Ms. Marjorie Ulman Rothschild
Counsel for N.R.C. Staff
United States Nuclear Regrulatory Commesion
Washington, D. C. © cujb5
Dear Ms. Rothschild,
I am writing a lettor, as I am stilizizythout an attorney and do not 20 anese ay being able to afford one. The Chapter of National Audubon, I am a member of, does not have sufficient funds to help me, hire an attorney. Also, I ameriting as to the why, of your intentional ommission farthe main reason, I arropposing the relicersing of Morris General Electric Plant? (You are too expert of an Attorney to have eissed it, or any other reasons, I stated.)

Is it my error, my contention should be my safety, my life, as I registered radioactive on General Electric Employees Monitor? And then proceed as to Bases or Besis The too close proximity, tc., and have continued from there? Per the ${ }^{3}$ Conys I sent?

My reasons for not intervening prior to Aug. 79, are; several Audubon Hembers tried to intervene on Braidwood and were refused on such trivial tochnicilaties and so ridiculous that only ad attorney (trained and educated for Intervention) could forset. whe as typing, etc., This is why I only equested dates, of hearings, and if oral appearances would be accepted. I could speak up and about living here. Therefore I requested an oral limited appearance to be read, and be questioned by the Atomic Sefety \& Licensing Board and attorneys present at the pee-hearing. After tire attonding the pre-hearing, for as long as I was able to bet there, (I had a Drs. Appt.). I returned home and reread the material you had sent me, plus others I had, notes I had made at the pro-hearing and thought about it, reread and rethinking, for a whilo, I decided ta I could change oral limited appearance to petioion to intervene, and have the time to prepare it better and answer the questions of Board, Attorneys, $t$ o the satisfaction of all.

I do strongly feel, your omassion of being radionective, is caust for to proteset and be allowed to intervene; for own personal safety, my family, neighbors and visitors, in the event, $t$ at their Doctor or Dentise would have to order X-Rays, as my Doctor did!
My erginal limited appearance was typed by and one copy for Mr. Goodhope. As I stated, 2 days prior to the pre-hearing I hired a professional paid typist, (you must know why, with tris letter) when I found I needed 30 copies to distribute.

As to my leaving the pre-hearing early, you and Dr. Robert Bates, of 58 Clinton St., Joliet, Il., phone $\$ 815$ 722-2231, have my consent and permission to verify ay appointment. Had Mr. Goodhope not sxtended and set a later time for linited appearances and Petitions to Intervene, I would have had to cancell my app't. and hoped Dr. Bates would reschedule the app't. if at all possible. I would have stayed and given my oral limited appearance and answered the questions as to my registering on G.E.'s Monitor and explained why I am so sure, with the 6 I-Rays ordered by my Doctor, (Dr. Nordland, who is 111 and Dr. Betes took over as an emergency for me.) was all I would need, is XaRays, and I will register as radio-active on a monitor. As will my fandy, and neighbors. And this is why I strongly object to the re-licensing of G.E. with the most valid reason of all, FY LIFE! Again, if you wishmi will request Dr. Bates or Dr. Nordlands staff to release the purposeze of l-rays ordered. I believe Dr. Bates took over ny records, till and if Dr. Nordland retarne.

I also, beg to differ with you on quite a fow other points and statements you make, wishing to deny my Potition To Intervene. If you and the Board and others concerned wish, I will so write and stato thom, in answer to your points against mo. I Can and will get necessary data, otc., th answer your points of refusal, but as I so understand 1t; The purpose of The N.R.C. and the Atomic Safety and Liconsing Boardy
are to protect you and I. And to regulate safely the Atomic and Nuclear Industrial Installations of the United States, and license Atomic and Nuclear Industrial Installations within the United States, so as note to endanger any one's safety and Lives. Therefore, it stands to reason, it should iG.E.'s place to disprove my statemints and not me(tofclarify them) to the satisfaction of the NoR.C. \& A.S. \& Lo Board, add Attorney's, in the interest of my safety. It should be you questioning G.E. why I can and did register on their monitor. It should be G.E. 's place, proving to you That the X-rays I had to have, did put over the safe limits, they and Come Ed. release. And this to me is the crux of the whole thing. One or two X-rays and we in this area will register radioactive on a monitor. My feet registied the highest. The count was 8 for my feet. The x-rays were to my upper torso, 2 chest for the Dr. to determine the damage to my spine, and possibly my lungs pother vital organs in the chest. These were taken prior to my annual pryscial. The day of yphyscial, Dr. found a lump on one the Breasts, and ordered a mammogram. The lab I was sent to, has the policy of taking two views of the suspected breast and two views of the normal one, tut t then the radiologist, in reading the mammograms has a comparison, and get an accurate reading and diagnosis. My spine if deformed from Degerantive OsteoArthritis and severe curva-ture. All, starting since age 4. And has nothing to do with GE, except my having to have the X-rays for the Dr. to get an accurate picture of the damage and if any to the vital organs.

My feet registering the highest tells me, the emissions are falling on the ground in our area. What does it tell the N.R.C. and A.5. \& L. Board? Or does G.E. have an answer? If the ground in this are is that radioactive naturally, why was G.E. given a license to operate? Also, why was Com. Ed.? I feel, I and the people in this area should have the right answer to these questions and are entitled to it. And this should be answeedid prior to re-ligensipe of G.E., if they are not adding to this already dangerous, to our safety, also, while at the pee-hearing I learned the pool at G.E. is only guaranteed for 20 years as of installation. Yet, the re-licensing is requested fee another $20-30$ years. The guarantee is long gone with even a 20 year re-license, as 7 or 8 yeas are already on the pool and off the guarantee. So, I definitely oppose the $20-30$ year $\begin{gathered}\text { ge-licensing on this ground and }\end{gathered}$ would also oppose even a 10 year re-license, as $1 t^{2}{ }^{2}$ would over the pools guarantee.

I an assure you attending the part of the pre-hearing I could attend, was quite an education and an eye opener, even to the Board, unaware of the fact, no spent fuel rods from Illinois are in the storage pool at G.E.

Please excuse my typing, as I said, I am not a typist, nor a physicist, wy safety and health are only concern, as I intend to live here, and want to. I love this area. And I have traveled the world and chose this ares, knowing Dresden I was here. Dresden I, I could and would live with, but not Dresden II, Dresden III, Dresden on site spent fuel rods of 3 reactors, storage pool, plus G.E.'s and feel and know it is safe. It is not t As G.E.'s Monitor approved.

## Sincerely



Mrs. Patrick J. Walsh
33 Pheasant Trail
Wilmington, Il., $60481 \quad 4-28-80$
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