Power Supply Engineering and Services



Ksf 16, 1980

MATCH MUCLEAR FLAST KINDS Permit No. 64. 004120

Ex. J. Lacusrd Ledbetter, Director Reviromental Protection Division 27% Eachington Street, S. W. Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Lear Sir:

As required by the terms of subject permit, I am forwarding the estimated letter notification by Mr. Man Menny, Plant Manager, of a meaninglying discharge of free available abloring in the cooling tower blowdown.

Yours very truly,

r. E. byerley

Monoger of Environmental Affairs

TEB/em

mr. Mr. J. H. Boykin

Mr. V. A. Widner

Mr. R. H. Bobler

Mr. D. O. Foster

hr. J. R. Jordan

Mr. Max Muncy

INTEROFFICE COMMUNICATION

May 16, 1980 PM-80-538

PLANT E. I. HATCH
Report of NPDES Chlorine Limit Violation

Mr. R. H. Bohler

On May 12, 1980, Unit II circ. water overflow exceeded the NPDES limit of 0.2 ppm average residual chloring and 0.5 ppm maximum residual chloring.

The circ. water chlorination was automatically started at 1230 hours. At 1250 hours, the technician discovered the tower overflow free chlorine fesidual to be 0.85 ppm. The level of chlorine continued to rise reaching a maximum value of 5.0 ppm at 1350 hours. The chlorine concentration remained at approximately 5.0 ppm until the overflow was terminated at 1445 hours. We estimate the total time the limits were exceeded to be one hour and fifty-five minutes. The estimated discharge rate was 5000 gpm.

The chlorine limit was exceeded because of the following conditions:

- 1. The chlorine demand of the circ. water system was determined while Unit II was near full power operation for several days. The violation occurred when the unit had been shut down for 3 days with no appreciable heat load on the system. Consequently, chlorine at a high feedrate (predicted when the system had a high chlorine demand) was injected into the relatively low demand system producing the high chlorine residuals.
- 2. The free chlorine analyzer used to terminate the chlorination cycle if chlorine residuals exceed a predetermined set point was out of previce due to a clogged line that feeds the analyzer cell.

To prevent a recurrence, the following actions will be taken:

- 1. The chlorine analyzer will be reprired and returned to service.
- Caution notices will be placed in the appropriate procedures to warn the operator/technician as to the possibilities of chlorine demand changing with power changes and the consequences of high chlorine feedrates on low demand systems.

No further period of non-compliance is expected as a result of this violation. If additional information is required, please contact Mr. W. H. Rogers at extension 120.

for M. Manry Plant Manager

JDB:tab

Xc: R. J. Kelly

W. A. Widner

T. V. Greene

C. T. Moore

W. H. Rogers

C. L. Coggin

D. Smith

File

11