8005190024 TIC



NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

May 9, 1980

COMMISSION DETERMINATION REGARDING PUBLIC DISCLOSURE UNDER THE GOVERNMENT IN THE SUNSHINE ACT OF:

Transcript of Discussion of Preliminary
Budget Markup/Recall (a.m. Session)
July 28, 1978

The Commission previously determined that the subject transcript should be withheld from public disclosure until the Commission's FY-80 Appropriation became law.

Following enactment into law of the Commission's FY-80 Appropriation, the Secretary of the Commission, upon the advice of the General Counsel, determined that the subject transcript should be released in its entirety.

Samuel J. Chilk

Secretary of the Commission

DRIGINAL

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

CLOSED MEETING: Exemption 9

PRELIMINARY BUDGET MARKUP OR RECALL

MORNING SESSION

(FY 80 Budget)

. Place - Washington, D. C.

Date - Friday, 28 July 1978

Pages 1-129

Telephone: (202) 347-3700

ACE - FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Official Reporters

444 North Capital Street Washington, D.C. 20001

NATIONWIDE COVERAGE - DAILY

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

CLOSED MEETING

Exemption 9

PRELIMINARY BUDGET MARKUP OR RECALL

11th Floor Commissioner's Conference Room 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

Friday, 28 July 1978

Meeting in the above-entitled matter was convened, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.

PRESENT:

JOSEPH HENDRIE, CHAIRMAN RICHARD KENNEDY, COMMISSIONER VICTOR GILINSKY, COMMISSIONER PETER BRADFORD, COMMISSIONER

- L. BARRY
- L. GOSSICK
- W. DIRCKS
- B. COOPER

25

porters, Inc

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Let's get started.

Why don't we pull out our sheets on EDO staff offices, and start carnking down the line.

MR. GOSSICK: Would you like us to summarize the reclamas and setasides, or do you want to go -- how would you like to go?

MR. BARRY: We are going to give you a couple of summary sheets we would like to discus. We will just lay them next to you. They summarize both the setasides and the reclamas. So you can give it a quick reference.

(Documents distributed.)

MR. GOSSICK: Even easier, you can read it for the details, but for the total EDO staff offices, there is one reclama. That is Jim Shea, he is asking for four people; there is one setaside in IE, and that is export health and safety matter that is before the Commission, and BRG cranked in one position as a setaside. The only other setaside is in the Office of State Programs. They were asking for \$200,000 for radio iodine monitoring devices that would be used by the states, literally given to the states for use. And BRG set that aside as an item they thought you might want to consider, whether you felt this was something you wanted to do or not.

MR. DIRCKS: You mentioned that \$200,000 item in IP,

the inte

Federal Reporters Inc.

the international training program?

MR. GOSSICK: Oh, I didn't have that?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I thought we were going to let

MR. DIRCKS: They were putting it in.

MR. GOSSICK: That was not really a formal setaside was it? We just highlighted it.

So, I have a copy of the exchange of correspondence that went back and forth between Nelson Searing and myself, in case you have any interest --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Summarize it. Will he pick up the change?

MR. GOSSICK: Well they have not responded to our negative response to their request. We have heard nothing more from them.

We pointed out in our letter back to them that in the June 9 speech Andy Young proposed in the United Nations a U.S. nuclear assistance program of \$1 million a year for five years, to be administered by IAEA for the benefit of developing countries. And felt certainly, in light of that DOE certainly couldn't abandon the training program.

But, we pointed out, we do not have authorization for funding such contributions.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Sounds good.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Why don't we just say nix on the

ınm

rs Inc.

200 and settle that.

MR. GOSSICK: I would recommend that.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Good.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Why don't we plow down and start at the top, the EDO offices, 13 people and 20K which is the same as the presidential '79 seems to me unexceptional. I just check it off, whop.

Controller at 65, at 260.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What does the controller spend all that money for?

MR. BARRY: The 260, well we spend part of it on our computer, our minicomputer and the programming we do. Not the people, the programming.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: So this is contractor personnel?

MR. BARRY: Yes, we have some contractor personnel and we have a little study money in there we use in the case of a contractor, and some of that is travel.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: In fact, it is a little less than this year.

MR. BARRY: Plus, I keep a little money in there for, as an example, contingencies. A year ago --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, don't go on about it.

Did the BRG look at this?

MR. BARRY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: If it is necessary to send whiskey to the OMP, why --

(Laughter.)

MR. BARRY: I was going to tell you, last year we had down here court reporter costs that were exceeded of what we thought they would be because of GESMO. And that is how we paid it.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think that is again, straightforward.

We ought to scan out to the right on this sheet, '81 and '82, as we go along. The interest is primarily in '80.

But you need to look at '81 and '82 and see if there are any anomalies that we want to note and understand, and make a change.

On EOD, the recommendation is to go up 7 people from the '79 level of 95.

MR. GOSSICK: That 5 is kind of touchy. Whatever you decide about the NRR situation, you know it is tied to the backlog of amendments. If we staff them up to do that whole thing, then according to their template as Howard calls it, they could justify the 5 people. If not, it is the lesser number.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I had a little difficulty with the information they gave us on that. I must say that I don't have personal experience out there to contravene it, but

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the 40 man weeks for hearings seem to be very high.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: After I found out that was all lawyer time -- I thought that included everything and would correspond to about 20 weeks of attorney time, and then overhead roughly factoring the equivalent. But, God, that is just the professionals.

I must say I was not inclined to supply -- well, it would be an increment of 7 at 102, it would be an increment of 7 people off the authorized '79 level.

Let me just ask, were we contemplating -- if the House Appropriations cut of 24 from the '79 overall number occurre was any of that to come out of EOD's hide?

MR. GOSSICK: no.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I didn't recall that it was.

As we go through we want to keep in mind that we may -- that is, that the '79 manning levels may be lower than these numbers. You will want to know, because it may make a difference.

I was going to suggest an allowance of plus 4 on personnel to bring them up to 90 -- to bring them to 99.

> COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: That is including the NRR? COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Including everything .

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: For all purposes.

They had asked for 119. Lee was going to allow It seemed to me a little squeezing on that, it didn't seem

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

to be out of order.

MR. DIRCKS: They have got a very solid case in their administrative operation division, and enforcement division and their regulations.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: We've done it.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: It still is a lot of lawyers.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It is a lot of lawyers.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And if the argument for where the strength goes is better on the administrative side than it is on the trial counsel side, why direct that those two go in and so on.

The dollars look all right to me. It is compatible with this year, and I think it may even be a little low, but leave it there.

And for the outyears, I don't know whether to allow another 4 in '81, or --

MR. GOSSICK: Do we have to send the projection to OMB parsonnel?

MR. COOPER: Yes.

MR. BARRY: Yes, for '81, '82.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would leave it level for '81, '82.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Were you asking whether to leave those 4 in or simply having it fall off for the last two years?

24

-Federal Reporters, Inc.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'll tell you, my inclination is unless there is some clear reasons for going up or down, leave it close to level, or at most, and more as a sort of negotiating tactic than any strong belief in the trend, to allow the outyears to go up a percent or two a year, or something like that. After all, next year at this time we will be doing '81 in firm detail, getting ready to go forward to the Administration and to Congress.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I think I agree with what I now understand to be your position. That is, unless there is some clear indication of a big bulge in the workload --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Either up or down, why you either leave it level or let the outyears go up a percent or too a year, just as I say, for more of a negotiating tactic than anything else.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: The trouble is, we ought to be negotiating both ways, though. I'm not sure whether we have to negotiate harder with Howard or with OMB.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Do you want to leave it level or allow it to go up 101 and 103?

COMMISSIONER GILINKSY: Level.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Level.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Level.

On MPA, we have -- their present strength is being held at 76. That's because there were a couple of slots

Federal Reporters, Inc.

available and we are squeezing out unused slots to see if we can help the NRR pinch in waste management and so on.

Their nominal strength is now 79. There would be authorization for 83 in the President's budget. However, was there a cut on that from the 4, they would lose 4 out of that, wouldn't they?

In '79, if the Appropriations ---

MR. BARRY: Yes, they lose 4.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: So where it says 83 for '79, there is a good chance that that will be 79?

MR. BARRY: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would leave it at 79.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I would leave it at 79, too.

That is, yes, they will feel badly at being pinched down, but you know, you were precisely right. One of the reasons to retask that group was to squeeze 10 or 15 slots out of that aggregate of offices.

Of course it doesn't occur, of course they immediately gather initiatives to cause their group to go up, and that just -- so, I would leave it at 79 for '80 and flatten the outyears.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes
What is their money for?
Is that computer time?
MR. DIPCKS: Yes.

2

3

4

by the BRG?

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Do they have study money?

MR. GOSSICK: Very little study money. Not much.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Now what was the 213 knocked out

MR. DIRCKS: We took it out on -- one, we thought a

cut was in order; two, we rationalized they can get a lot of

computer time, EDP time done through Admin, so we just reduced it down.

MR. GOSSICK: Admin is supposed to fund their computer time for them. I think they have got them in the budget.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Has Admin budgeted for.

MR. BARRY: We just put them in the Admin budget.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Is this going to chase them off the NIH computer?

MR. BARRY: No.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: You just shifted it over?

MR. BARRY: We are trying to put most of that type of computer support under the Director of Data Automation.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay.

546 is okay with me. Okay?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes. 79.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 79 people and 546K dollars. The

outyears at 79 people, and the K dollars, 548 is fine.

EEO is a little puzzling. It says 3, but they've

- 1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

eral Reporters, Inc. 25 got 5 in the office at the moment.

MR. DIRCKS: They have got 4 fulltime permanent plus one interne.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: In terms of the science of all this, does that 548 -- how did it get there at 546?

It looks more like a typographical error.

MR. COOPER: It shows a preciseness that really doesn't exist.

MR. DIRCKS: EEO has right now 4 fulltime -CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Shall we make it 6 then?
COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Right.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: EEO has 4 fulltime, 1 interne for 5 in the office, and that doesn't include Ruth Anderson, the Federal Women's Program. So there are 6 people in the agency who are right now working on it.

In the '79 budget OMB said knock that down to 3.

I don't know whether it will go there.

MR. GOSSICK: Well, you know Ken Jackson, who is sort of Ed's alterego out there, deputy, has been selected for this Federal Development Executives Program, whatever it is.

And it is a -- what is it, a two-year program?

MR. B.RRY: Two years.

MR. GOSSICK: -- two-year program. But, in effect, he is going to be out of there, and we will still have to worry about covering this base. But I think we can work that.

to 6?

derai Reporters In

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That takes one of the effective hands out of there.

That seems a large office for the size of the staff. Furthermore, the split between the women's program and the EEO office continues to be an anomaly and annoyance, and I don't know what to do about that.

So, I don't know whether to accept the recommendation of 4 or what.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: As opposed to?

MR. GOSSICK: If you recall, the Senate in the '79 budget directed one back in there, one more back in there.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: As opposed to 3, or opposed

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, 6 is just -- there is an effectiveness problem, but it is not staff level that is the key. It is not staff.

4 would be, I suppose, as reasonable as anything else. And I don't know whether that ought to include Ruch Anderson or not include Ruth Anderson.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: How many people did Ruth have?

MR. GOSSICK: None, she is by herself.

Plasse, things are in such a state, don't tell me to put that thing back together just now.

(Laughter.)

Fed. al Reporters, Inc.

reporters, Inc.

It has been too much of a story to tell you here.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, let's not agonize over one person.

CHARMAN HENDRIE: Besides, late July -- maybe in the cool of the autumn -- an consider these matters. In the heat and humidity of the summer, it is --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I agree.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 4 and the 21K seems as reasonable to me as anything else.

OIP, there was the reclama for -- there is a setaside of 1 and a reclama of 4.

MR. GOSSICK: Here is our latest communication.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The setaside is for what?

MR. GOSSICK: Health and safety export.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think that is a matter that is up in the air in a sense, and --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why not raise it to 30?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 30 would be fine with me. I don't know.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Let me take a look at this.

MR. GOSSICK: Jim has recapped and rechewed the

arguments on his export work, and it is in keeping with all

of these. Worked up a new table. It is consistent with the

work forecast BRG put together.

But, I guess I have a more fundamental question and

Federal Reporters, Inc.

that is, how in the hell do you predict what our export workload is going to be in 19--

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, I think all you can say is this is an area which, if it goes wrong --

MR. GOSSICK: You are right. You are right. And this bothers me. I am troubled by --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: -- there is a potential for disaster.

MR. GOSSICK: -- by their ability out there to handle these things which seem to get more complex, even though we get more and more laws and guidance, hopefull to guide us.

But it is an area where, as you say Victor, that we can get into all kinds of mischief and embarrassment if things aren't handled well in the staff. And I think that they are working damn hard out there to keep up with it.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: (Inaudible.)

MR. GOSSICK: Yes, I think so, from what I've seen.

I'm at least learning real fast.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, do you want to come up to 30, or add another one and make it 31?

That would sort of split the --

commissioner GILINSKY: Well no, I think 30 would split the 4. You would be sort of dropping the 1 and adding 2 out of the 4.

MR.GOSSICK: You mean the 1 -- you mean the setaside?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

That's not in the 29.

MR. BARRY: You really ought to give them 31.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It was 5 people over the 29; 1 setaside, 4 reclama. I am just saying, set the setaside aside, and why don't we split the reclama. So it would be plus 2, to 31.

> COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Where are those going? Are those going on Joe LaFleur's side, or on 's side? I think Joe LaFleur has got enough people.

MR. GOSSICK: I think if you give them 2, they will probably go both export. He was making a plug for an additional person and a secretary on the other side.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, youkknow, they start getting into agreements with cvary blessed country in the world. You know, they'll have agreements with every South American country.

MR. GOSSICK: Well, I don't know. We have got a bunch of those that have been struck.

At any rate, that's a decision we will have to make depending on where the pinch is, and I think the pinch is on the export side.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I will agree only if it is going to the export side.

MR. GOSSICK: Okay, export side.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay, 31, 130 looks all right.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: What is the 130 for?

MR. GOSSICK: Travel, I guess. Is that dollars?

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: It is not 130 -- oh, yes,

okay.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What is it that you took out? What is this 265?

MR. DIRCKS: International training program.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Okay.

Do they have any study money?

I thought they had some.

MR. DIRCKS: I don't know.

MR. GOSSICK: Len, they don't have any study money

in OIP?

MR. BARRY: No.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I think they ought to have

some.

MR. BARRY: You asked about the 200,000 first. That was that IAEA.

MR. GOSSICK: But the 130 that is left, where is that?

Is that travel?

MR. BARRY: The 130 --

MR. DIRCKS: You ought to see if they have proposed -there is money around and if they have a proposal we can find
some money.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: All right.

State programs. Where is all this money from the state programs going? This 1 million 5?

MR. BARRY: That is a combination of training -- most of it is training.

MR. DIRCKS: They were running the Battle Creek training program, emergency preparedness. They have other things at test sites.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Are they going around giving money to the states.

MR. DIRCKS: Training.

MR. GOSSICK: Not really. They are running a program which the states and federal government as well send people to.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: What kind of emergency preparedness?

MR. GOSSICK: It is a thing that is run out in Las Vegas, Nevada test site --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, emergency plans around facilities.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Is that what it is?

MR. DIRCKS: For example, Ft. St. Vrain, the people who handled that were trained in our program.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: We are probably developing the best constituency out there in the states of any federal agency out of these programs, and I think this is good stuff.

MR. BARRY: Some of that money in state programs

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

radiological monitoring equipment.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. I would go with -(Simultaneous discussion.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Now we want to talk about whether we want to let them have another 200K for these radioiodine monitoring devices.

Well, some of the states, apparently, have a lot of trouble producing a dollar from the state legislature, so that their radiological emergency office can have it.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: But if we give it to some, why wouldn't we give it to all?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It is a problem, and that is why it was identified as a setaside.

I'm inclined to say no, because --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That's what I think. The state ought to do it.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Wait a minute. I'm still not sure about the 28 over the 24.

What are those 4 for?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: They now have 24?

MR. DIRCKS: They asked for 5 more people.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It is over 27, right?

MR. DIRCKS: Right.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It is plus 1 over the 27.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: 1 would not pull anything

24 e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

5 for?

Federal Reporters, In

out of the 27 the way you did in MPA? In other words, MPA's strength is --

MR. GOSSICK: I'm sorry, I didn't follow.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You didn't take any of the appropriations cut out there?

MR. GOSSICK: No, we didn't.

MR. DIRCKS: Peter, you asked what they wanted the

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Yes. What is the one -
MR. DIRCKS: It was for emergency planning, to

increase our strength there to review state plans and get more
plans.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I'm all for that. But why do we need more people?

MR. GOSSICK: As I understand it, we are at the point now where more and more states are coming in with their updated, revised plans in accordance with the latest guidelines that the Commission has issued. And we are just going to have, you know, more workload to do.

MR. DIRCKS: Before they approve a plan, they have to go out and observe a test. So we have a test requirement in there.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Why do state programs wind up approving emergency plans? That is, the licensee has a responsibility to propose a plan and the Board rules on it --

re-Federal Reporters, Inc.

MR. DIRCK3: This is a statewide program as opposed to the reactor people up at the immediate area and the license people --

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Right. But are these only agreement states?

MR. DIRCKS: No, these are states with any facility --

MR. GOSSICK: All states, as a matter of fact.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: What happens if we don't approve the plan.

MR. GOSSICK: That's one of the anomalies. We don't have any legislation which tells us we can't build a reactor there. It is a matter of persuasion and coersion to get them to put together a decent plan.

So far we have got, I think, four plans that we finally concurred in they are beginning now to shape up and improve.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Four plans that we have not had?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Have concurred in and that is only recently.

MR. GOSSICK: That is only recently. Washington State was the first one, and that was about, oh, like a year ago.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: There have been great outraged cries about lack of emergency planning for --

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I knew themewere in the vicinity of reactors, but --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But on a much broader basis.

The stuff in the vicinity of reactors sort of is aimed at, you know, the closer in sorts of events. But there has been an awful lot of criticism about not having more general, effective radiolotical disaster sorts of plans at the state level. And this program is moving those things into a decent shape.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Does this govern things like that spill in Colorado, transportation?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. You bet. The people that responded out there from the Colorado State Office would be the people that Ryan and his crowd here would normally talk to, deal with, argue over plans, and help with training, advice and so on.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: And how big is the office that is doing these plans?

MR. GOSSICK: Well, there are three branches:

Agreement States, Program Development and Emergency Planning.

MR. DIRCKS: I think there are about five people.

That includes --

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Five people who have gotten four plans approved in --

MR. DIRCKS: Well, part of -- see, it is a history.

,

The states themselves have been reluctant to go on and submit plans. They have been in the planning business for -- (Inaudible.)

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Are the states required to submit plans to us for our approval? Is there some piece of legislation that says --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No, I don't think so.

MR. GOSSICK: No, not really. It has been, as I say, pretty much a matter of persuasion.

I might add that one of those five is fulltime,
Marshall Sanders, on this national emergency plan. You know,
the thing you got all the little cards in the Cascades in
case we have to scoot.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

MR. DIRCKS: Levinger, Sanders, secretaries.

I guess there are four there now, and they are asking for one more.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think it is meritorious.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, I don't know if it is meritorious, but I don't know what we can do about it.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: It may make sense within the logic of the program, but I am not sure of the logic of the program yet.

MR. GOSSICK: It is a subject that probably gets about as much heat from the PRGs and, you know, all the people

eral Reporters, Inc.

that petition and intervene in cases. I think that we have been criticized for not doing enough. Whether it is unjustly deserved or not -- But, anyway --

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: This is something entirely different from the money you spend on Battle Creek?

MR. GOSSICK: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: So that is apparently designed to get these people up to a level of --

MR. DIRCKS: Training money to get people qualified.

And the planning is to make sure they know what to do.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: These plans, they would include what you do in the event of a transportation accident? And that, I guess, can happen anywhere?

MR. DIRCKS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: What other sorts of things do they cover?

MR. DIRCKS: Reactor incidents. They will cover if there is any --

MR. GOSSICK: The Ft. St. Vrain thing was a fairly good example of the payoff. The people that were on the site had been through the course, and I think generally the reaction was --

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Right. That's the question.
But that's not the training program.

MR. GOSSICK: But they are then players in the

-Federal Reporters, I

statewide emergency plan.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: But the course and the training program are somewhat different than the approval of the plans?

MR. GOSSICK: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Did Colorado in fact have an approved plan?

MR. GOSSICK: No.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But I think that the staff group that works in the area does both.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Okay.

MR. DIRCKS: People who were involved in the St. Vrain incident had gone through the training program.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No, no. But the NRC people who were connected with the training program must be the same people who are working the state emergency programs.

MR. GOSSICK: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Actually, I am more worried about the other 27.

(Laughter)

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: It hasn't come to that yet.

Maybe that is one of the problems, somehow zero-based budgeting

doesn't look very different from -- (Inaudible.)

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: No, it doesn't, because there is 24 hours in a day.

But they have exhibited a capacity for getting

-

2

1

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

themselves into trouble.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think that is kind of unfair.

You had a little miff there in California, and we never have agreed on that. But I think that's unfair.

You ought to talk to Bill Bishop about whether that state program operation is any good.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: (Inaudible.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: His view is that it is -
(Inaudible.) -- in terms of getting out, getting the waste

discussions out and so on. Sort of a poor planned operation

compared to other agencies.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: The position that there are only 24 hours in a day may be one worth keeping in mind in this context as well. There seem to be offices that come in and ask for that 25th hour.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I wish we could legislate a couple more hours in the day, I'll tell you.

28 okay?

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The 15 20 will keep these.

MR. GOSSICK: The outyears I think we envision another liaison officer. You know, we have got two of them on site, I believe. The '81 estimate, we will milk another one

Federal Reporters, Inc.

out of our own hides, as I understand it. So that the fourth one is projected here in '81, is the reason for that additional space.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I don't mind leaving the 29 in the outyears.

Let's look at the outyear on the OIP. I didn't say anything about that.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would leave it. Presumably they would increase workload, but they ought to get better at it.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But leave it 31 going on out. We didn't change it when we changed the 31.

MR. GOSSICK: That going from 28 to 29 envisions another regional liaison guy. You know we have got one in Region 1, Region 5 now. As I said, as I understand, Jim Kepper is going to somehow find one of his own spaces to provide a guy in Region 3. Anyway it is plugged in there for that fourth regional liaison officer.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Could you give us a total for the page?

MR. COOPER: Yes.

What you have done in 1980, is to deduct a total of 5 people from the EDO mark, which amounts to 319 people.

You have made no change in program support, retaining

In the outyears --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: No need to go into that.

MR. COOPER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Good, that's a page done.

MR. COOPER: Might I just ask for a little bit of clarification here?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

MR. COOPER: On OIP?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. 31, 31, 31.

MR. COOPER: Right. But in terms of rational, they reclama at 4 as I understand it.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: We are splitting it. 2 of the 4.

MR. COOPER: What about the setaside one?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No.

MR. BARRY: Oh, you are not giving them a setaside

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No.

MR. GOSSICK: That is 2 of the 4, both of which are to go to export.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The health and safety questions are -- you know, until we get a little more clarified on what the policy is going to be --

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: When is that going to come?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Damned if I know. Maybe if the

2

3

4

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

one?

18

19

20

21

23

H

25

e-Federal Reporters, Inc. Congress, you know, decides it will or it won't go in some direction, that will be a help. And we have a paper which is working around it. There are about seven options floating around. And I haven't seen Commissioner returns on the paper yet.

I think, if I recall marking mine, also saying in connection --

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Almost all of those documents entails more than what we are doing now in that area, including the staff recommendations.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This was one person that they thought would be detailed, or manyears?

MR. GOSSICK: Somehow BRG put in the minimum mark.

I think they put in from 1 to 3 --

MR. DIRCKS: The staff paper estimates for OIP alone 1 to 3, depending on which shop.

And there are other offices that would pull in additional, but we didn't estimate those additional. There is a staff paper.

MR. GOSSICK: Nothing in any of the other budget line items.

MR. DIRCKS: The total could go up to 78 if you go for a full program.

MR. COOPER: Shea did testify for us that one would be adequate, even through three was on the staff paper.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

MR. DIRCKS: That's in his office.

There are other offices --

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Is that one in there now?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: No, it is not in there now.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I also thought it was in the

31. How did he get from 28 to 31 with 2 people?

MR. GOSSICK: 29.

MR. COOPER: We are going from 29 to 31. 29 being the EDO mark.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I guess I was thinking in terms of congressional instruction. But I guess Peter in a way has a point.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I don't know. You know, it is fair enough to put in things in the budget in anticipation that the Commission may want to go in some direction. It is a perfectly reasonable thing to do.

Do you know how you are going to come out on the paper?

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Well I know -CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Not specifically, but sort of
general.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well Peter makes a point that most of the options indicate some -- (Inaudible.) -- so I figure it would be sensible to put one in there.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I must say I don't have very strong

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

feelings about it.

All right. In that case, we have just reconsidered and voted the OIP setaside health and safety program, one person. 32, hold it in the outyears. He didn't ask for dollars.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: All right. So we are up to 320.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Right.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: We still made a little gain.

MR. DIRCKS: Now this is only to get IP into this business. Now if IP gets a ceiling, they may pull the other offices into it, too.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Other agencies.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay, let's put that sheet aside quickly before we think of anything else to modify that, and seize the Admin sheet and complete the EDO.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Do we have a breakdown on Admin offices?

MR. DIRCKS: You mean where their people currently are?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Divisions.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: There is a breakdown here. You have just got the top sheet, so you may not have it. But there is a breakdown of the branches into which the office requests and the EDO mark went. Okay?

24

MR. COOPER: Page 3. in the Admin book.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Now, just let me look at my front sheet to see what my recommendation was here.

Let's deal first with the people question. My recommendation is to knock 9 off the EDO mark. Let me tell you where I would put them.

What that would do would be to add 9 people, instead of 18; 4 telephone operators --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes, I think we need those.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: -- 2 in the contracts division.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: What is going on there?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: We are having a lot of trouble getting the contracts placed in a timely way. And there are 12 temporaries in the office as it is.

MR. BARRY: But we do increase them in '79. There are 4 more permanents, all contracting officers. Most of the temporaries are clerks.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But it seemed to me they have made a fair proposition.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: My total of plus 9 for the office then is the 4 telephone operators, 2 in contracts, 2 for the division of rules and records, the FOIA burden, and 1 person in the security division down here in the intelligence and internal security documents area.

Federal Reporters, Inc.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Okay. You have -
(Inaudible.) -- seemed to be some labor-relations specialists,
support increased union activities.

I wasn't clear.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What happened to ADP?

MR. GOSSICK: They stay level.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Oh, I see. These are the changes.

MR. GOSSICK: The thinking behind that labor-relations specialist, I can't really tell you why they can't reprogram or apply for it. We are certainly going to have to get a lot more experts in union matters with this NDEU. They are an entirely different breed of cats than dealing with the AFGE.

And what they had in mind was going out and hiring somebody that knew this business to keep us out of trouble.

So, we are going to have to do it one way or another.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: But the word "support" really meant deal with rather than --

MR. GOSSICK: Yes, right. It is an adversary kind of --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What about dollars?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: On the dollars, I was going to go along with the dollars. I thought the contract dollars were -- If you scan back in there --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Have you looked at contract

dollars?

MR. COOPER: That is something, by the way, the controller's office monitors very closely.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes, it seems pretty straightforward to me. You know, it has this year's increment to the
document control. And the dollar elements, I couldn't see
anything to wrangle about from the EDO mark. They had been
pretty well scrubbed, my impression was, by BRG.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Sounds reasonable to me.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: So for Admin, that would give us, coming down the columns on the summary sheet, 32 million 8 for the administrative support dollars; 294 for the people; administrative travel 350; agency training, 750.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Let's see, there is one more question I have about the security numbers.

We have a vault here, which I think ought to get manned. There are just problems about us getting documents. The stuff goes back and forth, and it goes to Silver Spring instead of coming here, and we end up getting things that they write.

I frankly, would add 2, unless they can handle it with 1.

MR. GOSSICK: They claim that they could not. I mean, that they need another person to really do that.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But do they need 2 more?

ce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

_

Federal Reporters, I

MR. GOSSICK: Do they need 2 more are you saying? CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well their problem is that they are housed in Silver Spring and the facility is down here.

The question is, do we want the facility manned here.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: If we try to man it down here, I'm

not sure one person will do it. You will end up with a couple

to cover sick days and leave days.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: My thought was that if they had an additional person who was paying attention to this particular aspect, that he could, you know, come down, open that vault and stand by. At the moment, why it is Whipp.

MR. GOSSICK: Whipp comes down. Basie is a guy who guards the vault down there on Thursdays, or whatever day it is.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But at the moment Whipp comes around with his briefcase, and on Thursdays they have a man over there. You add another fulltime person over there --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This would be specifically devoted to the Commission, that one person?

MR. GOSSICK: That's what I understood.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: All right, let's do it that

way.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The way it reads is to handle

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

intelligence, internal security documents of interest to the Commission, and it also includes the Commission staff offices, OPE and OPC and such.

MR. BARRY: Can we have a recount again now?

On the Division of Facilities and Operation Support,
4 operators. Do you agree with the 4?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay.

The way the 9 people, in addition to the office over the '79 allotment goes is:

4 telephone operators.

MR. BARRY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 2 to contracts, and those are 2 of the EDO-requested 4 contract specialists.

MR. BARRY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Division of Rules and Records, okay, plus 2. EDO Had asked for plus 3.

MR. BARRY: Right.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Division of Security had asked for EDO 6. We are saying 1, and the 1 is that one position to handle Commission and Commission office intelligence, internal security documents.

MR. BARRY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The access program positions I just can't see going forward. I think what access program we, in fact, end up implementing will be very much more modest than

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

we contemplated.

MR. BARRY: We have 5 to 10 in there right now in '79.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Good.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And we are going to steal those, if we have to -- either if we have to support the House cut or even if we don't, we will probably steal them to put them into licensing or waste.

Okay? Good. We turned that one over.

MR. COOPER: And the total mark is 294 on people.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. 294. And dollars, 33900.

MR. COOPER: Right.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: All right.

Now, let us pull down the Commission offices.

I must say the Commission offices have generated a greater literature of contention than any other -- almost any other group.

MR.COOPER: Mr. Chairman, if you will pardon my tardiness, the outyears for Admin -- sorry, I neglected my Ps and Qs -- the outyear dollars reflect primarily the changing loads in such major procurement actions as the document control system. And I think, therefore, that the outyear, that administrative support dollars should be as the EDO recommendation goes. Okay?

24 te-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Federal Reporters,

now?

Federal Reporters, Inc. COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Let me ask you, does this have this document control, this Micro ish thing in there?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: So you are planning on putting the money in it?

MR. GOSSICK: It is plugged in there. If you decide to stop it --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The money is plugged in. If you decide to stop it, we will use it elsewhere, fish it out.

And for people, I would be inclined to go out at the 294 level. You know, it had been straightlined from the 303 before, and I see no reason not to straightline it at the 294.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Okay.

MR. COOPER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Now to Commission offices, offices of the Commissioners.

I recommend the 31 and the 210.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Fine.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: What the 31 does is just to recognize the four 6-person offices, and one 7-person office as the standard complement of the Commission.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: How do we allocate the 29

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'll be damned if I know. One of the reasons I would like to make it 31 is that I can't explain

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

25

24

how we got 29.

MR. GOSSICK: There were some giveaways or something in light of one of the Commissioners not being here. It is not a rational number.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And to retain it, what we have to do is to decide which office or offices are the slots. You know, that is if Mason gave away the slot, does the Commissioner who takes the Mason term have to accept that limitation?

(Laughter.)

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: As long as it is that term and not that office. Maybe I've got both.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No, you can't have the term.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Unless his also expired.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No, Ed's had another year to run.

I think Ahearn is picking up Mason's.

Is 31,210 ckay?

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: How are we using the 210, if I dare ask?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Mostly travel, is it?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: When I hire a consultant,

does that come out of the 210?

MR. BARRY: Let's see. You have got \$100,000 in there for program support, which means an occasional study for any one of you. You know, consulting, if you want to hire a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

25

want to --

consultant --

2 MR. GOSSICK: Does consultant fee come in?

MR. BARRY: It depends on the type consultant that he wants to hire.

> COMMISSION R GILINSKY: You mean a study? MR. BARRY: Yes, a study.

And you have got \$110,000 there in travel.

MR. GOSSICK: But consultants that are kind of retained you know --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Where do they come from? MR. GOSSICK: Comes out of Admin, doesn't it? MR. BARRY: Oh, yes. If it is the type consultant that we fund out of the temporary personnel -- but if they

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Except, if there were some matter before the Commission which, you know, you were trying to observe a separation from the staff and its functions that was now a matter before the Commission, and you wanted some help, outside consultants, another set of lawyers, it would be appropriate to tap this source rather than to process --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Have we ever used any of that money?

MR. COOPER: To answer your question, this is really a controller's reserve in the Commission again.

In other words, just like you mentioned a control --

24

and traditionally you haven't used all that has been budgeted for you, and I don't believe the current estimate 180 will be used.

(Commissioner Kennedy has just arrived.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Did you solve all the problems? CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, it is a pity you couldn't have been here a minute ago, we were forced to cut your office staffing.

(Laughter.)

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's all right. We will put it back this afternoon.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Wait until next week, we'll transfer the burden to Vic's office.

(Laughter.)

Yes, we did -- let me show you what we did. Just scan these. These are on the EDO offices, and so on.

(Indicating documents to Commissioner Kennedy.)

Okay. And we are looking at Commission offices right now, and we just got to the --

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: What was the basis for raising it from 180 to 210? Has there ever been a year in which it ran short?

Do you foresee some unusual draw on it?

22

24

25

MR. COOPER: Yes.

MR. BARRY: The 210 is a sum total of program support and travel, and we increased travel. In other words, in '78 you had \$100,000 for financial and travel; and '79 and on out is \$110,000.

MR. COOPER: New Commissioner, more travel, five Commissioner offices vis-a-vis four.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Of course a year ago, we didn't have any reason to think that we could go a whole year without five.

MR. BARRY: The cost of travel has gone up, too.

MR. COOPER: Again, that is sort of a reserve.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Does Mr. Chilk get 3 more?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I will tell you what I thought we ought to do.

Could -- 31, the 210 okay with you? Any big problem with that?

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: It is not a big problem. I guess I am trying to come up with an austere budget. It seems a little anomalous to me to give ourselves that extra 30,000 on top of the 180 that we didn't use.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: What did we use this past year?

MR. BARRY: In travel I really can't answer that.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Let's make it 180. We are

e-Federal Reporters Inc.

-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Federal Reporters, Inc. not going to run short.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think we could do that, and it certainly amply covers the travel. It may be useful to have a little contingency fund in the Commission offices. And if this is the place you hold it for the whole set of offices up here --

it is going to cost that much anyway, unless we cut back travel.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: We have always had 100,000

in there as sort of --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: For the same amount of travel

MR. COOPER: I think at one time we had as much as 200,000 up and down the ladder.

MR. BARRY: Actually, over the last three years we have cut down the amount we have put in there for the Commission offices, because you haven't used it.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: This last year's cut is a funny one. It is a cut from 180 to 210.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It is not worth spending five minutes talking about \$30,000.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I don't mind, if people think it would be a useful indication of austerity. I'd go back to 180.

MR. BARRY: I doubt, Commissioner Bradford that we cut it. What that current estimate is for '78, is what we now foresee, is what you are going to use. It is a possibility.

MR. COOPER: Could I just mention one other thing on there. The optics, which is what we are talking about, show

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

no increase over '79.

MR. GOSSICK: Do you know what the '78 number was,

Presidential budget?

MR. COOPER: 180.

MR. GOSSICK: Current estimate?

MR. BARRY: It will have changed.

MR. COOPER: I believe the current estimate is lower than the President's budget.

> CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Do you want to trim it a little? COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: No, that's all right.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. 31 and 210. The outyears are the same, so that's okay.

On the secretary, my recommendations -- this is the question of the historian's office and so on. My recommendation goes as follows:

I think the Historian's office is usefully imbedded in the Secretariat to provide administrative support. And I think in order to straighten out the slot argument, we ought to simply allow the Secretariat to have another, ultimately three slots. I don't know, in order maybe to hold the numbers down a little bit, I would in some ways be inclined to make it 2 in this budget and 1 in the next. And that means by '81 why he'd have recovered the 3 historian slots.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, he claims they need them for the Public Document Room.

e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

-Federal Reporters,

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, let's chuck the 3 in this budget then, and then just straighten out that problem.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'd put the 3 in.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'd be in favor of doing that.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. The 3 plus 3 with the understanding that what that does is to allow the historian to have his research and clerical person, and it allows Sam to have the 3 that he is contemplating in the Document Room operation to keep it --

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Did Sam ever lose 3 slots when the historian was taken on, or was that a gain as well?

MR. BARRY: In effect, he did. Because what he did, he hired up to 34 and stopped.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Even though he had 37? CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

MR. BARRY: Even though he had an authorization for 37. In other words, OMB has approved 37 for the Secretariat; what they have mot approved was 3 people for historians.

MR. GOSSICK: But all along he has had the responsibility for the historical program.

MR. BARRY: So the question is when we go before it, do we justify 3 historians, or do we justify 3 more for the Public Document Room.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: They are not historia .

One of them is like Secretary assistant.

-Federal Reporters, I

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: But it was BRG's opinion that that was going to be hard to do.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: What, to justify it?

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Yes.

MR. BARRY: No. The only question I ever raised was, do you want 1, 2 or 3 historians. That's all.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: We have got 2, and we are committed to the third one in the office. It is a Commission decision.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: We can't have less than 2 anyway, because the historian has got to have somebody to do his typing and paperhandling.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think that is a settled matter at this point. For the Commission to go back and fire people, you know I can't see that.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You have got to unfreeze that one position is what you have to do.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

And I would propose that this plus 3 be regarded as 3 for the Public Document Room.

MR. BARRY: Right.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And the situation before we add this 3, is that we converted 3 of Sam's secretariat spots to historian slots at a prior time. That's done. We have hired the people. And now we find the Public Document Room pinch has gotten high, and there we are.

-Federal Reporters, Inc.

The outyears would be then 40 in that category; 40 and 40.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: There is a sentence in here that says, it appears unlikely that either OMB or Congress would approve this position if they are presented as an FY'80 add-on to the SECY personnel ceiling.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: But they are not going to be an add-on to the SECY personnel ceiling as I understand it.

It is going to be the historical office.

MR. DIRCKS: They justify it on the grounds that if we --

MR. BARRY: When we go before OMB and ask for 3 more people, we are going to say we have a requirement to expand the Secretary's office. And the reason for it, as we have just agreed, is because --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Have we justified to OMB 3 people to the historical office?

MR. BARRY: No, we have not.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Why don't we?

That was my question.

MR.BARRY: That was the point I wanted to give.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Go do it.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well, just do it.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Do it, and go to the next.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Do you want to put it on that basis?

It seems to me whichever way you like, but the facts of the matter are, that we in effect contracted the secretaries, we went ahead and established a 3-person historian group and imposed them within the secretary's ceiling, and now we find that the secretary needs those 3 slots back in effect for the PDR. So that the overall office has to go now to 40.

MR. BARRY: Why don't we leave it -- let me decide which way we are going to get 3 more people out of OMB.

MR. GOSSICK: We need one clarification.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: You will need to explain the whole situation.

MR. GOSSICK: You need one piece of clarification on 1979, though. Is the 3 historians to come out of his current ceiling of 37?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

MR. GOSSICK: Okay.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Wait, for '79?

MR. GOSSICK: For '79, yes.

MR. BARRY: I don't think Sam will object to that because, what he said --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Look, if he really gets up against the wall for another person or two in '79 in the Document Room, we'll have to squeeze them out on a temporary basis from somewhere else in the agency. If we judge that the PDR need is

Federal Reporters, Inc.

over. But for the long pull, why here is the configuration:

The 17 10 looks okay to me, and the outyears, 17 10 also.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: What is the money in there?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Ace Reporters --

MR. GOSSICK: Microfish --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Xerox machines, mostly.

MR. GOSSICK: Microfishing, Xerox machines.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: He has got his own Microfish.

MR. GOSSICK: Well, he has got a contract to do a lot of Microfishing work coordinated with --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: A zillion dollars worth of paper.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: He doesn't like this other Microfish system.

MR. GOSSICK: No, he and Bill Besar are working together, so that the two things work together. Eventually, it will, hopefully, be all in one system. But it is a "catchup" operation.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It is a little bit of a forced marriage, but it is doing better than EEO and Federal Womens Programs are.

MR. GOSSICK: Almost anything is.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: All right. ACRS.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: There, I wouldn't give them

it.

ederal Reportars Inc

another permanent slot. They have got 15 damn Fellows now.

There is a problem, a problem -- let me see if I can outline it in summary.

The problem is that a permanent employee of the agency has apparently made arrangements with Fraley, or Fraley has made arrangements with him for said permanent employee to join the ACRS staff in order to oversee the Fellows.

They apparently contemplate this as being a 15-man Fellowship program, of which the first is an NRC permanent employee who will oversee what will then be 14 --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What did he mean, oversee?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Don't ask me. I am just reporting

Now it seems to me that, you know Fraley has 37 permanent slots. There are 37 people in them. If this guy goes down and joins, why that is 38 permanent slots. The Fellows are temporaries, right?

I don't believe the employee in question intended to go from permanent to temporary status. And it is sort of a headscratcher. And I think the Commission has to decide either to tell said permanent employee to knock it off and find some other place to go to work. It is John Austin, and the Al Lewis study is running out.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I understood he is to be a Fellow.

ы

rai Reporters, Inc.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: If he is a Fellow, he is a temporary employee of the Commission. If he wants to do that, that's fine with me.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: If it is John Austin, he'd be sort of tending to the care and feeding of the Fellows, wouldn't he?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That, apparently is what they had in mind.

MR. GOSSICK: I don't know. He has joined their ranks, as I understood it, as one of the 15 Fellows.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's right.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: He can't be a Fellow and be a permanent employee of the agency.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's what he told me.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That he's a Fellow?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That he's a Fellow.

MR. GOSSICK: According to the ground rules -- and I asked Donohue to give it to me -- a permanent employee -- this idea of possibly Staff members wanting to become a Fellow was addressed in the letter that Fraley sent to you back in February or January.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: He would then return as a staff member, is that the idea?

MR. GOSSICK: Well, one, the individual will be converted to a temporary appointment and be counted against a

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

re-Federal Reporter

25

temporary ceiling. These are the ground rules that the personnel people tell me should pertain.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: But he has rights to return? MR. GOSSICK: Well, that's up to the Commission. It is kind of a new question here.

But, he will not lose benefits such as health and retirement, however these benefits would follow this employee provided there is no break in service.

And consistent with our approach to filling IAEA jobs, the agency would cifer administrative rights to reemploy the individual ina permanent position equivalent to that grade, following completion of the Fellowship assignment.

Fourth, any promotions to ACRS Fellowship positions would be strictly temporary and would be approved under highly meritorious situations.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, why don't we just leave it that way. That seems reasonable.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: But, can return.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Is that acceptable to the Commission?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes.

He becomes a temporary for the term of the Fellowship.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Keeps his benefits, has reentry

rights.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Do you want to make it rights?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Rights.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes, yes.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Do you want to do that?

How does that strike you?

MR. GOSSICK: Okay. Just so we know what the ground rules are, that's fine.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay, Peter?

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Seems okay to me.

So that would say 37.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. That would then solve whether it has to be 38 slots. And I would just recommend we keep it at 37. My God, they have got people crawling all over themselves.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Yes. I think in the long run if the Fellows programs works well --

(Simultaneous discussion.)

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: And the money is okay?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well, I've still got this question about these fellows who are out there, who are

part-time employees. I never understood the program to be that.

I thought these guys were going to be fellows, just not

physically located here. They were going to be fulltime guys.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That's what I thought.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well, it turns out they're not. As you heard the other day, it turns out that these guys

Enderel Barocrars II

out at the universities are part -- we've got to pay the universities. We're not paying the guys. We're paying the universities because they're part-timers.

I don't know what that program is all about.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That doesn't seem to make sense to me.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It was never portrayed that way to me that I can ever recall.

Now Fraley sent up a copy of this letter, and that doesn't come through in that letter to me.

MR. GOSSIC7: Is that the February letter?
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.

MR. GOSSICK: I don't see it.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It just doesn't come through to me.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well I think what they are finding is that they will not be able to hire or to get good fulltime Fellows out at the university duty stations. People at the university are not going to cut loose their affiliations totally from the university in order to become sort of fulltime temporary Commission employees.

Whereas on a part-time basis, why I think they expect to get all kinds of goodies. People will be delighted to work a summer, two afternoons a week and enjoy the income benefits.

Federal Reporters I

I must say, my attitude is that I wasn't too keen on this damn program in the beginning and have argued against it. But the Congress -- the Committee seemed to love it and the Congress was strong for it, and I sort of shrugged and give up.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well you know, I am perfectly prepared to support the thing 100 percent. But I am just not at all sure that anybody -- at least I certainly never appreciated that we were going to have these part timers. I thought -- we kept hearing about how these guys were going to be under the personal direct supervision of the ACRS member. And I thought at his university, or his center.

Isn't that the way you saw it?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I can't remember whether I contemplated fulltime only, or recognized it would be part time.

The at-university station business, I have my own views on that, too.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Do you think that's a bad idea?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: There are professors who are fine people who are on this committee who see this as a grand way to supplement their little empires at the home station.

You know, here's another tap from the federal government to keep a subordinate, a lecturer, an assistant

Federal Resources Inc.

professor or graduate student. The guy can provide a partial salary.

And that, in effect, is the addition of a staff slot, an aura to him of the university environment.

Isn't that grand? If I was a professor, I would be glad to have that kind of an arrangement too.

Is it most effective with regard to the ACRS function?

I have always had grave doubts that this remarkable proliferation of staff and other assistants to the Committee is beneficial.

My view, right from the beginning, has been that the staff is already too large and is beginning to run with the committee rather than the reverse. And that this Fellowship program just carries the trend further.

The benefit of the Committee are the 15 individuals and their collegial interaction. We don't need yet another staff making up its own mind about things. And that is what is happening. Their staff of their Fellows after they get running, are beginning to form for the Committee, its views.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: But isn't that going to be accentuated with the Fellows being here in one place, more than it would be according to the individual --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That's probably true from that aspect, Peter. It is probably better to have the Fellows back at the home station than part of the Washington staff. You are

-Federal Reporters, Inc. quite right about that.

And from that standpoint, you know, the people out in the field, the fellows in the field are actually less obnoxious than the ones here.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This 37 doesn't include the Members themselves?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No, these are permanent staff.

The Members are consultants to the Commission.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Okay.

What about the Licensing Board?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I want to ask about the 103, just to make sure what that add-on was by the controller.

MR. BARRY: I want to caution the Commission though, we better watch very carefully how many people we allow to be transferred from our permanent staff to this Fellowship program.

You know, we are just talking one right now -- COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: We are just talking one.

MR. BARRY: Yes. But I think the Commission better watch this to make sure all of a sudden there is not two and the three for a couple of reasons:

One, it does tie up our clocks. In other words, if he's got reemployment rights, you really can't hire him --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I have only approved one.

MR. BARRY: Two, the Appropriation committees are not in favor of this.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Of what?

MR. BARRY: Of the Fellowship program.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: If they don't fund it, why people who are on it are likely to have to exercise their reentry rights with great haste.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Is Austin occupying one of these 37 slots right now?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No.

MR. GOSSICK: I don't know what his status is.

MR. BARRY: He would be carried in OPE at the

moment.

But all I am saying is, we have got to be careful that it doesn't get away from us.

MR. GOSSICK: He is a floater from my staff. I mean, somewhere within the total number, he is on loan down here, to handle the Lewis outfit. We were prepared to put him somewhere back in NRR when he finished.

So he is not counted against OPE. I want to make very sure you understand that.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Would you agree to amend our previous agreement about the Austin status here? Say that the Commission, that we limit it to this one?

That anybody else off the permanent staff that wants to go and try this, will have to submit his petition and be considered. Okay?

Ace-Federal Reporters,

-Federal Reporters, In

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: (Nodding affirmatively.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Otherwise, as the controller says, we may have 10 employees running for this really rather nice two-year professional sabbatical.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Or 37 more.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And holding out the slots from availability in the workforce.

In fact, I wish we had nipped this one a little earlier in the bud. Nobody knew about it.

Now about the 760 you added 103 in there because what, they are running high?

MR. COOPER: This goes along with the cost of the ACRS Fellowships that are assigned to universities. An underestimate by ACRS. If we go that route --

MR. BARRY: See, the guys who will be working directly for us, we simply pay them out of our personnel expense. But if we have to pay the university, we do it under contract, under program support. That is the 100,000.

Out in the outyears the same. Okay?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Right.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The Boards -- let's see, they asked for and the controller thought they were justified in adding one person to handle the docket.

If one person will speed things out there, that's

cheap at the price. 1 I would recommend 38 and the 190 -- (Inaudible.) 2 3 And in the outyears, holding at the same level. The Appeals Board. I would recommend the controller's 4 mark. 5 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What is this \$75,000 for? 6 7 MR. BARRY: Probably court reporting would be my guess in there. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And it may include a little 10 travel. They don't normally meet out of town, but they do 11 take an occasion professional meeting trip. 12 MR. BARRY: It is mostly travel -- No, it isn't, either. It is 30,000 for travel and 45,000 for logistic 13 14 support. 15 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Okay. 16 MR. BARRY: That's not much money. CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Now in OIA, the present strength 17 is -- they have actually hired up to the 28, haven't they? 18 19 Or, are in the process of it? Weren't they allowed to do an early hire? 20 21 MR. GOSSICK: Yes, an advance hire. 22 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And the 28 would hold even with 23 the Appropriations Committee cut in '79?

e-Federal Reporters, I

25

MR. GOSSICK: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The office asked for plus 6 over

for?

-Federal Reporters, I

that. The EDO said, how about plus 2.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What are these people going

MR. GOSSICK: Investigators?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No, these are auditors.

MR. GOSSOCK: I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: These are all 6 auditors.

MR. COOPER: To restore people that they borrowed out of the investigators.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The plus 6 auditors that they requested have to do with having enough auditors so that they can get around and audit major programs of the Commission on a more rapid cycle than is otherwise possible.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I wouldn't increase it over the controller's recommendation.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well I was going to say either that, or no more than plus 2 over the controller's recommendation.

Tell you what we might do is to take the controller's recommendation in '80, and then take another 2 in '81 against, you know, continuing to watch and so on.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Now once the GAO -- the GAO-mandated audit will be over in one year, or is that two or three years?

MR. COOPER: Three to five years.

-1

the base.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: So that those two slots are going to be tied up for five years, the two that you are adding now because of the GAO audit?

MR. COOPER: Maybe I am misunderstanding your question.

MR. BARRY: Yes, you are.

The GAO is supposed to audit this agency within five years of the time it was formed.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Right.

MR. BARRY: Our internal auditors want to do the same thing before the five-year period.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I see.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The GAO has to man its own audit.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Which was simply to establish

MR. GOSSICK: Try to catch as much of it as we can before the GAO catches it.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Let me rephrase my question:

How long is the audit which anticipates the GAO

audit likely to take? That is what is driving the two people --

MR. GOSSICK: They started out initially when the Commission set them up in the business, with a commitment to try to do it all in two years. They have fallen way short of it. At the rate they are going they will be lucky -- I don't think they will get it done in the five.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Even with the 2 more people? mm MR. GOSSICK: That might help. 2 It depends how much they are distracted on 3 investigations. 4 MR. BARRY: If the investigation load, which has 5 been very difficult this year and last year, were to fall off 6 some, then they would have auditors. 7 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I wouldn't go over that. 8 MR. BARRY: So our vote is for 2? 9 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: We vote for 30, just like 10 we held -- what was it, MPA -- just under the general 11 principle. 12 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Squeezing down. Do you want to 13 allow 2 in '81? 14 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I wouldn't, but it gets 15 beyond my timeframe. Go ahead. 16 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It may make them feel better. 17 Why don't we put two more in there. 18 MR. BARRY: Two more in '81. 19 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay. 20 So we then hold at 30, and the dollars at 60 look 21 reasonable. 22 Put 32 in '81 and 32 in '82, dollars at 60 holding. 23 COMMISSIONER GILINISKY: That's just a projection, not 24 a commitment?

25

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That's right, because you are going to redo the '81 budget next year at this time.

OCA --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'd leave it at 7 .

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That would reverse our agreement to add 2 people so that there would be --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I don't think I ever agreed to that.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I was sure I came around and talked to you all.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: And we agreed to that?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. This was to allow a couple more people in the office to --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I thought we had agreed to it.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: -- to work on the -- you know, work from the Committee staff side. And in fact, they are hiring for it now. There are candidates being interviewed.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'd put it at 7.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well I thought we had already agreed to this.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 198 de try to talk our colleagues into going with me on 9.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Sure, by all means.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Because I thought I had --

-Federal Reporters, Inc

, 41

ago.

-

Federal Reporters, Inc.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: You had. You did some weeks

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I came around and talked to you all and on that basis told Lee to make slots available to Carl, told Carl to get out and get candidates who would serve, and told him to notify the Committee staffs that we were directing this mode of operation in the Office of Congressional Affairs and so on.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I recall, but it is a vague memory, that we raised it at a personnel meeting, rather than coming around office to office.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That could be. Oh, yes, I think you are right.

(Simultaneous discussion.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. And the fact that that office need really -- sort of had two points of view, one as agents of the committees, and the other as agents of the --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I remember talking about it, and if I agreed, I shouldn't have, and I am going to renege on it.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay.

Can I get you to vote for 9?

Peter?

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Dick?

MR. BARRY: Yes, their reclama is for one.

originally asked for two and they reclama'd for one.

24 a-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Is there a separate memo justifying that?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Let's see. When you list -your list on the --

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: This is the two. Do we have anything on the one?

MR. COOPER: I think that was orally.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I sea. It is essentially dividing this memo by two?

MR. COOPER: What we could do is mark up on the one, and we could doublecheck that for recall.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It says in the July 21st memo:

"If austerity in manning is the overriding consideration, OPC requests that one additional position be approved."

The last sentence of the memo.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Just one?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: One.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I must say they have done yeoman work. I'm also impressed by the current litigation report. Alperin -- what has he won, 14 in a row or something like that now, including some he shouldn't.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I sent him a congratulatory note pointing out partly -- a couple I wasn't sure I wished

1

3

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

corters, Inc.

25

he'd won, and partly that I -- well, the overall feat to me seemed to me is very impressive. One does have to note the Sheffield case with an asterisk. What he won there was by preventing it from going to court.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, I do admire ingenuity in an attorney.

Plus one?

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: It is clearly a very good record, even with the asterisk.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Which brings them to what, 24 then?

MR. COOPER: 24 carried out to the outyears.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And 24 to the outyears.

MR. COOPER: So the summary is that we have added four people.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, the 30 seems to me okay.

Now the summary is then --

MR. COOPER: So it would be that and 4 people.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Which brings it to 257.

MR. COOPER: That is correct.

And we have made no change to program support leaving it at 3190.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: All right.

NMSS. Let's see.

-Federal Reporters, Inc.

I just want to note that we have done -- on the sheets that we have worked, we have now gone minus 4, minus 9, plus 4, all right, from the EDO mark. Or, minus 9 so far.

And I think that has the merit for whatever it is worth, of bringing the increase into the categories we have treated, to within the projected increase that we had in mind when we went to the OMB in the spring review. We didn't supply people numbers at that time, but we did supply the dollar numbers and we had people numbers in mind in making the dollar numbers.

On NMSS --

MR. COOPET: I might point just one thing out from that, Mr. Chairman, that when comparing the spring review to the EDO mark, then comparing the people that we had looked at in support of the dollars that we did send down, we did also put, if you recall, about 100 people that we alerted only to with regard to NRR's problem.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes, over in the back end.

MR. COOPER: And if you add those 100 to the spring review, you come very close to the EDO mark. Just coincidental. It is within 22.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I don't think it was all that coincidental.

MR. GOSSICK: Careful planning.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Now, on NMSS, they propose --

Reporters, Inc

starting at the top of the sheet, the uranium fuel cycle decision unit, their strength in '79 would be 25 in the area. I don't believe it would be affected by the Appropriations Committee cut if that occurred.

The office had requested 41. EDO mark and BRG mark is 37, which is a fairly husky increase. It is 12 people, or a 50 percent increase in the office.

Cliff was back on a reclama asking for 2 more and another million point 15 bucks.

I kind of got the feeling that a 50 percent increase in people between '79 and '80, that group has got all -
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: A million and a half gets

his request higher than it was when he made it originally.

MR. COOPER: Are you just talking uranium fuel? CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

MR. COOPER: On uranium fuel he only asked for 100,000. 2 people, 100,000.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What goes on in uranium fuel cycl

MR. DIRCKS: Milling.

MR. COOPER: This is GEIS.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'm sorry. 2 people and 100K.

It just seemed to me by the time you are going up

50 percent manpower in one year, why another couple of people.

I just can't see that he is that likely to get them in, even though I am generally in favor of cramming more people. When we

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

get to it I'll tell you, I'd even be willing to crank some more into high-level waste over what EDO has recommended.

So I recommend that this decision unit -- and 100K seems to me -- I don't much care, but it seems to me neither here nor there.

MR. GOSSICK: \$2 million 846.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: He's got 2 million 8. And 100K is in the noise, way down in the noise.

I'd leave it at 37 and 28 46, which seems like an odd number, but it probably is in all memory banks now. And if that's okay, why don't we hang with that.

MR. BARRY: The 46 is travel. It wasn't precisely program support.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And in the outyears it stays at 37 in '81, and then trims back a bit in '82. And, if people think they are getting things in shape, why that's good, I'm all for it.

Similarly in the outyears the dollar numbers trim down a little bit, and I just propose to go with the EDO numbers in the outyears.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: On the spent fuel storage and stuff like that, they have 14 authorized for next year. Had asked to go to 22, again a 50 percent increase. EDO has allowed them 20, which is, you know, up 6 instead of 8.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

It seems ample to me, for God's sake.

MR. BARRY: We eliminated the manyears because of reapplication and review for waste solidification. Another manyear related to advanced reprocessing facilities.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Furthermore, as far as I know, there were no setasides or reclama in that area.

MR. BARRY: NOt in that area, that's correct.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I recommend 20 and 930K dollars.

Now, in the outyears, the people go up, the dollars come down. And I didn't track that in any detail through the sheets.

Is it clear that the people may go up just like that?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Why not just hold them steady?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: At 20?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 20 and 20.

You know if you decide a year from now that that wasn't the greatest estimate in the world, we'll fix it.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: (Nodding affirmatively.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 20 and 20.

MR. BARRY: 20 and 20.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The dollars shade down, which is really very nice.

On transportation they seem to be in pretty good

7 8

shape. And in fact, the EDO and BRG mark was to trim the transportation section a midge, over the '79 strength.

There is, in fact, no reclama there. That is, there is not a disagreement from Cliff Smith with the level of 13 people and 290K dollars.

There is a question as to whether the Commission wants to consider the reclama'd item.

MR. GOSSICK: There are two parts to that. The space mission is one person, and then the modal study, transportation study, they wanted two manyears and 500,000.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And the space thing, we seem to have people -- everytime you turn around there is a new letter from some guy who announces himself as an expert willing to work nights and Sundays if they will let him into the game.

I don't see a need to put a Gallileo mission permanent person in the agency.

So I would say no to the Gallileo setaside.

The transporation thing, modal study, I don't know about.

MR. GOSSICK: Just a general remark that I've got to make. There is kind of a tendency in NMSS that when you take over one more letter to write, by God they have got to have a man to go along with it. You know, there is no attitude of a little give like, you know, you dump a new task on SD or somebody else, well, you know it is like a sponge and they

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24 -Federal Reporters, Inc. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I wouldn't add anything for them. Why the modal study?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I don't know.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I don't know either. It is

"no manpower no tickee" -- no "washee" rather.

MR. DIRCKS: No.

you got any inclinations?

going on, isn't it?

You gave them funds last year, but they claim they didn't get the people, so they did not do the study. They don't intend to do the study in '79 until they get some people.

But out there, you take one more mill to review

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: They are protecting -- Dick, have

or something like that, something over from DOE to do and

So I think they are reprogramming.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Why do they need 2 people if the study is going to be done by a contractor anyway is my question to that.

MR. GOSSICK: They claim they have to have somebody to monitor the contract.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Nonsense.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 2 people fulltime. That is sure some monitoring. On a 500K contract, that's a quarter million apiece.

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

down?

19

21

20

22

23

24

e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'll say. They have got to be terribly busy.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Research would be glad to have the half million and do the study with no increase in personnel.

MR. DIRCKS: We raised the issue. We said you know you could make a case for transferring this over to research because it is, in effect, a research type project.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: And?

MR. DIRCKS: There were arguments that this -- NMSS felt that this was closely related to the licensing effort. They would not like to see it go.

CHAIRMAN HFNDRIE: Does transportation feel themselves threatened by lack of things to do?

I wouldn't be surprised.

MR. DIRCKS: (Inaudible.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: What do you think, Vic? Turn it

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Turn it down.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'd turn it down.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 13 and 290.

MR. BARRY: A question that may come up, is do the Commissioners want the modal study at all?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Tell them to think seriously about

1

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

things.

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24 erteral Reporters Inc.

25

arranging to get it done in research where we get a better yield on our own staff, and it seems to be the kind of thing that would fit legitimately in research.

NMSS does too little -- you know, they try to do too much of their own research type stuff.

For the outyears 13 and 13 okay?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.

What was the difference between 832 and 138?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: They are going to study a lot of

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 700,000?

MR. DIRCKS: I think we were pulling the modal study out. It was a long-term study. After they finished with roads, they wanted to go on to water.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Oxcarts?

MR. DIRCKS: Overland trail.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Overair rockets.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Interplanetary.

MR. DIRCKS: I think it is a 2 1/2 million project.

MR. BARRY: 500,000 was just the beginning.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes, it was going to go on for several years at 5-600K. And if they want to contemplate it as a research program, they can specify user need and come and see us next time around about it.

On the high level waste, they got the people they

asked for.

In wonder if they got enough people. The office is authorized 22. They seem to have more this year, and it goes down.

That must be some little peculiarity in the bookkeep-

MR. BARRY: 23 to 22. I don't know what that is offhand.

MR. DIRCKS: I think they set up this low-level waste management program too. They split their waste program into two.

MR. GOSSICK: The totals are the same for the two branches.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I see. Yes, yes.

MR.GOSSICK: Plus, I don't think this reflects the one space of reprogram, too.

MR. BARRY: Right. I'm sorry. Our problem here was that was the President's budget, which it was 22. In '78 they are saying, no, I have got to have 23. So that means in '79 they are going to have to have 23 also.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Their own current estimate of the manpower in the area is 21, I notice from their Vugraph handout.

MR. DIRCKS: Now that is down. You have got to worry about overhead in there. They take some of their overhead

eporters, inc

functions.

mm

Federal Reporters II

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I see. Is it an area that could stand -- I wouldn't mind cranking a couple more people into that area, I must say, even above their request.

What do people thingk?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: What, in high level waste?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It seems reasonable.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It is all right with me.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: You know, I couldn't see the need up in the uranium fuel cycle and the transportation setaside I couldn't see, but I wouldn't mind a couple more people in the division if they will put them into, you know, sort of a central question. Or even more, if you thought that appropriate and necessary.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I think that's right.

MR. GOSSICK: If I recall it, in waste total in NMSS they go from 50 to 71. We are adding 21 people by this budget.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It is a pretty husky -- it is the sort of increase that is beginning to press their ability to get good people aboard.

MR. DIRCKS: Also, in your '78 reprogramming action you took two weeks ago, you directed them to reprogram at least 6 more spaces into this general area, waste and uranium fuel cycle.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

they asked for.

for 7000.

14

16

17

18

20

21

23

22

24

eporters, In

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Put in 3 more or something.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But what we are talking about are authorized strengths in the '80 budget. If they get there sooner, because they are squeezing things out or something like that, why --

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: But, your mark gives them everything they have asked for in people.

MR. BARRY: We gave them what they asked for in people. We are just talking about what they asked for in money.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: And the money you cut relates primarily to studies of media other than -- (Inaudible.)

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Which one are we talking about?

It looks to me that we gave them \$1300 more than

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No, they asked for -COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Oh, excuse me. They asked

MR. BARRY: We are increasing their thing a million three over '79. But they asked for more --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, let's straighten out the people first.

What do you think of a recommendation to grant a couple of more folk in there than even they had requested.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It is all right with me.

25 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: My inclination would be, I

mm

Reporters, It

guess, to give them back some of the money that they really thought they did need, instead of people that they didn't need, or at least ask them to come back in and really talk about which they could use.

I agree, it is a very high priority area.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It is sort of the key place in here. And what I have got in mind, Peter, is if we had accepted their reclama, for instance, in fuel cycle, and their setaside in transportation, there would have been another four people in the office that would provide -- you know, that could have been assigned to high level in spite of their layout this way.

What I'm just saying here is that I don't mind seeing the additional people over the EDO mark that NMSS has asked for, but I hate to see them assigned to fuel cycle and transportation, things like that.

So I was just going to recommend plus 2 on people here to provide a little bit of that staff resource flexibility.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Indicating where we expect the --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: (Inaudible.) -- for the dollars. I mean there is enough sloshing around.

MR. BARRY: Or what you might do, they asked for an increase in the outyears, and we held that level. You might give them a couple in '81.

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That's another possibility.

MR. BARRY: Give them a little more money in '80.

See, we held them at 30 and they actually asked for 33 in '81, figuring the program was going to continue to grow, and it will.

MR. DIRCKS: Well in the outyears, you know, even according to their own calculations in fiscal '82, they are asking for a decrease in their funds in '82. They see a downturn in their own program.

MR. COOPER: We are talking people.

MR. DIRCKS: Yes, but if the dollars go down, people --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Let's talk about people, then we'll we'll turn to dollars.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Somebody has got to read all those reports.

MR. GOSSICK: That's getting to be a problem, you know.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Do I have any sense of the Commission on --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'd go ahead and put in 2 people. I would also add some more money in.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. Peter, is that --

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I certainly won't fight against the people, but I think the -- in order that we be able

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to adapt to changes to make, that seem to me will occur in the DOE program, a little more attention to other media might be a good idea at an earlier, rather than a later date.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: All right.

The 27 lopoff is in connection with the -- let's see, Cliff was arguing that he wanted 900K back there.

MR. COOPER: Development in geological media other than salt.

MR. DIRCKS: The BRG knocked the 27 off and then we out 13 of that 27 back in.

> COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: He wants the 900 above that? MR. DIRCKS: He wants the 900.

MR. GOSSICK: He has really given up only 500K.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And he wants it particularly to spread around in studies on other media.

It seems to me eminently reasonable.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why don't we restore that? CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'd restore it.

MR. DIRCKS: I don't want to belabor the point, but we had a real problem with waste management. They were going from a level of 2 million 865 in '79, and then they want to go up to 7 million in fiscal '80. That's a lot of -- that's a heavy increase in dollars. And right now the dollars are not being spent the most effective way.

I think if we talk to Steve Hanauer, he can tell us

dor I

:

-Federal Reporters, In

about it. There seems to be a lack of control in the spending of research money here.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That's one of the reasons I wanted to provide a couple of more slots. Well you have had copies of that. Bishop shot and so on. I don't know.

hands on -- you know, to control this kind of thing rather than assigning it to -- what you do is to go out to a place that understands how to do those things and say, we want to work on this alternate study and we want you to, in effect, design a program and do the contracting. We'll put, you know, here Livermore, Sandia, somebody like that. We'll put in, we'll add the 900K dollars that go to you and you are to manage it and we will overview your program management control on it. It isn't, you know, people that don't like to do that because they prefer hands on and work with the contractors, but if you are trying to get a lot done in a short time, it's a way of leveraging up your strength.

MR. BARRY: Waste management is going to be one of the easier ones to sell this year.

MR. DIRCKS: I think the thing is that we are recommending that a strong direction be given --

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Rather than a historian?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Put the historian in the waste management office.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

(Laughter.)

MR. BARRY: Waste management will give me a little flexibility to reprogram some of the tougher areas.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Do you want to cram the 900 back

in?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Sure.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That would bring it up to 6593.

MR. COOPER: What about the outyears on dollars?

I assume 32 across on people?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Carry the 32 people -- let's see, their estimates were rising on people. Yours were holding level. BRG and EDO were holding level.

Why don't we hold the 32 level. On the dollar, it shows a down turn in '82 which is okay. The out dollars don't look bad against the 6593 --

MR. BARRY: It looks like you've got a good program for two years and then it starts down.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay.

On low level waste the office seems to have a nominal strength of 15 under the President's '79 budget.

They had requested a very large increase. It was wacked out and scrubbed by BRG and EDO to come down to a 6 person increase, not quite 50 percent. And there is not a reclama on that either on the dollars or the people. So the

office has accepted the EDO mark.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

17

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

people?

MR. DIRCKS: Shallow land burial --

There is however a set aside which has 4 man years and a million and a half dollars in it, and in two pieces. One of them is on agreement states and one of shallow land burial.

> COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Is it just coincidence? CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: What?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That the program support set aside is exactly the same amount as the EDO recommended level?

MR. COOPER: Yes, that is just coincidence.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It gives you a nice doubling.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Do a deeper study and give them a little bit more money.

MR. DIRCKS: On the shallow land burial, I think we set it aside. The basic reason the commission, when they came down, said should we accelerate, and you said yes. They failed to tell you that to gain a year, you are going to have to give them two more people to gain that year in accomplishing their goal in shallow land burial.

Whether you want to hire two more people to gain a year in fiscal '80 --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 2 more people, or 3 more

. -

Federal Reporters. In

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: By the time you package it up with a data base and rate guides they'll want 3.

MR. BARRY: What you are saying is that increase, if I remember, was just an acceleration.

MR. DIRCKS: An acceleration that the Commission agreed to, but you didn't know the cost we were agreeing to:

MR. BARRY: Yes, the acceleration, the benefit is there, but the cost is a million 3 and 2 more people.

MR. DIRCKS: There is a general feeling on some people's part, mine is that when you talk about accelerating a program two years from now, you are never quite sure you are going to accelerate it for a year, but you are quite sure you are going to pick up the cost with more manpower.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Talking about 2 more people?

MR. DIRCKS: It's 3.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 3 more people two years from now you really want to accelerate the program at that time.

I guess out of the 27 other people in this organization they can probably work that out.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'd be inclined to allow, again from the standpoint of keeping one of our favorite subjects well oiled, maybe plus 2 and 900 in dollars with directions that it go toward the shallow land burial programs and not the agreement st es takeover.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's all right with me.

```
dor 5
        1
                      CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But I'm not passionate about
        2
           it.
        3
                      COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Nothing that arouses
        4
           any passion on my part.
        5
                      MR. BARRY: Acceleration was from 6 to 8 months, and
           I'm not quite sure we're buying.
        7
                      CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Primarly what we are buying
           here is less the explicit identified tasks for these folks
           than rather to keep the waste areas at this time on a low level
           side sort of well oiled with people and resources.
        11
                      I'd be inclined to go plus 2 to 900 to cover their
        12
           shallow burial, their two aspects of shallow burial, and not
           to go into that review of low level sites with a view to taking
        13
           them back from the agreement states. I think that's premature.
       15
                      Do I get a nod here?
       16
                      COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'm in agreement.
       17
                      COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I'm in agreement, too.
           Unless their agreement state is just clamoring to give them
       19
           back to us.
       20
                      MR. GOSSICK: No, I don't see that they are.
                      CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, I'm not sure. At the present
       21
           time Illinois might.
       22
       23
                    COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Kentucky might.
       24
                      MR. GOSSICK: Illinois we've got it. We'd like to
```

give it to them.

2 that one.

clamoring for that either.

Federal Percetar Inc

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Isn't that nice. We solved

Rentucky I guess would be glad to give us Maxie

Flats, but I don't think we would be overjoyed to have it.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I don't think they are

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I'm not sure what kind of a study you'd have to do. You either get it or you don't eventually, and then what you have to do is to complete the study.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: What you do with it after you get it.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: You then do a licensing review to see what has to be done. It didn't seem attractive to me as a justifable thing. But the plus 2 and 900 spread over what the job they said would be 3 people and a million and a quarter. I was willing to go that far.

Does that strike you --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Fine.

MR. GOSSICK: By 1980 we might have this, you know depending on what comes out of Congress, we may have the authority whether the states are going to give it to us or not.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes, we may have a clearer idea.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That will either reprogram

3

2

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 it or supplement it or something, if Congress does something. CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Plus 2, plus 900 gives us 23

at 2475.

MR. COOPER: Yes, now I've short changed you on some information. I'm sorry about that, but I don't think it's too late to recoup, Mr. Chairman.

It hit me before we came to this session last night that I'd better take a look at what the outyear set asides are, which we really didn't do in the BRG. So, I have accumulated some information if you say yea or nay on the '80 what would the '81 and '82 be?

I might point out that all the '81 and'82 numbers have assumed full set aside amounts being approved. So it's in there. So it's a matter of if we deduct in any case --CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Good.

MR. COOPER: Now, just rehashing --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Don't rehash, and I'm going to get through with some suggestions on overall dollars and judgements. I don't think we need to parse, you know, thousand individual numbers. But some general instructions, I think, can adequately cover it.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: For the out years?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sure.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: We'll just tell you to shape the

outyears to reflect the base '80 decisions.

MR. COOPER: Great.

Might I ask one other questions then, consistent with that. Maybe I should ignore it from here on too, or just mark it.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes, please.

The out years on low level waste in people I think it ought to go 23 in '81 and 20 the following year. Reflecting the trend that had been in the numbers from previously.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: (Nodding affirmatively.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Radio Isotopes.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'd give them some of those 5 back. That's the worse program in the institution, and it happens to be the one which we choose the most people in

the American public.

MR. GOSSICK: I would hope by 1980 that thing is fixed.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's what I heard you say in 1975.

MR. GOSSICK: I know, but I think Cliff has finally got his hands on that one, he's reorganized the thing and they've got a contract study done and have shaped the thing up so that it's making progress.

But I think what they were particularly -- let's see, what was the set aside here?

e-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Q

-Federal Reporters, In

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 150K for some modest enterprise.

MR. DIRCKS: This was a straight reduction, recommended reduction of five positions.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's right, yes.

MR. GOSSIC: Okay, it was reclaming.

MR. DIRCKS: The five that we took out were not related to processing licensing applications, but it was a general feeling that NMSS had stacked a lot of their decision units with study teams again. They wanted to -- we knocked one out to improve the licensing process, but they already have a decision unit in there to improve the licensing process, develop new guides, perform generic studies.

MR. GOSSICK: That's the 150.

MR. DIRCKS: But they requested 2 people and 350,000 dollars to perform generic studies. We said do it with 1 person and 250,000. We thought there was a little fluff in that that we just didn't see existing.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay, so long as you are telling me that that 5 does not in any way detract from the ability to handle the licensing process itself --

MR. DIRCKS: No change from their request to process applications, we've made no change.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Then I say leave it the way it is.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: What accounts for the loss

```
dor 10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
```

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

of a person in the out years?

MR. DIRCKS: Radio Isotopes and licensing?

MR. GOSSICK: Yes, you took it down from 41 to 40.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: You thought they would get more

efficient?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Or are they eating off the back log?

MR. GOSSICK: Somebody retiring that you know about?

MR. BARRY: No.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: How's that for fine tuning?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's real budgeting.

(Laughter.)

MR. COOPER: I think we have a little bit of an anomaly here, we're not addressing set asides, the letter addresses set asides for -- that were 80, 1980 related. In the BRG we mentioned 29 people in both of the out years that we took out that were related to the pilot effort, the decision to regionalize pending a conclusion of the pilot effort.

So, that's one we almost have to address here as to whether you want to regionalize. I think when you take the 29 out, their numbers came down one and we bought that.

MR. DIRCKS: They had requested 29 additional people in '81 and '82 to regionalize the licensing program so

24 Federal Reporters Inc.

e-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

they would need not only their 40 or 41, they needed 29 additional to take that program out of the regions.

3

1

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: They were going to take 1 person from their 41? 28 from -- you took away the whole thing and got rid of one of one of the ones --

5 6

MR. DIRCKS: I think that's one of the one things in the out years you might want to make a decision on.

program into the regions and then finding that you have a

substantially greater manpower investment. It doesn't grab

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I must say, you are moving your

8

7

9

10

11

me.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21 22

23

24

You put this out in the regions and there are going to have to be computer links to central information banks to make it work, or else some computer set ups out there.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: The inspections of these

MR. GOSSICK: If the pilot run shows the responsiveness in time and everything else is so good when we are out there, that's one thing. But we aren't there. We haven't seen the results of that and we won't for another year at least.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'm unconvinced that the radio isotope licensing operation carried out in headquarters has reached such a pinnacle of efficiency that improvements can't be made in it which would have achieved the same objections in a central group with more efficient processing.

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

are carried out by I&E?

MR. DIRCKS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: So it is regionalized.

So this is just the licensing.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: That's harder to understand.

MR. DIRCKS: There are advantages. The local doctor that you get instead of writing letters into Washington

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: What are they going to do, go around and visit him? Then we'll have the NRR ISE problem all over again.

You know, I&E now keeps saying to NRR will you get out of these reactor sites, you know, you are cluttering up things and confusing the operators. I can just see NMSS isotope licensing staff running all over with appointments for doctors, for God's sake.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Think if the medical attention we'd get, we'd have a healthier staff.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: (Inaudible) -- the program could be regionalized, this, on the other hand, I&E is already handling the inspection part of it. It's hard to see what else to do. It's not as if regionalizing meant that there would be one in every state. It's not a lot easier to get --

MR. BARRY: We came out at 41, then --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I would take the 41 in the out

24

.dor 13

3

5

ć

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15

150K.

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

years, I would stay at the 41 and take it at the out years because I think the fact that the sheet shows 40 is sort of a bookkeeping anomaly. It will save them figuring out who they have to fire.

MR. BARRY: Okay, 41.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: It sounded as though we had a nomination.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: If we ask him for those there may be more than one.

MR. BARRY: Radio Isotope?

MR. COOPER: Yes, licensing.

MR. BARRY: Generate licensing studies?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: What are you talking about?

MR. COOPER: They had the audacity to reclama

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: They reclama and we're saying no. The 403 in '80 and the out years seem to be down substantially, is that fair enough?

MR. GOSSICK: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay.

The next one on this sheet, operations and technology. They apparently recycled some of those functions. What happened?

The office is supposed to be now 25, 27, next year they ask for 30. By the time they got through the budget

1 review they accepted 18. That was impressive.

MR. DIRCKS: Well, here's where the major cuts have been. There were 6 people in there from ASAP, so we took that out and left them some from ASAP.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: How about against current strength where the, you know, at 18 we're practical moving 7 people who are now in there which sounds like a great idea to me, but we are able to do it so seldom that I feel compelled to inquire.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Who is operations, is that Homer?

MR. DIRCKS: That's Homer, yes.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY; And he is on the safety side?

MR. DIRCKS: He is on the safety side.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I thought they had plans for that.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, I was just noting the progression, Present nominal present next year request EDO mark; and they didn't reclama.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Which means it should have gone down to the BRG mark.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And I thought --

MR. GOSSICK: They reclama'd to me so vigorously that I'm not quite sure why I gave them 4 of them back. That

ce-Federal Reporters, In

office, Cliff feels, is very important to him to have that sort of group that can work all kinds of, you know, sort of special things. And I think what they had in mind with the higher number when they came in was visions of rebirth of GESMO or some such thing.

I think they vastly overstated it, but I guess I felt that maybe it was cut --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That's ultimately going to get moved around, isn't it?

MR. GOSSICK: Probably will.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why don't we split the difference, make it 16?

MR. GOSSICK: Sounds fine with me.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That was everybody has saved face.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And then hold it in the out years? We're going to give them 16 minus 2.

The dollar level --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why did you add --

MR. GOSSICK: I'm trying to refresh my memory

here.

22

24

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why don't split that too.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Just make it an even million.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: An even million dollars, that

23

-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

7

11

13

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

dor 16 | will attract the eye for the examination.

2 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'm perfectly happy to go with the BRG mark.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I don't think I have anything because it wasn't reclama'd, there wasn't information developed on it for the Commission.

MR. GOSSICK: I think some of the money in man years that I tossed back in here, there was some ASAP INFCE work that I thought they would be called upon to do probably in that time period. Apparantly the 5 man years -- that accounts for 4 that I gave them. It's a little unclear as 12 to how they arrived at that number. It's a judgment call.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Why don't we leave them at a million. That would give them some room to hire some consultants. Okay? 16 and a million, adjust the out years accordingly on dollars, please.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Let the out years rise? CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No, I would keep the out years flat at 16. The dollars were going to be flat at 1138 and then trimmed down a little bit so why don't you make suitable adjustments downward.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: They ought to be able to you know, it's like when they do the frescoes. Gioto used to do the face.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes, just fill in the background,

put the buttons on, button holes.

2 3

1 ||

Contingency plannings and threats. We have now moved into that favorite area of Vic's, the safeguards.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Now we know what the

4

5

threat is.

6

(Laughter.)

7

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Can we reduce it to contingency

planning group and cut the threat part?

9

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What do they do on the

10

threats? Just sort of track the FBI, or something?

11

MR. DIRCKS: Three or four things in there. They

12

have complete headquarters contingency plans, develop licensing

13

contingency plan, interagency liaison, threat assessment,

alternate fuel cycle contingency plans.

15

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What's this alternate

16

fuel cycle contingency plan?

17

MR. DIRCKS: In the BRG mark we eliminated that

18

19

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I though the whole point

20

of alternate fuel cycles was saying we didn't have to worry.

21

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: No, no, you don't understand.

22

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Then we have to take

23

category 2 and 3.

alternate fuel cycle.

24

(Laughter.)

ce-Federal Reporte 25

MR. BARRY: We asked them several questions in this

98 dor 18 1 area and they didn't have the answers. 2 MR. DIRCKS: The contingency plan they trace back 3 to the legislation. They have a responsibility --CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'll tell you this, we'd be in hard shape without a group out there in the contingency 6 and threats area. Let's see --7 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Let's leave it the way it is. 8 COMMISSIONER GILLINSKY: Let's leave it the way 10 it is. 11 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: The EDO mark, it seems to me, 12 to really squeeze down to a essentials on it. It's below 13 present strength and I would just -- I think that's good. 14 COMMISSIONER GILLINSKY: Fine. CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Now what about the out years. 15 16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That looks good too. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sure. 17 18 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It shades down fine with me. 19 MR. COOPER: No reclama there. 20 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: What is this next one. CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Info Analysis and evaluation, 21 information analysis and evaluation. 22 | 23 MR. DIRCKS: They have three items in there.

24

Maintain the _____, the Oak Ridge System, begin ISIS. They ask for 6 people and 600K in the mark which I guess eliminated . dor 19

3

5

7

8

10 11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

1 4 people here and eliminated 600K, but we are setting that one aside because that's the Isis thing.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why do you need 12 people to keep track of this information?

MR. DIRCKS: Well, there's other things. Test evaluation.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Maintain safeguard, stata base, 2 people. Adopt and test evaluation tech people to review safeguards. Trend analysis 2, transportation 2, perimeter systems test 1, capability for field evaluations 3. And if you want to begin Isis you have to add one there.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Or take one of those others.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Or take one of those others. But I think that was the slide.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That seems like a good idea. We'll just take one of the others.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Why don't we hold the people constant and chuck in the contract dollars for Isis.

Aren't we going to have to do something with that damned business, the safeguards information? We're either going to have to fix Oak Ridge or renew it?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Every one of these things is necessary, but somehow every one of them gets to be a grandiose project that seems hard to control. I mean obviously

1 the need is there.

MR. GOSSICK: We'll be coming forth with you with a full run down on the thing. We're trying to resolve somethings between NRR and NMSS, and you will have an opportunity to look at the scope.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I thought when I looked at the set aside I finally concluded that indeed they were going to have to do something, and my inclination then was to at least put into the budget the 600K in contract dollars. And then we would see the detail program when they come to us with the paper, we can argue about it, see what to do.

MR. DIRCKS: Allow us to get out of Oak Ridge and get Isis started.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I guess I don't understand why we need such an elaborate --we've got 12 facilities, you just get back to this thing. The number of transports is reduced because they're going on these trucks and they're putting them together. All the numbers are going down. Why do you need such an elaborate system?

MR. GOSSICK: That's a good question. Of course,

I think they are including category 2 and 3 stuff as well.

Any S&M licensees which are -- (Inaudible) -- And, of course,

as I say, one of the arguments currently is whether NRR wants

to play in this exercise or not. And we are trying to resolve

sort of some last issues between NRR and NMSS. If they come

sce-Federal Reporters, Inc.

3

5

Ó

7

8

9

11

12

13

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in there would be, of course, a certain aspect of the reactors. all tossed into this system.

MR. DIRCKS: If you took this one, if you went with this Isis phasing out of Oak Ridge thing, you'd leave only 2 people in there for this one particular aspect. There are 10 people in this group that review safeguards performancis, and those are the evaluation people.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: But it's the safeguards performance in these 12 facilities, or 11 facilities. And now we've got the licensing guys who are doing the licensing, so we've got 12 facilities which are being licensed by 30 quys.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Evaluated by 12.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Evaluated by 12. I mean it just seems insane.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And there is a man for facility for threats and contingency

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This doesn't count inspectors.

MR. DIRCKS: Actually in the BRG mark we took out step 4 there and left them only with 6 people to safeguards performances, so there is a cut there.

This whole thing has been scaled down.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay, 12 and 12, 10 36, we're putting the Isis 600 in and you will have to adjust the dollars of the outyears like that program.

```
dor 22
         1
```

MR. COOPER: Yes.

2

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: On International safeguards.

3

What are all these guys doing?

4

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What are they doing?

5

MR. DIRCKS: You mean the ones that were left in

6

by the BRG mark? The ones they originally had?

7

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The ones that I left in.

8

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Left in by the EDO recommen-

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Perform technical, physical

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: And what are the others?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Develop modifications to

Participate in U.S. efforts to strike an international

9

10

dation.

pass it back.

year. Whatever that means.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okav.

11

I've got a slide and I'll show it to you first and

12

13

(Handing to Commissioner Kennedy.)

security evaluations that takes 2 and a half man years and

3 man year set aside. It takes 5 and a half man years, 5.7

man years. Technical, physical security evaluation.

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

20

and safeguards, safeguards and physical security. To strengthen, 3 man years, implementation of USIA safeguards agreement.

export import safeguard requirements, that's a half a man

I guess we're going to have to do that.

MR. DIRCKS: That was the set aside. Oh, I'm sorry not the agreement.

4

1

2

3

MR. DIRCKS: Physical protection and material

MR. GOSSICK: They cranked in three people

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Which one was this set aside.

5

5

in accounting.

6

7

assuming that you are going to get into, you know, some sort

8

of reviewing of material control and accounting of safeguards.

9

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Sounds like, may be, they felt

10

they'd have 3 people on the road looking. I don't know.

11

It seems to me that the set aside was premature. 1 don't

12

know where we are going to end up on material control and

13

accounting in an overview of the agency system and so on,

14

but it's seemed to me it's far from clear that we will be

15

able to establish that.

manpower at the EDO --

16

17

(Commissioner Kennedy handing item to Commissioner

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: May I see that slide?

18

Gilinsky.)

19

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I was inclined to leave the

20

21

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I would put it back to the

22

BRG mark.

23

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Now, let's see, the set

24

25

aside is what? To review export licenses?

Federal Reporters, I

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: No.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That's your international material and --

MR. GOSSICK: Like we are doing on fiscal security. We propose to start something.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It's in connection with export licensing?

MR. GOSSICK: Yes, absolutely.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I think we ought to be doing that. I think they can probably be doing it.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: You mean abroad?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I don't think they are talking about abroad.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's what they are talking about.

MR. GOSSICK: Either that or based on whatever kind of information is made available. I don't think they really had a --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Three people? I think they ought to be able to handle this within the level.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. Considering that they have got --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I think they ought to be able to handle it with the 8.

Why can't they fit it in the 8. What's the other three?

-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Federal Reporters, Inc. COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: If they were really good they could.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Why don't we try and see it they can't.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would give them the 11.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I vote for the 8.

CFAIRMAN HENDRIE: All right, we have an 8, we have an 11.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: You guys have held the slide away from me for a while.

(Laughter.)

MR. DIRCKS: The difference between the BRG mark and the EDO mark is they made a reclama to the EDO and I have got more explanation here of what they will not do if we don't give them the figure.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That's the 3 from the 11, however

MR. DIRCKS: The 3 from the 11, right. And they

say what will not be done if you don't give them the 3. They

would not participate in further development of implementation

of new -- (Inaudible) --

(Laughter.)

They would not participate in the activities of the interagency steering committee and International safeguards

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Unless they were told to do so.

dor 26 1

MR. GOSSICK; They were asking for 17 to do some of this. We said, well you can do some of this, we'll give you a little bit back.

MR. DIRCKS: This was in the international.-
COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would go ahead with the set aside at the level of 11 and say yes to all these things but at 11.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I still sav 8.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I've got an 8 vote and an 11 vote. Peter is thinking. Cookie, do you want to throw a comment in?

MR. ONG: The staff did point out that in strengthening International safeguards, sir, they asked for 6 and they
pointed out if they got none they are already sending Dan
Smith over to IAEA for at least one year, and probably two.
So they are concerned if you gave them nothing, they'd be
minus one essentially in that area.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY; Give them 1. If we give them 1 that makes them even. Right?

MR. ONG: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I would suggest 9.

(Laughter.)

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you Cookie.

MR.GOSSICK: Was this in Vienna?

MR. ONG: That was a one or two year assignment.

8

7

5

6

2

9

11

13

2

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

--

23

anorters Inc

25

. dor 27 MR. DIRCKS: We are not stationing him there. 2 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I vote 11. 3 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It easier for me to vote 11, Dick, than it is to take another position. 5 (Laughter.) 6 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sound decision making. Why didn't you pick 10? 8 (Laughter.) 9 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 11 and let's see, the 435 looks 10 actually like fairly husky dollars, amble dollars. And then 11 it trades down. 11 and 435. 12 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: What was the 125 you put 13 back, Lee? 14 MR. GOSSICK: I wish I could remember. Do you 15 have that Bill? 16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Safeguards licensing, why 17 do they need to go up at all? 18 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: What do they think they have --19 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'll give you back those 20 two. 21 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay. 22 (Laughter.) 23 They'll put them where they want them anyway. 24 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Safeguards licensing. 25

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why does it have to go from

2

3

-

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Federal Reporters, Inc. 25 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: They believe they have 26 at present, okay? 28 authorized in '79 and they wanted to go up 6 more. EDO has allowed them to go up 2 more. The question is should they be allowed to go up 2 more.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I don't see any reason.
What ware they going tolicense?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: They already license.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes, but they aren't.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Maybe if they agreed to unlicense them, would you give them two more for unlicensing?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: They seem to get progressively poorer as they add more people.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: They also have asked for a set aside of 1 person and an increase of yet 1 more in order to study the license ability of DOE facilities.

That seems again to me to be premature.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: For safeguards you mean?

I didn't follow that.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Maybe just new ones?
(Laughter.)

I'll tell you, we'll split a deal, just new ones,

okay?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: We're going to study their license ability?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This is different, this is dor 29 2 not like waste. These you can close down. I would leave it level. 4 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I would leave it level. 5 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Peter? 6 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: That's all right with me. 7 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Go back to 28. Not minus 2. 8 The dollars appear at 57K are pretty minimal anyway, so I'd leave that. 10 Okay, we have 28. Let's take it out in the out 11 years at 28. The dollars at 57 and declining seems fine. 12 Safeguards technology. 13 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why did you take it from 8 to 10, Lee? 15 MR. GOSSICK: I'm just trying to decipher. 16 MR. DIRCKS: I can figure out what their argument 17 was. 18 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: This must be more of the 19 same. The same 12 facilities. 20 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes. Each one of these 21 is a safeguards technology person.

24 ce-Federal Reporters, Inc. 25

22

23

facility. That's clear.

MR. GOSSICK: They made a strong plug for getting intoalternatefuel cycle safeguards which we tossed out, I think,

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Each branch has 1 man for each

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

work.

completely, didn't we, Bill?

MR. DIRCKS: Yes, they wanted to get in and examine safeguards implications of planned waste facilities, that's one man year that was added back in. Complete efforts --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: No, it says to put back 1 and a half manyears at 100K related to audit fuel cycle work? In the document I have.

MR. GOSSICK: No, it say he did not reclama any. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes, and it says it has been restored.

MR. GOSSICK: Okay, you are right.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: So 1 and a half man years there, and half man years for management direction reduction and the contingency planning is -- Oh, for heavens sake.

MR. GOSSICK: Dealing with the half man years here.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'd knock the 2 out. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I would too. That takes care of those half man years. You don't have to worry about them anymore.

MR. GOSSICK: Okay. Fair enough.

MR. DIRCKS: Some of thaty was related to INFCE

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: And where was 500,000 going? MR. DIRCKS: Yes, it's a 100 on a 'ernate fuel

24

cycles, 100 or so -- again there is implications of plant waste facilities. They wanted money in there. And they wanted to complete an effort to define candidate safeguards systems for byproduct materials in small quantities SNM to assess

the impact. They said that was a ongoing program.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: That doesn't sound very exciting to me.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I don't want to take away
their alternate fuel cycle contract money. I think it's
lousey to staff for that kind of a short term exercise. In
fact by '80 why you are sort of in a down turn on it, so to
cover it with contract money. But --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What's the BRG mark?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Let's see, the BRG mark would have been 4 or 5.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Does that include the -MR. DIRCKS: The BRG mark would have taken that
out.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would tell them to include it in that amount of money. They'll figure out a way.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Unless they've already committed the --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: They can't commit yet.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: They can't commit 1980 money

-Federal Reporters, Inc.

ederal Reporters, Inc. wery well.

MR. GOSSICK: Shall we say 405?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, if you going down there why don't you throw a few more dollars in and round it out.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: 450?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: 450 and be sure and include the alternate fuel cycle jazz. Adjust the out years accordingly, please

MR. GOSSICK: Bringing them down from 11 to 8, cutting 3 people out.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, if it proves to be too angonizing within the branch, why maybe the division director ought to consider reshuffling of resource within the division.

What the Commission is saying is there are sure an awful lot of people in the safeguards division in view of the number of facilities and the work load.

Okay, 8 and 450. 8 in the out years for people. The out yearsdollars to be adjusted to be commensurate with the 450 in 8. Okay.

MR. COOPER: Back on International safeguards licensing. Did I miss your consideration of the set aside. It was to review the 3 DOD facility applications?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It was hurled from the table with spite and malice.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What is safeguards

1 regulatory improvements? dor 33 2 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It's a lot, that's what it 3 is. 24 people. CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I don't have a slide, I'm 5 sorry. 6 MR. DIRCKS: You want me to go down the list? 7 Fiscal protection upgrade, they ask for 2 people, 8 50,000 dollars. BRG EDO would say 1 person 50,000. 9 Material and accounting upgrade roll, they ask for 10 4 people, 100,000. 11 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: These are the guys making 12 the rules. 13 MR. DIRCKS: Yes. 14 MR. GOSSICK: Also the study on material control 15 and accounting is run out of here, isn't it? Crane and those 16 guys? 17 MR. DIRCKS: Yes, I think so? 18 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I thought the upgraded 19 rule itself would be --20 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would hold them at 21 21 or 22 and have it go down. 22 COMMISSIONEP KENNEDY: Iwould hold them certainly, 23 no higher than 22.

24

the 24 since both BRG and EDO held on to that. 25

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Shucks, I was going to vote for

nters, Inc. COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This is 2 guys per facility.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: But with that aside, the upgrade rule should be out for comment.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I believe we'll be going out again for public comment in fiscal '80 so there will be a manpower crunch.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: In fact I don't see why
we can't leave 15 or something. You know you dropped operations
and techology. They certainly ought to go down in the out
years. That's a pretty rich -- you got 30 guys licensing,
28 guys licensing, 12 guys -- actually, I discover there is
another evaluating group down here, it says technical program
analysis -- so they've got 24 guys evaluating and 24 guys
approving.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I think you getting to me.
Minus 2 at 22.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Now it's interesting, on the out years, I'd be inclined to leave the out years showing 21 21.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes, or less. But what is the 699 for? Safeguards technology spending money, what are the regulatory improvement people doing?

MR. DIRCKS: They spend money on material control and accounting upgrade, 100,000, Regulatory guidance and

. dor 35

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

money.

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 improvement 150,000 dollars. Integrated safeguard, 100,000 dollars. Regulatory planning and technology, 100,000 dollars. Value impact assessment 150,000 dollars.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: What's the realtionship of all of this to what standards should be doing in the same area?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This sounds like a standards activity.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes, it sure does.

MR. GOSSICK: It's closely cut though.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'd cut down on that

MR. BARRY: I think in this case they are doing more of standards work than standards has been able to do because of the technical guys involved. Standards just

doesn't have these kind of cats.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Why don't we cut back some of this money?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: How about taking another 49 out of it. 650 is a nice round number.

MR. BARRY: Shall we take a crack at 650? CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes, and adjust the out years accordingly.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Now, what are these guys doing that information and analysis and evaluation is not doing?

MR. DIRCKS: Now this cuts across the whole NMSS dor 36 1 office 2 3 CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: This is back, this is not 4 a safeguards office . MR. DIRCKS: This just cuts across Smith's whole 5 operation. And I think this includes --7 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Does NRR have a group like this? 8 9 MR. DIRCKS: NRR has a -- no, they don't, they've spread it out. This Bob Brown's outfit. This is his program 10 11 support 12 MR. GOSSICK: This is program support and NRR --COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Is this the directors 13 office? 15 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Wait a minute, before you 16 say that, what's the policy management operation? 17 MR. DIRCKS: That's the office director, his secretaries, his technical assistants, the division directors, 18 their secretaries and technical assistants. 20 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why do they have so many technical assistants? 21 22 MR. GOSSICK: We seem to inherit them. 23 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I don't see why -- Let's 24 see if there is some kind of program analysis in the fuel

cycle.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Federal Reporters, In

25

MR. GOSSICK: This is for both, technical program analysis covers both sides of the house.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: But they have information analysis evaluation here.

MR. GOSSICK: These are the guys that put together the budget. 500 pages of it

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Tech program analysis and evaluation is sort of their OPE-SECY-Admin sort of group.

MR. GOSSICK: Those are the guys that work with Barry, Buddy, and that crowd over there.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Does it seem reasonable to you that there should be 15 people?

MR. GOSSICK: I think it's ample, but you know they came in asking for more and the BRG held them in line, and I --

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I think we've cut back things like NPA and should have cut the controller.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, cuts here will reach over onto the waste side, because these officers are for -- are not safeguards offices, they are for the whole Smith empire.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why is that?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Because these offices are not safeguards offices, therefore the whole Smith empire --

MR. GOSSICK: Yes, it's like, well --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It's like Les's shop.

. dor 38

-Federal Reporters, Inc

MR. GOSSICK: Rubinstein is part of that shop.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: We have a comparable size office for the whole Commission, at Commission level

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: We don't prepare our own budget material for the whole office either. He does, 60 people.

MR. DIRCKS: How many people have you got in your budget shop?

MR. PARRY: 17

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: What about in the policy and management business, I guess that's nothing anybody can do anything about.

MR. GOSSICK: Kind of also the overhead.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I think Victor's question is a good one because we saw a lot of that and we're going to see a lot more of it.

MR. GOSSICK: We'll see a lot more of it in some of the others.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's right, you know, the number of technicals and special advisors and branch chiefs, assistant directors, an incredible array of management.

MR. GOSSICK: Just to give you an example, there is Cliff, he doesn't have a deputy, but the space is counted. Smiley, the space, of course was vacated but it was there 3 secretaries, 6 people.

Take Burnett, he's got himself, he's got Carter,

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

dor 39 1 he's got Bob Brown, he's included in this, Carrie and
2 Brightson in there and probably a couple of secretaries.

MR. DIRCK: Brown is in the tech program analysis, leave Brown out.

MR. GOSSICK: Leave Brown out, but he's got his Burnett, his secretary and tech advisor and a couple of secretaries, maybe three.

Look over on Shelley Meyers side, he has Cunningham, a couple of secretaries and a tech assistant, I don't recall who it is, comes to about 18.

MR. DIRCKS: 6 to an office

MR. GOSSICK: So it's there, I'd say if anywhere .--

MR. DIRCKS: If you want to make a cut, it would have to go back --

MR. GOSSICK: Program Anaylsis at 15, for example everybody else came in with a budgetsubmission which was certainly ample and these guys came in with 500 pages which just literally blew our minds.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: 500 pages for these guys?

MR. GOSSICK: For NMSS total, see we put the whole package together and they have a job to do. They are not undermanned.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Those kind of groups are run lean

MR. GOSSICK: They are amply manned at the moment,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Federal Reporters In

-Federal Reporters, Inc.

dor 40 1 I think.

3

5

6

10

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'd hold the EDO mark because what's going to happen is if you trim in those NMSS groups it ends up against waste because safeguards has gotten squeezed out.

MR. GOSSICK: -- (Inaudible) -- if you are anxious to get somebody out, you know, if you can find the right person to reprogram.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'd leave it at the EDO mark, I wouldn't put it up. For some reason there is a difference. Let me just check a final thing, there is a difference on both the tech program and policy management between the dollars the EDO mark. Oh, oh. What I see. Those are -- they didn't have travel in there.

Okay. Let's take the EDO mark. That gives us 15 at 62. 15 in the out years. 62 in the out years and the same on the other office to carry on.

Now will somebody tell us what we have done for the whole thing?

MR. COOPER: How about policy management? You are saying the same thing there also?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. EDO mark on the last two position.

MR. COOPER: And what we have done in total is we have deducted 4 people from NMSS and we have deducted 22 --

24

25

```
dor 41 1 2 million 153,000. Excuse me, added 2 million 153,000.
        2
                     CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: We have done plus what?
        3
                     MR. COOPER: We have added 2 million 153.
                     CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That then give us 309 people,
        5
           and I can't see what the dollar thing is.
        6
                     MR. COOPER: The dollar thing is 17602.
        7
                     CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay.
        8
                     COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well, that seems like a
           lot of dollars.
       10
                     COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: How much is the dollar?
       11
                     COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 17 million 6. It's a lot
          of dollars.
       12
       13
                    MR. DIRCKS: 7 million in waste management?
       14
                    COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: We added 900,000 back
       15
          in waste .
       16
                     COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: We added a million 8 in
       17 waste management.
       18
                     MR. COOPER: And the other one was information
          analysis and evaluation.
       20
                     MR. BARRY: You almost have to budge the waste
       21 mangement with program support, travel --
       22
                     COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: You are going to come to
       23 us about this Isis business
       24
                    MR. GOSSICK: Oh, yes, all this is is a plug number,
          600K as I understand it, in case we go. They can't argue the
       25
```

2

fund isn't there, they've got to man the thing out of their own hide.

one thing? I think the 600 is good because there is no program

support for information at all. Not one dollar. And 2 people,

so if you don't go Isis, you still have to fix up the

operation. So you need some money

MR. ONG: Commissioner Gilinsky, can I add just

3

7

8

11

13 14

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

MR. COOPER: It might be of interest to know that for the 4 areas we've looked at so far we have deducted 13 people and added 2 million 150,000 dollars.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Let me ask if you can allow another 10 minutes and have us turn to standards. My recommendation would be to go with the EDO mark.

The only thing I had in there was whether to allow little bit toward the epidemiological study set aside.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I think that's useful.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: But if you want to do it, I would recommend only the 2 people option.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would do it within the EDO mark. Where were the additions, the 1 and 2.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: It was going to be an operation an tilization. They were suggesting that it was 7 man years and million if you wanted to go first class, but they said a more reasonable level would be 2 slots in standards and may'e a couple of hundred thousand.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Operation and utilization? dor 43 2 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: That's already in there? 3 MR. GOSSICK: You have to add that if you want it. 5 MR. COOPER: There's an alternative 1 option also --6 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: What is this option utilization standard? 8 MR. BARRY: The whole 7 people, as example, is not for standards. 10 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I understand, they just 11 put them in. Why can't we go with the EDO mark and include this ? 13 MR. GOSSICK: We've trimmed down I think. I'd include the people you are going to tell him 14 to be prepared to do that study. 16 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I don't think we ought to 17 tell them, be prepared to do that study until the Congress tells us to go ahead and do it because right now --19 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I don't think it's an area in which we have any particular expertise. 21 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's a different issue. 22 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: And in effect you are 23 sort of doing that, you are not really doing a study --COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I don't have any objection 24 to adding a couple of people. 25

. dor 44

.

ideral Reporters, Inc. CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Let's add the 2, and bring the Op and utilization and decision unitto 37. These to go against the expertise.

MR. BARRY: Just the epidemiological work?

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Yes. And I don't know whether you want to add any dollars in there or not.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Look, if the Congress decides to go ahead and do this, you know, it's going to have to be spelled out who is going to do what. I mean we are just going to have to go back to them, based on what we are going to have to do, and say well look, in order to do that we are going to have to have this and this.

We just can't sit here now and guess that we'll need a couple of million dol!ars to do something. We don't even know what it is.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'm just wondering if we ought to put in a hundred thousand or something like that.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: There is no objection to that.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It might be useful to do that.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: There is no objection to that, go up to Oregon and spend it.

MR. GOSSICK: That was Bob's (Inaudible) -- he had a couple of hundred thousand.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: I'll compromise on 120.

MR. DIRCKS: 120 and 2 people?

CHAIRMAN HENDRICK: That makes it a million 3, how

does that strike you?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Okay.

MR. COOPER: His one option did include 1 person for research however.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: No.

MR. COOPER: Okay.

- MR. GOSSICK: That brings us to 162.

Federal Reporters, Inc.

mml

MR. GOSSICK: Do you want to knock I&E off in about five minutes, or do you want to spend more time on it?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'm prepared to.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: So am I.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: That looked about as straightforward a bucket as we have got.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I guess I would like to give some consideration to adding some people, at least five people back into vendor inspection.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Say that again?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well, BRC cut five people out of the vendor inspection program, from the office request, and kept it level at the 1978 level, that is, the current level. And I just think that we ought to be looking to an increase in that program over time.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Well, we still have yet to receive the great vendor inspection evaluation report.

MR. GOSSICK: I had hoped you would have it in time for '80 -- you know, if you anticipate that it is going to come out in a way that you think you can do it.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why not add that in and take five out of fuel cycle and safeguards inspection, which is probably around 50 or something. Since there are less fuel cycle facilities than we thought there were going to be.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It is all right with me.

- 11	
1	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Does that seem unreasonable?
2	How many of those are there?
3	MR. BARRY: Safeguard inspection, of course, covers
4	both reactors and ~-
5	(Simultaneous discussion)
6	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Yes, but that is 95.
7	I'm talking about fuel cycle safeguards.
8	MR. GOSSICK: I don't
9	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I thought the number was
10	60, actually.
11	MR. BARRY: The 95, of course, is both fuel cycle
12	and reactors. The whole total, transportation, the whole
13	shmear.
14	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: The fuel cycle, material
15	inspection
16	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Talking about a fraction of
17	the 95. I believe something over 50 of that is fuel cycle
18	safeguards.
19	MR. BARRY: Right.
20	CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Sure.
21	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I don't have any objection to
22	that.
23	COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I just don't think that that -
24 Inc.	there are just less facilities.
25	MR. DIRCKS: Take five from there and bring it down.

mm3

-Federal Reporters, Inc

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Sure, they are getting all these new standards and everything to inspect against.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Trim 5 in there and put 5 in vendor?

MR. GOSSICK: There is a good chance -- let me just point out something, that we are going to lose that 5 over at OMB. If that is what you have in mind, I don't think I'd touch the budget. I'd just give us direction that if you decide to go ahead and do the vendor inspection business, when you make that decision --

(Simultaneous discussion.)

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: "That's absolutely right.

Anything we put in vendors we probably -- Let me note that the actual numbers which wouldn't change the overalls, Ernie was -- or John wanted to have the nominal number go up 3 in the management direction, down 2 in reactor ops, down 1 in reactor construction simply to reflect the actual headquarters and field counts of people. So that if I read down the decision units starting at the top, I have got:

102 people, 3745; 227 people, 3485 dollars; 173 people, 1160 dollars. The rest are EDO mark. I would sign off. That gives us plus zero and 739 and 12055. And if that is okay with everybody, why we have done it.

MR. DIRCKS: I think there is another area we may lose in OMB. Take a look at management direction.

mm4

MR. BARRY: We are going to adjust that, this comparability adjustment.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: There is also a question when he went to 715 whether he wouldn't be able to hold the '80 budget at 715. And, if it gets whacked back there by OMB, why I think it is survivable, in good shape.

I am as much as anything going forward with 739 because they have got to let something in there.

Now, let's see, what did we end up with on dollars in standards? It would be 6979?

MR. COOPER: We are going to give them an extral 120K on top of what yousee there. 6979 is correct.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: And the outyears on that operation and utilization needs to correct whatever.

MR. BARRY: Yes, we'll adjust it.

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Okay.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Now we've got research --

CHAIRMAN HENDRIE: Research and NRR.

We will continue at 2:00 p.m.

(Whereupon at 1:00 p.m., the hearing was adjourned, to resume at 2:00 p.m. this same day.)