

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

May 9, 1980

COMMISSION DETERMINATION REGARDING PUBLIC DISCLOSURE UNDER THE GOVERNMENT IN THE SUNSHINE ACT OF:

Transcript of Discussion of Final Budget Markup
December 11, 1978

The Commission previously determined that the subject transcript should be withheld from public disclosure until the Commission's FY-80 Appropriation became law.

Following enactment into law of the Commission's FY-80 Appropriation, the Secretary of the Commission, upon the advice of the General Counsel, determined that the subject transcript should be released in its entirety.

Samuel J. Chilk Secretary of the Commission ORIGINAL

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

CLOSED MEETING - Exemption 9

DISCUSSION OF FINAL BUDGET MARK

Place - Washington, D. C.

Date - Monday, 11 December 1978

Pages 1-40

Telephone: (202) 347-3700

ACE - FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Official Reporters

444 North Capital Street V'ashington, D.C. 20001

NATIONWIDE COVERAGE - DAILY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

CLOSED MEETING - Exemption 9

DISCUSSION OF FINAL BUDGET MARK

Room 1130 1717 H Street, N. W. Washington, D. C.

Monday, 11 December 1978

The commission met, pursuant to notice, at 4:00 p.m. BEFORE:

DR. JOSEPH M. HENDRIE, Chairman
VICTOR GILINSKY, Commissioner
RICHARD T. KENNEDY, Commissioner
PETER A. BRADFORD, Commissioner
JOHN F. AHEARNE, Commissioner

3.05.1		
gsh	1	P-R-0-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
	2	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: This piece of the afternoon's
	3	agenda involves a report to you and dicussion of the final
	4	budget mark. It's a closed meeting, and we are holding it
	5	fairly close as part of, in effect, the budget strategy
	6	meetings for Fiscal Year '80, and this tape will be
	7	transcribed. The transcription of this meeting will be
	8	released barring any privacy or classifying matters at a
	9	time when the fiscal budget has been acted upon, you know,
	10	as a matter of law and is underway, just as we have done
	11	with other meetings during budget stages.
	12	Vic stated that it would be useful to have a meeting like
	13	this before the event got too far out of line. What I will
(14	report on is the discussions with OMB which took place, I
	15	guess, a week ago Friday, and where our sort of final round
	16	discussion with people.
	17	Maybe as a prelude to that, though, what I will do is let

- Maybe as a prelude to that, though, what I will do is let
 Len, if you have some descriptive discussion to go along with
 this, maybe I ought to uncork you.
- MR. BARRY: I will just refresh your recollection.
- 21 We passed these summary sheets out to you here.
- 22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: You did pass them out?
- 23 Oh, yes, you did.
- MR. BARRY: We gave them to Stan's people early this
- 25 morning.

783'.05.2

gsh 1 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. I seem to have all kinds of

2 things.

MR. BARRY: I think we can concentrate our attention

4 on that sheet. You recall the commission approved for your

5 Fiscal Year '80 budget \$389.1 million.

6 OMB's initial mark came back at \$363.6 million, as you

7 can see, or \$25 million less than we requested.

8 We had a second.

9 MR. CHILK: Would you mind sticking the mike on?

MR. BARRY: We had a second meeting with the

11 chairman, Lee Marceau and Elliot Cutler level, along with the

12 OMB examiners. And they put \$5 million back into the

13 budget - \$3 million in breeder, \$1 million in improved safety

14 and I guess there was \$1 million in primary systems integrity

15 and 10 people.

16 From a dollar standpoint, we would end up with \$20 million

17 less than we requested. What happened to the \$20 million?

18 Well, \$50 million of it is in research. Just a little over

19 st million is in nuclear material safety and safeguards. And

20 let me give you a couple explanations on those, and we will

21 get back to research.

Nulcear material, safety and safeguards, their rationale

23 was that the mill talking work that DoE is going to do and

24 the work that we will be doing with them is going to be much

25 slower than originally anticipated. And in the view of DoE,

1000	5	'n.		
- 67	-		٠.	
- 54		B-1		

- probably two years off. And on that basis, we didn't need
- 2 quite as much money as we would have needed to stay up with
- 3 DoE on that program.
- 4 \$2 million reduction was in PDA administration.
- 5 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Which chart are you following
- 6 now?

1

- 7 MR. BARRY: We are on this one right here.
- 8 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The bottom line?
- 9 MR. BARRY: \$2 million of the reduction was for
- 10 document control systems, document retrieval. There we had
- a \$2 million item in there that said if we purchased the
- document control equipment that we are about to, well, in
- 13 fact. we are beginning to operate now, we could probably
- 14 save about \$2 million in lieu of leasing it over a period of
- 15 time as a cost avoidance item.
- Their point was times are tough. We are willing to go on
- 17 with the lease cost, rather than the cost avoidance. And so
- 18 they took the \$2 million out.
- 19 And it was about roughtly \$2 million worth of reduction
- 20 because they reduced our request by .66 people and some travel
- 21 and a little more administrative report in conjunction with
- 22 the people.
- 23 So that the main reduction is in research. And let me cover
- 24 that with you in about 90 seconds here.
- 25 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Let me understand that \$2

```
783.05.4
```

25

million was for buying exactly what? 1 . gsh MR. BARRY: The equipment that we will be using for 2 the microfish and so on. 3 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Instead of leasing it? 4 MR. BARRY: Instead of leasing it over several years, 5 we would purchase it. And I think it was over a 5-year period 6 7 of time. COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What were you talking about? 8 What kind of equipment? 9 MR. BARRY: It is the microfishing equipment, the 10 processing equipment. 11 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Not the computers? 12 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: The equipment we already are 13 14 using. MR. BARRY: Yes, the equipment we already are using. 15 With this contract we have with the company --16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The equipment that turns out 17 the microfishes, or what? 13 MR. BARRY: Yes, that's correct. Not the microfish 19 itself, but the equipment that produces the microfish, the 20 processing equipment. It is rather expensive equipment. And 21 by purchasing it over a five-year lease period, you can save 22 some money. 23

plan, that would have been a good move.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: If we budgeted it in a five-year

sh	1	MR. BARRY: Would have been a good buy.
	2	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: A year-to-year budget, why, it's
	3	tough to beat just paying the lease.
	4	MR. BARRY: Okay. So what we are really talking
	5	about, then, is how about the \$15 million in research, what
	6	really came out.
	7	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Let me just ask you a question
	8	about it again. Isn't that program up for review at some
	9	point?
	10	MR. BARRY: Yes.
	11	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What would have been the
	12	effect of buying the equipment?
	13	MR. GOSSICK: It wouldn't be until '80, anyway.
	14	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I see. You would have
	15	reviewed it before we bought it.
	16	MR. BARRY: Yes. We would have budgeted for the
	17	funds. And then, the \$2 million would have been in the budget.
	18	But if we had decided to cancel the program or lessened the
	19	scope of the thing, then, of course, in '80, we would not
	20	have used that \$2 million to buy it, of course, or we would
	21	have bought a lesser portion, depending on where the program
	22	grows once the commission looks at it or reviews it.
	23	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It is academic now.
	24	MR. BARRY: Yes, unless we continue to lease.
tei-	25	Okay, in research, the \$50 million reduction. \$3.5 million

3.05.6		
gsh	1	of it is advanced converters, ASTGR. Administration
	2	evidently has made a decision, but they are addressing it
	3	with DoE that the Administration will no longer support any
	4	research work in ASTGR. No more gas.
	5	They zeroed DoE's budget so they consequently zeroed our
	5	budget. And that was \$350 million.
	7	MR. DIRCKS: It is all gas, right, gas coal breeders,
	8	the whole thing?
	9	MR. BARRY: Yes.
	10	COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: But since they zeroed our part
	11	of it, that is not on the basis it is now ready to be
	12	commercial. It is that it is just not going to happen.
	13	MR. BARRY: It is just not going to happen, yes.
(14	That's correct, John.
	15	. Now, DoE, we don't know whether they are going to appeal or
	16	not. In talking to many mid-level management, oh, yes, they
	17	were going to appeal. But, you know, that is mid-level
	18	management.
	19	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Who is going to appeal?
	20	MR. BARRY: Appeal? DoE, for a gas program. They
	21	had about \$20 million in there.
	22	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: \$25 million, and that was down
	23	from \$40 million, or something.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And they changed.

MR. BARRY: Right.

24

25

3.05.7		
gsh	1	MR. BARRY: No. DoE tells me they think they
	2	will have that resolved one way or the other by the 15th,
	3	which is the next few days.
	4	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Is that going to affect our
	5	MR. BARRY: Yes. If they put money back into the
	6	DoE program, they will put money back into our program. If
	7	they don't, then they will not. They are also not permitting
	8	us any funds for any kind of support of NASAP along the
	9	same lines.
	10	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What is the argument there?
	.11	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It is over. By '80, it's over.
	12	COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: The point I was trying to make
	13	on the ASTGR is that at least a year ago, the argument was
(14	whether or not DoE ought to have money, or whether it was
	15	far enough along so that if it was going to go, it would be
	16	done commercially.
	17	That argument would, I guess, have no impact upon whether
	18	we had money. We would have to have money prepared to license
	19	it.
	20	But what Len is saying, I think, is that the decision
	21	apparently is DoE is not going to do it, but at the same time
	22	recognizing it is not going to be done.

MR. DIRCKS: I think they need a lot of money the 23 gas associates would have made. 24

25 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: That consortium that was

```
formed.
gsh
         1
                        MR. DIRCKS: They wanted big dollars from the
         2
         3
              Administration.
                        MR. BARRY: Another $3.5 million they took out of
         4
              our research budget, was in improved safety. Do you recall
         5
              in the '78 authorization act, Congress asked us to get into
         6
              approved safety, change the law.
         7
                 We submitted a plan last March, I believe it was, saying
         8
              we would like to, or we propose a $150 million safety
         9
              program. We articulated the items and the areas.
        10
                 Consequently, in the '80 budget, we put in $4.4 million
        11
              as the first part of a three-year program for improved
        12
        13
              safety.
                        COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You are not reading from
        14
              the chart; you are just speaking from memory?
        15
                        MR. BARRY: Yes.
        16
                        COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: If you go very fast -
        17
                        COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It is on page 2.
        18
                        CHATRMAN HENDRIE: I'll find the line item.
        19
                        MR. BARRY: Yes, go back to page 2. I'm sorry. I
        20
              live with these programs all the time.
        21
                        CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: You get more of that narrative
        22
              than is revealed here, but at least this is the detailed line
        23
              item. And here is the converter's item that got bashed in toto.
        2 ..
                        MR. BARRY: I was kind of addressing it in order of
        25
```

gsh	1	magnitude. The improved safety program, as I was saying, we
	2	went in for \$4.4 million. And it is the Administration's
1	3	view that in improved safety, we only ought to do scoping
	4	work. And once we have scoped a program of what safety issues
	5	or safety concepts ought to be pursued and further analysis
	ó	done and complete studies, it ought to be done by DoE.
	7	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Does DoE do any LWRC safety
	3	work?
	9	MR. BARRY: They have not. Well, they used to. They
	10	don't now, but they are going to.
	11	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, they used to do what
	12	we used to do.
	13	MR. BARRY: That's exactly right.
(14	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The pendulum has begun to swing
	15	the other way again.
	16	MR. BARRY: I think as a result of this, DoE put in
	17	a request for improved safety work.
	13	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: How much?
	19	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Where would that be done?
	20	MR. BARRY: What part of DoE?
	21	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes.
	22	MR. BARRY: I can't really tell you.
	23	COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: It would have to be done under
,	24	engineering technology.
(25	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Where is that?

25

Commissioner Gilinsky.

MR. BARRY: It is an interesting comment,

gsh	1	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: How much?
	2	MR. BARRY: You mean how much are they going to put
	3	into it?
(4	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.
	5	MR. BARRY: I really don't know.
	6	COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I heard they were talking
	7	about up to \$20 million.
	8	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: For the 1980 budget?
	9	MR. DIRCKS: That is what they propose. That is
	10	not what OMB is going to let them get away with.
	- 11	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'll bet you a cookie OMB is
	12	COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: \$3.3, appropriately modified
	13	downward to take into account the different grade structure.
(14	MR. BARRY: I would guess that is exactly right. OMB
	15	will approve \$3.3 or \$3.5 because the first \$3.5 million of
	16	their program has exactly the same description of the program
	17	that we were proposing. They didn't borrow our program to
	18	look at.
	19	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'm not sure whether to be
	20	delighted at the compliment or outraged at the thievery.
	21	MR. BARRY: They just took it and Xeroxed it and
	22	changed the name and sent it in.
	23	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: And applied a multiplier.
(24	MR. BARRY: Right. That's where we stand on that.
	25	That puts the chairman and you gentlemen in a Catch-22

- gsh | situation because when you go up on the hill, the law says
 - 2 we will do improved safety. I'm sure Senator Hart, if it is
 - 3 not the first question, it will be the second question. And
 - 4 that is, Mr. Chairman, how are you doing on improved safety,
 - 5 and how much did you ask for?
 - 6 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I am not going to answer.
 - 7 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Superably, sir. We have it
 - 8 all being done by the Department of Energy, where there is
 - 9 plenty of money.
 - 10 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I would have thought that the
 - 11 question that the chairman has to be prepared to address is
 - 12 do we want the \$4.3 million?
 - 13 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think as we get over toward the
 - 14 February bash, I think we will have to come to some conclusion
 - 15 on that.
 - I made it very clear in the discussion over there with
 - 17 Cutler and company that we really had to recognize there was
 - 18 strong Congressional interest in this, both sides of the
 - 19 Hill. And this, ultimately, people decided we should work on
 - 20 improved reactor safety at the \$1 million level instead of
 - \$4.3 million, we would go ahead like good soldiers and work
 - 22 on it at the \$1 million level.
 - 23 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Have we got a defined program
 - 24 for \$1 million?
 - 25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But I told him that I expected

10

.11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gsh

there would be some difficulty over that, and very likely

2 Congressional mandate —

3 MR. BARRY: Yes.

DoE for development.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: — to raise the level again which is going to give me pain if it is at the cost of other things and not an addition to the budget. But they have decided to go this way. And okay, we can do the sort of scoping analyses and first step off results that become available in the confirmatory programs. And then these things can pass to

The first year or two on these sorts of things could be done on either side by either group. And if you want to pass them earlier rather than a step down the line, I guess that is not an irrational way to work.

It might be that the sensible thing to do would be to try it for a year. And if we decide — a reasonable view might be, well, let's see how well it goes over there. And if it looks in a year it is just not going and we think we could do that initial set of things much better, why, then, we can say so and perhaps make the case.

I think the important thing was that the legislation gave us the right to look on the improved safety side. It removed that just ridiculous barrier which defied all logic.

MR. BARRY: ()kay, they took out \$1.8, close to \$2 million in waste management. The point is they have given us

gsh	1	a substantial increase from \$4.6 million up to almost \$8
	2	million. That is almost double. That the waste management
	3	program that they looked at in DoE is not moving as fast
	4	as what we had thought at one time, and that almost doubling
	5	of our waste management program was sufficient.
	6	So they took a couple million dollars out of that.
	7	COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Is waste management under
	8	research?
	9	MR. BARRY: Under research, I'm sorry.
	10	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Where is that?
	.11	MR. BARRY: Page 2, about the fourth line.
	12	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: They didn't get down to any
	13	lower level of detail?
(14	MR. BARRY: They did not. Just that the DoE program
	15	was going to move slower and they took a pretty good slug out
	16	of that program and correspondingly, they took a
	17	MR. DIRCKS: Does that mean the dates they have now
	18	are different than the dates the DRG is coming up with?
	19	MR. BARRY: Bill, they didn't articulate that well.
	20	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: But that's a very good
	21	question.
	22	MR. BARRY: Now, you know, they did not take any out
	23	of NMSS.
	24	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Since that program goes from \$4.4

25 million contract support to \$7.6 in '80, that's a pretty big

gsh	1	chunk.
	2	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: \$7.9, in fact, in '80.
	3	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, I am just looking at the
	4	program support level. So it is a whale of a hump forward.
	5	And what I observe is that research and NMSS are not having
	6	so easy a time resolving their points of view, anyway.
	7	COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I don't think we ought to
	8	be concerned with that, anyway.
	9	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: So I had a feeling this might
	10	actually be ample for the purpose.
	11	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This is \$2 million they
	12	won't have to fight over.
	13	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Right. That \$2 million would
	14	have been probably something that they would have got into
	15	deep trouble about anyway. That would have been the
	16	marginal contract.
	17	MR. BARRY: They took over \$1 million, actually
	18	\$1.9 million out of reactor environment. That was primarily
	19	epidemiological work.
	20	Their position there is that EPA should be really doing
	21	most of that kind of work and that the remaining \$4 million
	22	that we still have in the program ought to be ample to do
	23	whatever epidemiological work we can get into in terms of
	24	research.
	25	The epidemiological study is being done by standards.

gsh	1	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This is \$4 million for it?
	2	MR. BARRY: I would have to look here.
	3	It is health and safety radiation studies, is what it
	4	primarily consists of. This is for research reactor
	5	environment, R-63. I will quote what we said when we
	6	justified the budget here.
	7	Okay. These are our general analytical methodology based
	8	on in fact assessments for well, that is pretty general.
	9	Develop better understanding of temporal and geographical
	10	needs of base load requirements as a basis for evaluating
	-11	alternative technologies; develop formalized methods and
	12	models for socio-economic predictions and assessment.
	13	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Those are great. We have got
	14	to make them at the Harvard matrix of words.
	15	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That sounds like the boiler plate
	16	that goes out on all of our -
	17	COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Read that blue book. There
	18	is a lot of that.
	19	MR. BARRY: I was really hoping you wouldn't ask
	20	that question.
	21	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'm surprised.
	22	MR. BARRY: What we are saying is it is a new
	23	epidemiological work that we had planned to do with the
	24	additional amount. See, this is over and above the \$4
	25	million, that we ought to be able to absorb that work, that

gsh	1	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This is \$4 million for it?
	2	MR. BARRY: I would have to look here.
(3	It is health and safety radiation studies, is what it
,	4	primarily consists of. This is for research reactor
	5	environment, R-63. I will quote what we said when we
	6	Justified the budget here.
	7	Okay. These are our general analytical methodology based
	8	on in fact assessments for well, that is pretty general.
	9	Develop better understanding of temporal and geographical
	10	needs of base load requirements as a basis for evaluating
	.11	alternative technologies; develop formalized methods and
	12	models for socio-economic predictions and assessment.
	13	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Those are great. We have got
(14	to make them at the Harvard matrix of words.
	15	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That sounds like the boiler plate
	16	that goes out on all of our —
	17	COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Read that blue book. There
	18	is a lot of that.
	19	MR. BARRY: I was really hoping you wouldn't ask
	20	that question.
	21	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'm surprised.
	22	MR. BARRY: What we are saying is it is a new
	23	epidemiological work that we had planned to do with the
(24	additional amount. See, this is over and above the \$4
	25	million, that we ought to be able to absorb that work, that

gsh	1	research work.
	2	It ought to be on a much lower scale than envisioned, and
	3	it ought to be absorbed.
	4	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Could we get a more
	5	detailed idea on what that
	6	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think I'll share out this year
	7	and ask each commissioner to take on a piece. I've got a
	8	chunk that I have got in mind.
	9	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I like that one. That is a
	10	great one.
	.1.1	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: If you can get on first with it.
	12	MR. BARRY: Yes, I will provide that. The remaining
	13	portion of research, they took out a little bit in site
(14	technology, and that is simply, that was just because we
	15	had that — well, you know, site technology is a lot of
	16	seismic in there. It is broken down into various levels in
	17	our rank order, ZBB priority list. And there was about a
	18	million and a half dollars that was pretty well low ranked in
	19	terms of enhancing seismology work.
	20	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Do they drop packages or
	21	Just
	22	MR. BARRY: Well, they weren't pure in everything,
	23	but basically, they followed our rank order from the bottom
	24	up.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Just knocked stuff off the

gsh	1	bottom?
	2	MR. BARRY: That's right.
	3	As an example, we had a little over \$1 million for site
	4	technology research at the rank order of \$103 out of \$111.
	5	That's down toward the bottom.
	ó	And so they were simply that's what we call the
	7	enhanced level.
	8	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: They stepped it back one level.
	9	MR. BARRY: That's right, to keep the current program
	10	Now, every time you talk about a reduction, you ought to
	11	look at the 179 baseline at the same time. And you will see
	12	eVen though they reduce from our request
	13	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Site tech went up almost \$2
	14	million in contract money.
	15	MR. BARRY: That's right, and that is plenty. Okay.
	16	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: They allowed us, I would note,
	17	the 3D. You remember, we came along and tacked some dollars
	18	into 3D after the main transmission of the budget. They
	19	allowed it.
	20	On the other hand, they also docked us some money in that
	21	account. So they broke out even.
	22	In fact, they made \$400,000 in the deal. It was sort of
	23	like a commission fee for being willing to transact the
	24	additional item.
	25	MR. BARRY: They also gave us adequate funding in

,sh	1	law and between the adequate funding in law and the
	2	additional money they put into systems engineers for 3D.
	3	I had to tell them we had adequate funds between the two
	4	line items to take care of some of the reductions in systems
	5	engineering.
	6	So we just don't have a problem there. And then, if you
	7	add all those little numbers up I just gave you, you are up
	8	to about \$15 million in research. It just doesn't take very
	9	long.
	10	Again, I provided you a second handout this morning, a
	11	little summary page. I think that is a kind of good one to
	12	look at because we do increase
	13	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It adds to \$41.9.
	14	MR. BARRY: That is the increase we get. That was
	15	from the baseline we submitted OMB on \$330 million, which was
	16	not what was appropriated to us in '79.
	17	If you recall, we were cut \$1 million.
	18	So if you go from the appropriation baseline of \$322
	19	million, we really had an increase of \$46 million.
	20	COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: That \$41 is an increase over
	21	what?
	22	MR. BARRY: An increase over a baseline. If you will
	23	be kir enough to turn to the third page
	24	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It's deltas over the '79

25 presidential.

25

23 MR. BARRY: Almost. What's in the '79 baseline is 1 gsh the \$322 million appropriation, the pay raise for '79, and 2 about \$4.8 million of unobligated '.77 balance forwarded into 3 179. which we will now spend. 1 COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: In other words, the \$331 5 million is in no way related to the \$330 million? 6 7 MR. BARRY: Right. COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: The \$373 million is estimated 8 9 outlays. MR. BARRY: No. \$373 million is the estimated 10 obligations, including pay raise, that we have in there. 11 That is the difference between the \$373 million on this 12 piece of paper and the \$368 million you looked at on the 13 other. 14 We don't put the pay raise in there at the time of the 15 budget submission, although at the last 11th hour, OMB was 15 17 going to try to make us swallow that. COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: So the \$41.9, then, is the 18 increase, the two things you're considering. On the one hand, 19 20 it was the money that was appropriated, plus the carryover 21 plus the supplemental that is your base. 22 MR. BARRY: Yes. COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Then, what you are comparing 23

MR. BARRY: Plus the pay raise.

it to is the OMB final authorization, plus the pay raise.

gsh		COMMISSIONER AMERINE: 30 BOCH OF CHAIR OF THE
	2	year dollars?
	3	MR. BARRY: They are in '79 dollars. I'm sorry.
	4	they are not, really. One is in '79 dollars; one is in '80
	5	dollars, end-year dollars.
	6	COMMISSIONER AMEARNE: So there is escalation in
	7	there also.
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
(14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	.50	
	21	
	22	
	23	
,	24	
	25	

CR 1783 ERC: t#6 pmcc 1

2

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

increase.

MR. BARRY: That's right. And if you come back to the first page there, there is \$13 worth of inflation there.

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Where?

MR. BARRY: Where it says, "Higher cost and contracts," that's inflation.

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Oh.

MR. BARRY: That gets us the contractual support that you inflate. So, in other words, you say what's the reason for the \$41.9 increase?

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: We don't buy enough stuff, then, that causes that inflation to have any impact otherwise.

MR. BARRY: It is just the higher cost of doing business with contractors.

The reason for the increase of about \$42 million is \$16.6 of it is because of law, the full year costs of law.

So in '80 for the first time we will have the total cost of law.

DOE will share none.

This year they shared half of the operating cost.

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Then, since you have already put pay increase in also, somewhere embedded in here is the pay increase.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Where is the pay increase?

MR. BARRY: The pay increase is in Loch years.

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: But it is a different pay

ó

do.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What is the average salary of an employee going at? Can you account for it by these being annual pay increases, or is it going up aside from that or going down?

MR. BARRY: No, it is holding.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It has been pretty constant. It has been sort of flicking along at a couple of hundreds of a grade point, like it has been 11.8, 11.9. And it goes down to 11.8 and up.

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: When you take that average grade, do you take steps in the count also?

MR. GOSSICK: No.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: But that matters a lot.

MR. BARRY: Not average grade. Average salary, you

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: In average grade you don't take steps into account?

MR. GOSSICK: No.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What are we being told then, if the average grade is staying the same? Is the average salary also staying the same?

MR. BARRY: No, no, not necessarily. The average salary is going up. It has to because everybody is getting a pay raise every year.

MR. GOSSICK: The only thing is a step increase,

pmcc 4

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

13

17

25

whether we are making more step increases and people stay on and qualify for step increases. It would in time go up.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Could we get a little report on that?

MR. BARRY: Sure.

MR. DIRCKS: The tighter you are in promotions, the more your salary is going to flow up anyway, because you are keeping people in grade longer.

MR. BARRY: You will also recall under the Civil Service Reform Act grades 13 through 15 won't have automatic step increases any longer. And, of course, above the 15 nobody gets a pay raise anyway. We are all locked into the same thing.

But the average salary does go up over time simply because primarily of pay raises.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That's what I am concerned with. Could you give me a little note on that, please? MR. BARRY: Certainly.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: My guess is it is about 80 plus percent pay raise, and the rest of it is step increases.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Is the grade creep question under control?

MR. GOSSICK: Is what?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: The grade creep question under control?

price 2

1 2

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

MR. BARRY: No, no. There is no '80 pay increase in here, just the '79 pay increase. You don't put an '80 increase in there until after the '80 budget is submitted, assuming there is another October pay raise. So you are only talking about the '79 pay raise. So you have comparability.

When I say personnel increases, that \$5.7 is for the 108 people that you would hire in '80, plus paying for a full year cost of the 65 people that you are hiring this year.

Now, that is the reason for the \$5.7.

The \$6.6 in program increases is a result of a lot of minuses and pluses. Things go down and things go up. The net difference would be a program increase, contractual increase of \$6.6 million.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What is happening to our salary per employee, pay increases aside? In other words, is that staying level, or is that drifting upwards?

MR. BARRY: I can tell you -- I can tell you in just a second what it is.

I think this is what you are asking. For instance, our people cost as a percent of the total, total 100 percent, our people cost in '78 was 29 percent of our budget. In '79 it was 29 percent of our budget. In '80 it will be 27 percent of our budget.

Our program support, the contractual cost, the NABS and the --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: He has got it slowed down.

MR. GOSSICK: We have got everybody reporting as a mandatory item on their briefings they give us every week, and I think that we are seeing signs that it is being taken very seriously. People are doing things to try to get it done, and I am encouraged with what I am seeing.

It is going to be very slow. It is not something that you correct in the near term, but you just have to keep plugging away at it to get it down.

MR. BARRY: One thing I might mention, one last thing, is that even with our problems of nine different locations, we have hopefully through efficiencies, good management been able to hold our housekeeping functions pretty constant in terms of a percentage of budget.

Back in '78 we were running 13 percent of our budget was administrative costs, all the housekeeping, travel, so on. Next year in '79 it looks like it will be 11 percent.

'80 it will be 11 percent, just a little under 11 percent. So we are doing all right there.

And rents are going up. Everything is going up, all except the rents and so on and as a percent of our total budget.

If we could ever, of course, get in one building, that would drop way down, drop down several million dollars.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Do you have other things on these

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

things you want to note?

MR. BARRY: Just that. Of course, with the down market we did get an increase of 108 people. And because of, again, the Civil Service Reform Act that says the government will not exceed their 30 September 1977 limit ceilings, for the 108 that we get up, somebody has to go 108 below their -- or many people will have to be below their 30 September '7, level in order to provide up the 108.

I understand that there is two or three other agencies that will go up in strength, whereas most agencies will go down. And if anything was difficult, it was to give us people increases.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Let me comment on the outcome of the Reclama meeting and so on.

We, you know, played cut the deck and looked at each others' cards and so on. And we ended up with ten more slots to bring it up to 108 and the dollars five million back, which wasn't a great fractional return, in view of the widespread sort of all staff officers want Reclama and everything.

I must say against the background in which mos agencies are taking personnel cuts, to have had as much support as we had from the budget people over there, as Len says, the 100 plus, and the overall dollar volume is going up, it really left me at a point where you can always vote me down and decide to go off in some different direction, but I just conclude that with

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ce-rederal Reporters, In

2

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

further appeal and airing of the closing and so on is not appropriate.

And so I would not propose to you that we attempt to go back and argue over other bits and pieces. I will call your attention to one late running thing which was not a discussion at the Reclama. It was forwarded later on. a summary note.

By the way, Peter, I mentioned to Elliot that I wished they would, for pity's sake, stop mentioning our favorite group out there at East-West when they send us these notes.

The item that I refer to is a terrible blow to the Commission. They are proposing to reduce the representational allowance from \$20,000 requested and \$15 actual in '79 to \$7,500. So I was forced to call Elliot up and point out to him that pennywise is pound foolish here. And we talked back and forth a little bit and agreed we would send over some further remarks, which Lee got prepared and sent along.

I don't know how that is coming out. But it may be that --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I thought they gave us \$5,000 back or something.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Do we know if there was any recovery?

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Could I get a copy of this?

ce-Federal Reporters, I

MR. BARRY: Sure.

MR. GOSSICK: They were sent down.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That is what they look like. It is that thing.

The other stuff is miscellaneous stuff.

MR. GOSSICK: It went down Friday night late.

MR. BARRY: It went down to Cutlor Friday night at 7:00 o'clock, and then we had to go back to my office this morning and make copies of it. So it will be down to you.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Can you tell us something about the Appeal Board.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, you know, they seized upon your suggestion that we look at the appeal board function, and they said, "Hurrah, hurrah, we applaud this move of the Commission. Cut that out of the '80 budget, because surely by that time you won't need an Appeals Court."

I said, "Wait. We need that function. And whether it is absorbed into the Commission or stays as an appeals board or in some other form, we are going to need those things that those 15 people do."

We argued at some length on that. In fact, out of the ten people that Elliot was willing to allow me back, he finally got out of his vest pocket at the last minute -- half of them were normally, in his view, for Appeals Board stuff, but he did agree that we would in effect control the destiny

7 8

Ane-Federal Reporters, Inc.

of the Appeals Board here and that really the number that counted was the 2896 fiscal year '80, and if the Commission wanted a 15 or any other number Appeals Board group, that was the Commission's business.

And I thought I had an agreement with him that they

-- and also the documents exchanged between us would refrain

from mentioning that. Unfortunately, there was a note from

Joe Carney to Len Barry that got distribution down here that

mentioned the Board and suggested that, in their view, why,

seven of the plus ten that we had on Reclama were to go back

in there.

Well, I have since told Elliot, "Come on, we had an agreement you would stop mentioning that matter, because I just don't want to upset the Appeals Board people and have them go off submitting their resumes around town. They are good people, and I just don't want them to."

Well, I called Rosenthal and said, "Be of good cheer" and so on. And I don't think we will get any more. This also, you see, is where they snuck in the knife on representation.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I assume they are aware we are looking into that, the Board.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That was the basis for their cut, that they were sure we would find that the Commission wanted to do that or whatever.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

That's it, unless you have questions.

aspect of it?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Do you want a scan out of it? COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: In other words, the question in fact is where you are coming up with the places to get the board back up to snuff.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Where do we deal with that

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes, in part. And if you want to truck one more back into, allow the council to go up one from the '79 level, we can do that.

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Thy would you want to raise the council?

COMMISSIONE "ENDRIE: It had been in the original. It was part of the original request. I don't know. They ought to go up one from '78 to '79.

MR. BARRY: OMB supported it. Of course, it covers lawyers, and he likes lawyers.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: However you come out on the Appeal Board, I suspect we will be taking more interest in this.

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I am not arguing against it. I am just curious. I think what you are saying is you don't think ODC is strong enough at the moment.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, I think I would like -there are things I would like to see them doing that they are

not doing.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: What is the current strength on Somebody told me it was 16. OPE?

> MR. BARRY: 16 on board. They have two vacancies. COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: ODC, how many vacancies? MR. GOSSICK: At least one.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: That's not their fault.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: There was nothing they could do about that.

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: In fact, they must have two, because they are hiring someone.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I would think they would not now because we just cleared an exemption for them to take on board. And I think that's probably their '78 to '79 delta would be my guess, the one person they were allowed to add.

Now, if we get them a chief --

MR. GOSSICK: I don't know.

MR. BARRY: Mr. Chairman, you remember OMB cuc two spaces out of OPE.

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: OMB didn't cut any spaces out of anybody. They gave us numbers, and you guys asked for details. So they finally gave you details on what they did. They were very careful. In fact, on Joe Carney's memo back to us, as Elliot was in the meeting that Joe is talking about, that the total number -- we get total numbers, and they adjust

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

people within those total numbers.

MR. BARRY: You have to ask how do they build their numbers.

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: No, you don't. That is internally to them.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But you did ask, so we know that. But that is in fact --

MR. BARRY: They didn't all of a sudden come up with it the last minute, and here is how we did it. They had it documente .

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Sure, of course, because they are budget examiners, and they have to build their case internally just as we build our case. I am just trying to make clear I don't want the record to indicate that OMB cut us. We have a total number, and we allocate the people amongst ourselves.

MR. BARRY: My only point I was going to make, Mr. Chairman, you did irrespective put the two back in yourself. That is a judgment the Commission would probably want to know.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I didn't know whether to move one up from OPE to OGC, if you prefer to do that, or if you want to pull it out of the major office total. I don't know.

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: I would rather keep OPE strength. I have no problem with adding more to OGC, but --COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I would have pulled it from

j

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

the major office stuff. I am at a loss now as to exactly what it was, OGC, but I had a feeling they made a -- a better than some case.

I think their original request was for -MR. BARRY: Yes, and you gave them one.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: And I would be inclined to keep that.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Are you saying that you would not take it from OPE?

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I would not take it from OPE.

If it were me, I would take it from NRR.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And that is the place where you have a large delta. On the other hand, there is also a place you have a large mean.

MR. DIRCKS: That is the one they fell over in listening to so much on.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: How badly does Howard need the additional lawyer in there?

MR. GOSSICK: Well, every year we seem to keep coming back for 99 or 100, and they never quite got there. It is mainly in the administrative kind of support. That is the contract lawyers, grievances, we are going to have a lot more with this union that we have had before.

I'm sympathetic to his cry, and we gave it only after looking at his caseload to see whether he could shuffle down

bit.

3

4

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

other parts of the organization, which he has done a little

He has still got the case he makes on all those amendments. That requires a lot of legal help. And we have finally come out here with a net increase of two to him in '80.

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: We can always split the --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What would be the status of OPE? They had what in '79 and would be getting what in '80?

MR. BARRY: 18. They have had 18 all along. They are currently at 16.

MR. GOSSICK: They are currently at 16, I believe. Is that right?

> COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: We would be doing what? COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: Leaving them at 18.

MR. GOSSICK: That means three spaces to come up with one for OGC and two for OPE.

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: No, OPE is 18.

MR. GOSSICK: I'm sorry?

MR. BARRY: Just the one space. One thing we have to be careful of, once you've cut your commission staff, it is harder than hell to get them back again because of this so called overhead, and OMB and the Congress both are just not as amenable to overhead as they are to the line.

And the same thing goes for lease overhead, staff officers. It is tough to justify, and it is tough to win

overhead positions.

And this is a pretty good budget this time. We are not asking for much of an increase in overhead, very little.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay, you want it out of NRR?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Are we basically keeping

committioned officers at the same level?

MR. GOSSICK: Increase of one, 250 to 251.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: An increase of one, it would be the one in OGC. 251.

We could trim IND one, we could trim NRR one, we could NMSS one. Standards and Research are at '79 levels across there, and I prefer not to bump either of them.

Preferences?

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Among those three, not really.

COMMISSIONER AHEARNE: No.

MR. DIRCKS: It is too close to home on NMSS, but -CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Bill, you have got your DEDO on
at the moment, and I don't want to hear that partisan talk from
Wilsteen.

MR. DIRCKS: But you did go back and Reclama those, just a minute number to get us back in that spent fuel business. You haggled over five, and they gave you two or something like that. And now to take the two that they gave you and say we are going to put one of those two into OGC, that margin --

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

out?

end t#6

Aca Factoral Reporters

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: No, I didn't do that. I had no problem with NMSS. I thought it was IND and NRR.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: You want us to cross NMSS from the list of eligible offices?

MR. BARRY: Let me offer something too.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: What I look at there is here is an office that has, even though safeguards is going down, it has all that fuel cycle stuff there, a central issue, and for the overall office at that I had to strain to get it to go up a three in two places from '79 to '80.

I guess I wouldn't have any trouble with that.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: How many do we have to get

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I need to take one person away from somebody. Let's take it away from the biggest (Ifice in town. How about that? IND?

Good, 724. That has the ring of a number more carefully parsed than 735. Okay.

(Whereupon, at 4:54 p.m., the meeting was adjourned.)