



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MAR 7 1980

Docket No. 50-341

Dr. Wayne H. Jens  
Assistant Vice President  
Engineering & Construction  
Detroit Edison Company  
2000 Second Avenue  
Detroit, Michigan 48226

Dear Dr. Jens:

SUBJECT: MODIFICATIONS TO BOILING WATER REACTOR CONTROL ROD DRIVE SYSTEMS  
(Fermi 2)

Enclosed you will find a copy of our January 28, 1980 letter to General Electric which discusses the NRC staff's conclusions regarding proposed control rod drive (CRD) system modifications related to the elimination of cracking in the CRD return line nozzle. You will also find a copy of our February 11, 1980 letter to GE regarding additional analyses of boiloff rates and CRD system makeup capability. This letter also responds to a GE-proposed draft procedure for optimizing CRD pump flow to the reactor vessel.

You should especially note our request that modifications not be performed on operating reactors until complete guidance has been issued in NUREG-0619. We anticipate issuing this document in its "For Comment" form in April 1980. However, if an operating reactor is scheduled for a refueling outage in the near future, and if applicable CRD system modifications or adjustments are scheduled prior to the final issuance of NUREG-0619, please obtain NRC guidance by contacting your Project Manager. The staff will provide assistance as necessary.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive ink that appears to read "John F. Stoltz".

John F. Stoltz, Chief  
Light Water Reactors Branch No. 1  
Division of Project Management

Enclosures:  
As stated

cc: See next page

8004020245

Dr. Wayne H. Jens

cc: Eugene B. Thomas, Jr., Esq.  
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae  
1333 New Hampshire Avenue., N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036

David E. Howell, Esq.  
21916 John R  
Hazel Park, Michigan 48030

Peter A. Marquardt, Esq.  
Co-Counsel  
The Detroit Edison Company  
2000 Second Avenue  
Detroit, Michigan 48226

Mrs. Martha Drake  
230 Fairview  
Petoskey, Michigan 49770

Mr. William J. Fahrner  
Project Manager - Fermi 2  
The Detroit Edison Company  
2000 Second Avenue  
Detroit, Michigan 48226

Mr. Larry E. Schuerman  
Licensing Engineer - Fermi 2  
Detroit Edison Company  
2000 Second Avenue  
Detroit, Michigan 48226

Charles Bechhoefer, Esq., Chairman  
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board  
Panel  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. David R. Schink  
Department of Oceanography  
Texas A & M University  
College Station, Texas 77840

Mr. Frederick J. Shon  
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board  
Panel  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Mr. Jeffrey A. Alson  
772 Green Street, Building 4  
Ypsilanti, Michigan 48197



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20585

January 28, 1980

Generic Technical Activity A-10

Mr. Richard Gridley, Manager  
Fuel and Services Licensing  
General Electric Company  
175 Curtner Avenue  
San Jose, California 95215

Dear Mr. Gridley:

Since the initial discovery of cracking in boiling water reactor (BWR) control rod drive return line (CRDRL) nozzles in early 1977, General Electric (GE) has proposed a number of solutions to the problem in the course of which several documents were submitted for NRC staff review. These documents were as follows:

1. Letter of March 14, 1979, G. G. Sherwood (GE) to Y. Stello and R. Mattson (NRC) regarding calculation of CRD system return flow capacity;
2. Letter of April 9, 1979, G. G. Sherwood (GE) to Y. Stello and R. Mattson (NRC) forwarding results of CRD system solenoid valve endurance testing;
3. Letter of May 1, 1979, G. G. Sherwood (GE) to Y. Stello and R. Mattson (NRC) forwarding results of CRD system solenoid valve performance testing; and
4. Letter of November 2, 1979, G. G. Sherwood (GE) to R. P. Snaider (NRC) forwarding additional information as requested regarding CRD hydraulic system performance, especially with regard to corrosion products emanating from carbon steel piping.

All concerned the GE rationale for the latest proposed system modification to prevent nozzle cracking; namely, total removal of the CRDRL and cutting and capping of the CRDRL nozzle. Previous submittals had presented the bases for the other modification proposals discussed herein.

DUPLICATE DOCUMENT

Entire document previously entered into system under:

ANO 8002250136

No. of pages: 5



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

February 11, 1980

Generic Task No. A-10

Mr. Richard Gridley, Manager  
Fuel & Services Licensing  
General Electric Company  
175 Curtner Avenue  
San Jose, California 95125

Dear Mr. Gridley:

By letter dated November 27, 1979, you forwarded results of analyses of boil-off rates and Control Rod Drive (CRD) System Pump makeup capability for plants not previously addressed in earlier related submittals. The letter also included a draft procedure for optimizing CRD pump flow to the reactor vessel.

The November 27, 1979 letter was not included in the NRC's Unresolved Safety Issue A-10 review and the analyzed classes of plants will not be included in NUREG-0619, which resolves A-10 and is tentatively scheduled for issuance in "For Comment" form by February 29, 1980. However, we see no reason why licensees and applicants cannot use the results in the plant-specific analyses (and testing) required by NUREG-0619. Significantly more detail will be required in their submittals, however, particularly with regard to the assumptions utilized in derivation of the various flow rates.

We concur that the GE-proposed procedure for optimization of CRD system flow to the pressure vessel provides a necessary first step toward reaching the desired goal. However, in our opinion it is too cumbersome with regard to measurement of pump discharge flow. When faced with the need to maintain water level upon loss of other capable high pressure water injection systems, the operator simply cannot be burdened with the need to refer to pump curves or the need to consider what, if any, other portions of system flow are not included in a respanned flow meter.

We believe that operators should be provided one or two meters capable of reliable direct measurement of one and two pump flow.

Sincerely,

Darrell G. Eisenhut, Acting Director  
Division of Operating Reactors  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Doyle 8003070218