barnthouse and W. Van Winkle Environmental Sciences Division Oak Ridge National Laboratory* Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

The impact of power plant impingement on the 1974 and 1975 year classes of the Hudson River white perch population is assessed using a simple model derived from Ricker's theory of fisheries dynamics. The only data required are estimates of the initial number of impingeable juveniles, the number impinged, and the rate of total mortality during the period of vulnerabiliy. The impact of impingement is expressed in the model as the conditional mortality rate, rather than as the more commonly used exploitation rate. The conditional mortality rate is superior as a measure of impact for two reasons: it accounts for the differential impact of impinging fish of different ages, and it is numerically equivalent to the fractional reduction in year-class abundance due to impingement.

Since the calculated impact is sensitive to errors in the estimation of population size and total mortality, ranges of probable values of these quantities are used to compute upper and lower bounds on the fractional reduction in abundance of each year class. rest estimates of abundance and mortality are used to compute the conditionsl impingement mortality rate separately for each plant and month. The results are used to assess the relative impacts of white perch impingement at six Hudson River power plants and to identify the seasons during which the impact is highest.

[^0]THE IMPACT OF IMPINGEMENT ON THE
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L. W. Barnthouse and W. Van Winkle
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Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

## INTRODUCTION

Large numbers of white perch, ranging in age from young-of-the-year through adult, are impinged each year on the intake screens of six power plants on the Hudson River: Bowline, Lovett, Indian Point, Roseton Danskammer, and Albany. Concern about the magnitude of this impingement and its potential effects on the Hudson River white perch population were expressed in the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comission's (USNRC) Final Environmental Statement for Indian Point Unit = (USNRC 1975). In response to this concern, USNRC's Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research has funded research at Oak Ridge National Laboratory with the goal of evaluating the biological significance of impingement losses of white perch at Indian Point and other Hudson River power plants. The objectives of the portion of our work described in this paper were (1) to estimate the impacts of impingement on the 1974 and 1975 white perch year classes, (2) to identify the plants responsible for the greatest impact, and (3) to identify the seasons during which the greatest impact occurs. Our results can aid in determining whether mitigating measures should be implemented to protect this population, at which plants mitigation would be most effective, and during which seasons mitigation is most important.

[^1]
## METHODS

## Analytical Methodology

This analysis was performed using a simple model (Barnthouse, Deangelis, and Christensen 1979) derived from Ricker's theory of fisheries dynamics. The measure of impact computed using this model is the conditional mortality rate (Ricker 1975, p. 9). As applied to impingement, the conditional mortality rate is defined as the fraction of the vulnerable population that would be killed by impingement in the absence of mortality from all other ources, both natural and anthropogenic (throughout this paper we denote mortality from all other sources as "natural" mortality). The conditional impingement martality rate has three major advantages over other quantitative estimates of impact. First, it is numerically equal to the fractional reduction in year-class abundance due to impingement, provided t? at density-dependent mortality is negligible during the period of vulnerability to impingement. Second, it accounts for the differential impact of impinging fish of different ages and, when properly calculated, it accounts for the effects of seasonal variations in impingement. Third, the only data required are estimates of the abundance of each year class at the time juveniles become vulnerable to impingement, monthly counts of the number of fish impinged, and monthly estimates of the rate of total mortality during the period of vulnerability.

We have not attempted to extrapolate estimates of the direct impact of impingement on single year classes to estimates of the long-term impact on the white perch population as a whole. Such extrapolations would have little value because the effects of compensatory processes, which undoubtedly operate in this population, cannot be validly quantified from any existing data.

Given the dats described above, the conditional impingement mortality rate, computed for an arbitrarily defined time interval, is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=1-(1-A)^{u / A} \text {, } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$m=$ conditional impingement mortality rate,
$u=$ impingement exploitation rate (number of fish impinged / initial population size), and
$A=$ fraction of the initial population dying from all causes during the time interval.

If the time interval chosen is the entire period of vulnerability of a year class, and if the exploitation rate estimate includes all members of that year class that were impinged, then the value computed using equation 1 is an estimate of the total conditional impingement mortality sate ( $n_{I}$ ) for that year class. We found it desirable, however, (1) to break down $A$ into components due to impingement mortality and natural mortality, (2) to set the time interval for calcu" ation equal to one month rather than to the entire period of vulnerability, and (3) to calculate separate conditional impingement mortality rates for each of six power plants.

The two components of $A$ are the conditional impingement mortality rate (m) and the conditional natural mortality rate ( $n$ ), which is defined as the fraction of the initial population that would die of natural causes if there were no impingement. Expressing $A$ in terms of $m$ and $n$ enabled us to compute conditional impingement mortality rates for two different year classes using a single estimate of natural mortality. Equation 1 can be formulated in terms of natural mortality simply by substituting (1-(1-m) $(1-n)=m+n-m n)$ for $A$. A
complete derivation of equation 1 , inciuding a method of calculating $n$, given that $A$ and $u$ are known, is presented elsewhere (Barnthouse, DeAngelis, and Christensen 1979).

For this assessment we employed a time interval of one month in orier to account for seasonal fluctuations in impingement. Such fluctuations can introduce substantial errors into estimates of m computed using annual or longer time intervals (Barnthouse, DeAngelis, and Christensen 1979). We computed separate monthly values of $m$ for each power plant. Assuming independence among months and among power plants, these values combine like independent probabilities to yield estimates of the total conditional impingement mortality rate for each plant $\left(m_{i}\right.$. ) and for all six plants combined ( $m_{I}$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{i .}=1-\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(1-m_{i j}\right),  \tag{2}\\
& m_{I}=1-\prod_{i=1}^{6}\left(1-m_{i .}\right), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{k}=$ number of months during which fish are vulnerable to impingement, and - $m_{i j}=$ conditional impingement mortality rate for plant $i$ during month $j$. Initial population sizes for all months, following the first month, are computed in sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{j}=N_{j-1}(1-m \cdot j)\left(1-n_{j}\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$N_{J}=$ population size at end of month $J$
${ }^{\mathrm{m} . J} \mathrm{~J}=$ conditional impingement mortality rate during month $j$, all plants combined, and
$n_{j}=$ conditional natural mortality rate during month $j$.
Abundance, mortalicy, and impingement estimates
Estimates of the abundance of young-of-the-year white perch in (ctober 1974 and October 1975 were obtained from a mark/recapture program conducted by Texas Instruments, Inc. (McFadden and Lawler 1977). These estimates (Table 1) are based on winter and spring recaptures of finclipped white perch released the previous fall. Descriptions of the methods used in data collection and analysis can be found in reports prepared by Texas Instruments for the Consolidated Edison Co. of New York (Texas Instruments 1975a, 1978). Like any population estimates, Texas Instruments ${ }^{\text { }}$ mark/recapture estimates are subject to sampling error and to a variety of potential biases. For this reason we performed alternative calculations of $m_{I}$ using ranges of abundance estimates for each year class (Table l). The upper and lower bounds chosen were the upper and lower $95 \%$ confidence Iimits presented by McFadden and Lawler (1977). Since young-of-the-year whitc perch begin to appear in impingement collections in mid-July, we chose july 16 as the starting date for the period of vulnerability to impingement. The October mark/recapture estimates were extrapolated backwards July 16 using the daily instantaneous mortality rates discussed below.

Data presented by Dew (1978) and by Wallace (1971) indicate that total mortality among yearling and older white perch is about $50 \%$ per year. Van Winkle et al. (1980) applied Robson and Chapman s (1961) catch-curve method to Dew's data on yearling and older white perch in the Hudson and obtained a value of 0.49 . Wallace estimated mortality among age $1-4$ white perch in the Delaware River to be 0.54 for males and 0.5 ? for females. We
believe that 0.50 is a reasonable estimate of annual mortality ( $A$ ), and this is the value we used in our assessment.

None of the available data appear adequate for deriving reliable estimates of total mortality in young-of-the-year white perch. We have, therefore, used a ge of values. As a high estimate we used the value of 0.80 assumed by McFadden and Lawler (1977). For reasons discussed by Van Winkle et al. (1980), this value is probably an overestimate. Alternatively, we have assumed that mortality among impingeable young-of-the-year is identical to that among yearling and older fish, i.e., about 0.5 . Since young-of-theyear probably suffer higher mortality than older fish, this value is probably an underestimate.

We have formulated the model in terms of natural mortality ( $n$ ) even though only total mortality (A) is directly measureable. A and $n$ are, for practical purposes, indistinguishable when natural mortality is high relative to impingement mortality. For example, the value of $n$ calculated by Barnthouse, DeAngelis, and Christensen (1979) for young-of-the-year striped bass was 0.79, oniy trivially smaller than the total mortality rate of 0.80 . We have assumed throughout this analysis that $n$ is approximately equal to $A$. We calculated monthly values of $n$ from the annual values using the following procedure. The annual instantaneous natural mortality rate $(\because)$ is equal to $-\ln (1-n)$. Given $M$, the daily instantaneous natural mortality rate ( $r_{n}$ ) is equal to M/365 (Table 1 , footnote b). Monthly conditional natural mortality rates ( $n_{j}$ ) are equal to l-exp $\left(-d_{j} r_{n}\right)$, where $d_{j}$ is the number of days in month $j$.

Estimates of the number of white perch impinged and killed by Hudson River power plants during 1974-77 were calculated by Van Winkle et al. (1980) from data obtained from the Hudson River utilities. It was assumed that, for all
plants except Indian Point (where all impinged fish are collected), factors promoting overestimates (principally the survival of impinged fish) and underestimates (principally collection efficiency) of impingement are roughly equal in magnitude. It appears from data on the length-frequency distribution of impinged white perch that relatively few fish older than age II are impinged. Young-ot-... year white perch are readily distinguished from older fish on the basis of size, but yearlings cannot be clearly distinguished from two-year-olds. Therefore, we employed two alternative assumptions about the age distribution of the impingement "catch." First, we assumed that all impinged white perch older than age 0 are yearlings, resulting in two years of vulnerability to impingement. Alternatively, we assumed that half of these fish are yearlings and half are two-year-olds, resulting in three years of vulne.ability to impingement. It is likely that the true split between yearlings and two-year-olds lies between these extremes. The impingement estimates used in our aralysis are presented in Table 2.

## RESULTS

We applied the empirical model described above using all combinations of estimates of initial abundance, mortality, and period of vulnerability of the 1974 and 1975 white perch year classes. Because no age-frequency distributions were available for impingement collections beyond December 1977 we could could not compute $\mathbb{F}_{\text {I }}$ for the 1975 year class under the assumption of three years of vulnerability to impingement. Table 3 contains the ranges of estimates of $m_{I}$, for both year classes, for all plants combined. These estimates indicate that under the most optimistic assumptions, i.e., high abundance, low natural mortality, and two years of vulnerability, impingement
at Hudson River power plants reduced the size of the 1974 white perch year class by about $10 \%$ and of the 1975 year class by about $8 \%$. Under the most pessimistic assumptions, the size of the 1974 ycar class was reduced by $59 \%$. Overall, the estimates of $m_{I}$ indicate a probable $20 \%$ or larger reduction in the size of the 1974 year class because of impingement. Given that we could compute $m_{I}$ for the 1975 year class only under the optimistic assumption of two years of vulnerability, our results indicate a probable $15 \%$ or larger reduction in the abundance of this year class.

The reproductive value of a sexually immature fish increases with its age, because its probability of surviving to maturity increases. For this reason, the impact to a population of killing an immature fish increases with its age (Barnthouse, DeA.igelis, and Christensen 1979). Thus, the impingement of yearling and two-year-old white perch has a substantially greater impact on the white perch population than is indicated by their contribution to the impingement counts. In Table 4 we have tabulated the contributions of yearling and older white perch to $m_{I}$, under assumptions yielding low (low young-of-theyear natural mortslity and two years of vulnerability) and high (high young-of-the-year natural mortality and three years of vulnerability) contributions for these fish. Assuming two years of vulnerability and low young-of-the-year mortality, yearling and older white perch accounted for only $8 \%$ of the total impingement count for the 1974 year class. Yet the contribution of these fish to $m_{I}$ is about $20 \%(0.028 / 0.153)$ as high as the contribution of young-of-theyear. Under the assumption of three years of vulnerability, the contribution of yearling and older fish is about $75 \%$ as high as the contribution of young-of-the-year. The contribution of yearling and older fish to $m_{I}$ for the 1975 year class is even higher than for 1974.

Table 5 cortains an analysis of the contribution of each of six power stations to $m_{I}$ for each year class. Since these results are relatively insensitive to assumptions about abundance, mortality, and length of the period of vulnerability, we present the analysis for a single reference case: best estimate of initial population size, high natural mortality, and two years of vulnerability. These results show that the impact of the Indian Point Nuclear Station (Units 1, 2, and 3 combined) was, for both year classes, greater than the combined impact of the other five plants. Interestingly, the contributions of Bowline and Lovett were smaller in comparison to those of Roseton, Danskammer, and Albany than would be expected based on their contributions to the impingement counts. The explanation for this result is that relatively more yearling and older white perch are impinged at the latter three plants (Van Winkle et al. 1980).

Figure 1 shows an analysis of the above reference case by season. For both year classes, substantial impacts occurred only durine vinter (DecemberFebruary) and spring (March-May). Not surprisingly, the seasonal pattern of impacts for all plants combined is closely matched by the seasonal pattern at Indian Poilt. The combined impact of the other five plants is spread relatively evenly over the year.

## DISCUSSION

Our analysis shows that the abundance of the 1974 white perch year class in the Hudson River was reduced by at least $10 \%$, and probably by $20 \%$ or more, because of impingement. The abundance of the 1975 year class was reduced by at least $8 \%$, and probably by $15 \%$ or more. These impact estimates do not include consideration of entrainment, so that the total impact of power plants on these year classes was even greater than is indicated by our analysis.

The fact that yearling and older white perch are vulnerable to impingement contributes to the surprisingly high impact of impingement on this population. However, it is the seasonal distribution of white 2 s.ch that is primarily responsible for their vilnerability. These fish migrate to the lower and middle estuery, where the Bowline, Lovett, and Indian Point plants are located, during the late fall and remain there through the winter (McFadden 1977). Studies conducted by Texas Instruments (1974, 1975b) suggest that the high levels of winter impingement of white perch at Indian Point may be related to their preference Jor deep areas of the Hudson River channel. In the vicinity of Indian Point the channel is located along the east shore of the Hudson, adjacent to the Indian Point intakes. Impingement "events" at Indian Point are also related to the presence of high concentrations of white perch in the vicinity of the salt front, which fluctuates above and below the plant during the winter. The mobility of these overwintering fish, and consequently, their ability to avoid intake structures, is probably reduced because of near-freezing water temperatures.

Given the information presently available, it is our judgment that the level of impingement impact on the Hudson River white perch population is high enough to warrant mitigation. Since the Indian Point Generating Station is responsible for most of the impact, mitigating impingement at Indian Point is the most effective way to protect this population. This could be accomplished either by reducing the number of fish impinged or by increasing the survival rate of impinged fish. Since impingement at Indian Point occurs primarily during winter and early spric ${ }^{\text {, }}$, any mitigating devices installed must be effective at low temperatures in order for the impact to be substantially reduced.
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Table 1. Initial population sizes and estimates of natural mortality for young-of-the-year white perch in the Hudson River.

| Date | Type of estimate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Natural } \\ & \text { mortalityb } \end{aligned}$ | Population size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1974 | 1975 |
| October $1^{\text {c }}$ | LB |  | 12 | 21 |
|  | BE |  | 21 | 30 |
|  | UB |  | 39 | 45 |
| July 16 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | LB | Low | 13.9 | 24.3 |
|  |  | High | 16.8 | 29.4 |
|  | BE | Low | 24.3 | 34.7 |
|  |  | High | 29.4 | 41.9 |
|  | UB | Low | 45.1 | 52.0 |
|  |  | High | 54.5 | 62.9 |

${ }^{a_{B E}}$ denotes the best estimate of initial population size. $I B$ and UB denote the lower and upper bounds, respectively, of the $95 \%$ confidence interval about the best estimate.
 of vulnerability to impingement. This instantaneous natural mortality rate corresponds to an annual (i.e., 365 days) conditional mortality rate due to all causes of mortality other than impingement of 0.5 .

High natural mortality: $r_{n}=0.004409$ per day from July 16 as young-of-the-year to May 31 of the following year just as they become yearlings. This instantaneous natural mortality rate corresponds to an annual (i.e., 365 days) condition $\varepsilon^{7}$ mortality rate due to all causes other than impingement of $0.8 . n_{n}=0.001899$ per day from June 1 as yearlings until the end of the period of vulnerability.
${ }^{c}$ Size of the Hudson River young-of-the-year white perch population on October 1, as estimated by Texas Instruments using mark-recapture techniques (McFadden and Lawler, 1977, p. 2-VII-2, as modified by errata).
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {Size }}$ of the Hudson River young-of-the-year white perch population on July 16. It is calculated using the equation

$$
P_{\text {July } 16}=P_{\text {October } 1} / \exp \left(-76 r_{n}\right) \text {, }
$$

where values for $P_{\text {October } 1}$ and $r_{n}$ are given elsewhere in this table and 76 is the number of days between July 16 and October 1.

Table 2. Monthly estimates of the number of white perch impinged at ail the Hudson River power plants combined for the 1974 and 1975 year classese

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { (years) } \end{gathered}$ | Month | Year class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1974 |  | 1975 |  |
|  |  | Number of years of vulnerability |  | Number of years of vulnerability |  |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 0 | 6 | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 8,898 \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 7 | 3,48614,887 |  |  |  |
|  | 8 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 8,898 \\ 97,910 \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 14,887 \\ & 26,239 \end{aligned}$ |  | 83,980 |  |
|  | 10 | 112,957 |  | 93,888 |  |
|  | 11 | 245,492 |  | 239,150 |  |
|  | 12 | $607,434$ |  | 348,596 |  |
|  | 1 | $415,724$ |  | 589,206 |  |
|  | 2 | 270,571 |  | 182,891 |  |
|  | 3 | 139,751 |  | 130,261 |  |
|  | 4 | 609,090 |  | 111,820 |  |
|  | 5 | 91,910 |  | 40,151 |  |
|  |  | - |  |  | 13,507 |
| 1 | 6 | 37,242 18,621 |  | 27,014 |  |
|  | 7 | 22,126 11,063 |  | 13,835 6,916 |  |
|  | 8 | 14,122 7,061 |  | 6,770 3,385 |  |
|  | 9 | 19,924 9,962 |  | 13,791 6,896 |  |
|  | 10 | 19,534 9,767 |  | 25,676 12,838 |  |
|  | 11 | 28,005 14,002 |  | 12,552 6,276 |  |
|  | 12 | 7,803 3,902 |  | 48,102 24,051 |  |
|  | 1 | 38,078 19,039 |  | 143,010 71,505 |  |
|  | 2 | 9,293 4,646 |  | 43,558 21,779 |  |
|  | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 12,444 \\ & 14,103 \end{aligned}$ | 6,222 | 49,57938,692 | 21,779 24,790 |
|  | 4 |  | 7,052 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19,346 \\ & 28,183 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 5 | 7.612 | 3,806 | 38,692 56,365 |  |
| 2 | 6 |  | 13,507 |  | 35,710 |
|  | 7 |  | 6,918 |  | 8,805 |
|  | 8 |  | 3,385 |  | 12,662 |
|  | 9 |  | 6,896 |  | 8,736 |
|  | 10 |  | 12,838 |  | 17,362 |
|  | 11 |  | 6,276 |  | 19,145 |
|  | 12 |  | 24,051 |  | 10,890 |
|  | 1 |  | 71,505 |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | 21,779 |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | 24,790 |  |  |
|  | 4 |  | 19,346 |  |  |
|  | 5 |  | 28,182 |  |  |

$\mathbf{a}_{\text {From Table }} 7$ of Van Winkle et al. (1980).

Table 3. Estimates of total conditional impingement mortality rates ( $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ) and impingement exploitation rates (in parontheses) for the 1974 and 1975 year classes of the lludson River white perch population. Estimates were computed using all combinations of assumptions about initial population size, natural mortality, und number of years of vulnerability. a

| Number of years of vulnerability | Year class | Initial Population Size ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low |  | Best estimate |  | High |  |
|  |  | Natural mortality rate ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | Natural mortality rate ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | Natural mortality rate ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
|  |  | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High |
| 2 | 1974 | 0.309 | 0.446 | 0.177 | 0.255 | 0.095 | 0.137 |
|  |  | (0.165) | (0.200) | (7.094) | (0.114) | (0.051) | (0.061) |
|  | 1975 | 0.166 | 0.245 | 0.116 | 0.172 | 0.077 | 0.115 |
|  |  | (0.082) | (0.099) | (0.057) | (0.069) | (0.038) | (0.046) |
| 3 | 1974 | 0.387 | 0.588 | 0.221 | 0.336 | 0.119 | 0.181 |
|  |  | (0.172) | (0.209) | (0.053) | (0.119) | (0.053) | (0.054) |
|  | 1975 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

$\mathrm{a}_{\text {Total }}$ conditional impingement mortality rate calculated using Eq. (3) in text. Total conditional impingement mortality rates are equal o fractional (or percent) reductions in year-class strength due to impingement, assuming no compensation.

Exploitation rate calculated by dividing the total number of white perch impinged in a year class during the entire period of vulnerability by the initial size of the young-of-the-year population at the start of the period of vulnerability.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ See Table 2.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ See Table 1.
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {See footnote }} \mathrm{b}$ to Table 1 .

Table 4. Contributions of age 0 versus age $1+$ white perch to impingement counts and to conditional impingement mortality rates for representative cases yielding low and high contributions of older fish to $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{I}}{ }^{\text {a }}$

| Year Class | Case | Age 0 |  | Age 1+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fraction of impingement count | $m_{0}$ | Fraction of impingement count | $\mathrm{m}_{1}+$ |
| 1974 | Low natural mortality, 2 years of vulnerabilityb | 0.917 | 0.153 | 0.083 | 0.028 |
| 1975 | Low natural mortality, 2 years of vulnerabilityb | 0.801 | 0.077 | 0.199 | 0.043 |
| 1974 | High nataral mortality, 3 years of vulnerabilityc | 0.878 | 0.211 | 0.122 | 0.158 |

${ }^{a}$ All cases use the best estimates of population size (Table 1).
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {Assumptions }}$ yielding low contributions of age $1+$ impingement to the conditional impingement mortality rate are low age 0 mortality and 2 years of vulnerability to impingement.
${ }^{c}$ Assumptions yielding high contributions of age $1+$ impingement to conditional impingement mortality rate are high age 0 mortality and 3 years of vulnerability to impingement.

Table 5. Relative contributions of six power plants to impingement counts and plant-specific conditional impingement mortality rates ( $m_{i}$.) for the $1974 \varepsilon$ nd 1975 white perch year classes. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Plant ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1274 Year Class |  | 1975 Year Class |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fraction of impingement count | $\mathrm{m}_{1}$. | Fraction of impingenent count | $\mathrm{m}_{1}$. |
| Bowline | 0.134 | 0.033 | 0.066 | 0.013 |
| Lovett | 0.034 | 0.008 | 0.020 | 0.003 |
| Indian Point | 0.771 | 0.197 | 0.764 | 0.124 |
| Roseton | 0.023 | 0.011 | $0.06 \%$ | 0.016 |
| Danskamer | 0.025 | 0.011 | 0.058 | 0.016 |
| Albany | 0.017 | 0.011 | 0.025 | 0.008 |

[^2]

Figure 1. Seasonal comparison of conditional impingement mortality rates for all plants combined, for Indian Point (all units combined), and for all other plants combined.
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