•	CONTROL BLOCK:
0 1	N C B E P 2 3 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 4 5 5 LICENSE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE JO 57 CAT 58
CON'T	REPORT L 6 0 5 0 - 10 3 2 4 7 0 3 1 1 8 0 8 0 5 1 3 8 0 9
0 2	While decontaminating the torus in preparation for torus modifications, the torus
0 3	coating was found to be blistered under the normal waterline with 1/4" to 1/2"
	diameter intact blisters. A combination of high pressure air and demineralized water
0 4	sprays and hydrolasing has no adverse effects on the coating. The coating was Model
0 5	6548/7107/7475 (3 coat). This event in no way affected the health and safety of the
0 6	public.
0 7	Technical Specification 6.9.1.9b
7 8	9 SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE COMP. VALVE
0 9	SUBCODE SUBCODE COMPONENT CODE SUBCODE
	17 REPORT 8 0
	ACTION FUTURE EFFECT SHUTDOWN HOURS 22 ATTACHMENT NPRD-4 PRIME COMP. COMPONENT MANUFACTURER SUBMITTED FORM SUB. SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER MANUFACTURER (X 18) X (19) Z (20) Z (21) [0 0 0 0 Y (23) Y (24) A (25) K 0 4 3 2
	CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)
1 0	The epoxy coating system was permeable to demineralized water, which became entrapped
11	between the steel liner and the coating. The suction strainers for ECCS systems were
1 2	inspected with no paint chips found. Ten samples sent to Oak Ridge showed negligible
1 3	corrosion beneath the blisters while performing successfully in a DBA environment.
14	Based on this, the Unit 2 torus will not be recoated until the next refueling outage.
	FACILITY SPOWER OTHER STATUS 30 METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 32 NA C 31 Outage Inspection
	9 10 12 17 44 45 46 ACTIVITY CONTENT AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35) LOCATION OF RELEASE (36)
1 6	Z 33 Z 34 NA NA NA 80
17	PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION (39) O O O (37) Z (38) NA
7 8	PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION 41
1 × 8	9 11 12 NA 80
1121	LOSS OF OR DANAGE TO FACILITY (43) TYPE DESCRIPTION NA
7 8	PUBLICITY (45) NRC USE ONLY
20	NA NA 68 69 80.
8005	230506 A. C. Tollison, Jr. 919-457-9521

LER ATTACHMENT - RO #2-80-24

Facility: BSEP Unit No. 2 Event Date: March 11, 1980

UE&C has reviewed the results of the Oak Ridge testing and independently inspected the exposed samples of the torus coating following the DBA testing. It is noted that the samples removed from the autoclave testing were virtually the same as they were prior to testing. Four (4) of the ten (10) panels exhibited minor changes as noted below; however, no film, peeling, spalling, flaking or delamination occurred. Those specimens that changed are as follows:

Sample No. 4 - Slight increase in blister breaking.

Sample No. 7 - Slight breaking of blisters and slight increase in loss of adhesion in the cracked area.

Sample No. 8 - Slight increase in blister breaking and slight loss of adhesion of the paint to the surface.

Sample No. 9 - Slight increase in blister cracking.

In addition to the inspection of the samples, it was noted that a 20 mesh strainer (.040" opening) that filtered the recirculating autoclave water was clean. Therefore, no paint chips were removed from the test sample which could lend themselves to the clogging of suction strainers for safety-related pumps since these strainers have openings on the order of 0.104".

It is concluded that the BSEP Unit No. 2 Torus does not require recoating during the present refueling outage. This conclusion is based on the negligible corrosion of a carbon steel torus beneath the intact blisters and a successful DBA testing performed at Oak Ridge. Considering the minimal steel corrosion associated with the nitrogen blanketed torus, the steel surfaces exposed by the current torus modifications will not be recoated now, but we plan to recoat the entire submerged torus region during the next scheduled refueling outage. Based on the inspections and testing, it also concluded that Unit No. 1 may safely continue operation without jeopardizing plant safety.