

Duplicate copy  
for microfiche

79. 1 of 2

Publication Branch  
Division of Technical Information  
and Documents  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

800519097

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION  
ACT, REQUEST  
FOIA-80-162  
REC'D 3-27-80

Dear Ladies & Gentlemen:

I am an environmentalist who has petitioned the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (N.R.C.) relating to Big Rock Point Nuclear Power Facility in Charlevoix, Michigan (Docket No 50-155, D.P.R-6)

I cannot obtain all of the documents I am entitled to receive, and use in the Public Document Room at the local library.

Therefore, pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, I hereby request a copy of the following documents:

Letter dated January 9, 1979 from the N.R.C. to all B.W.R. licensees who do not have installed R.P.Ts (Recirculation Pump Trip)

All licensee Amendments relating to Big Rock Point that were not only approved but implemented.

Cross reference of all Reportable Occurrences (R.O. or L.E.R.) since Big Rock Point went on line.

If any expenses in excess of \$15<sup>00</sup> are incurred, I request the N.R.C. waive or reduce it's fees.

If the above stated request for information is denied in whole or in part, please inform me as to the N.R.C.'s appeal procedure. If you do not grant my request in 10 work days. I will deem my request denied. Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,  
Ms. Shirley J. Johns  
Rt 1 Box 88  
Ellsworth, Michigan  
49729  
616-599-2298

Dupe of 7901170371



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

JANUARY 8 1979

Docket No. 50-155

Mr. C. R. Bilby  
Vice President  
Consumers Power Company  
212 West Michigan Avenue  
Jackson, Michigan 49201

Dear Mr. Bilby:

Attached for your information is a copy of NUREG 0460, Volume 3 which details our current view related to ATWS. In this supplement a variety of options are considered regarding ATWS. We intend to select one of the ATWS options in the near future and to pursue it to adoption.

However, it is important to note that all of the options under serious consideration by the NRC staff (options #2, 3, and 4 in Volume 3 of NUREG 0460) regarding resolution of the ATWS issue for BWRs require installation of an RPT. While you have committed to install a RPT on your facility, Big Rock Point Plant, you have not yet begun to take steps toward such installation, on the grounds that you were awaiting firmer requirements by NRC. The NRC staff now has a firm position that RPT is required for your facility. Therefore, we see no bases for any further delay in implementing an RPT for your facility. The RPT designs discussed in this letter are compatible with ATWS requirements.

To expedite your installation of an approved RPT, the staff is providing a modified description (Appendix A, attached) of design requirements which provide some additional flexibility over those previously provided (May, 1978), but which the staff has found acceptable for RPT systems to be installed in the near future.

For all operating plants, the Monticello RPT design described in NEDO 25016 and summarized in Appendix B has been accepted by the staff as meeting the Appendix A criteria. Sections of NEDO 25016 related to ARI should be ignored as that system is not addressed by this letter. Some operating plants have already installed the "BWR/4" or "Hatch" RPT, and the staff also accepts that design as meeting the Appendix A criteria provided the changes are incorporated.

DUPLICATE DOCUMENT

Entire document previously entered into system under:

ANO 7901170371

No. of pages: 9