

Docket No. 50-320

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

March 3, 1980

성격은 사람은

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS POOR QUALITY PAGES

In response to requests by the public, an additional scoping meeting will be held in Baltimore on March 20, 1980 relative to the Commission's programmatic environmental impact statement which is being prepared on the decontamination and disposal of radioactive wastes resulting from the March 28, 1979 accident at Three Mile Island Nuclear Station Unit 2. The purpose of these meetings is to provide an opportunity for public officials and interested members of the public to provide suggestions on the scope of the statement and the issues which should be considered.

Previous sessions were held at Harrisburg and Middletown, Pennsylvania, and at the University of Maryland Baltimore Campus. This session will be held at Johns Hopkins University in Remsen Lecture Hall #1, 3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland (use the entrance on Art Museum Drive and bear right). The session will begin at 7:30 p.m. on March 20, 1980 and will end by 11:00 p.m.

It would be helpful if oral comments at the meetings are confirmed in writing. Written comments alone are also welcome and they should be submitted by April 3, 1980 to Daniel R. Muller, Acting Director for the Division of Site Safety and Environmental Analysis, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

The Commission's Statement of Policy and Notice of Intent to Prepare the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement was published in the Federal Register (page 67738) on November 27, 1979. As stated in that notice, the preparation of this statement "will be in keeping with the purposes of the National Environmental Policy Act to engage the public in the Commission's decision-making process, and to focus on environmental issues and alternatives before commitments to specific clean-up choices are made." Further details of the Commission's policy on this subject may be found in the enclosed copy of the notice. A copy of the staff's preliminary outline for the statement is also enclosed.

If further information is desired relative to the programmatic environmental impact statement or our plans for the meeting, please contact Paul Leech (301) 492-8444, Oliver Lynch, Jr. (301) 492-8438, or John Collins (717) 782-3955.

7.4

Reference materials concerning TMI are available for inspection in the State Library Government Publication Section, Education Building, Commonwealth and Walnut Streets in Harrisburg; at the York College of Pennsylvania (c/o Ms. Margaret Atwood) in York; and at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's office located in Downtown Mall-Store #14, 100 Brown Street, Middletown, Pennsylvania

Sincerely,

RMul

Daniel R. Muller, Acting Director Division of Site Safety and Environmental Analysis Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated PROGRAMMATIC EIS

MAR 0 3 1980

DECONTAMINATION AND DISPOSAL OF RADIOACTIVE

WASTES AT THREE MILE ISLAND UNIT #2

SUMMARY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. INTRODUCTION
 - 1.1 THE SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF THIS STATEMENT
 - 1.2 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANT AND ITS HISTORY, THE MARCH 1979 INCIDENT, EVENTS SINCE THEN AND THE PRESENT STATUS OF THE PLANT
 - 1.3 SUMMARY OF THE LICENSEF'S OBJECTIVES, PROPOSED ACTIONS, AND SCHEDULE
 - 1.4 ISSUES IN CONTROVERSY RELATIVE TO THE PROPOSED ACTIONS

2. DESCRIPTION AND ANALYSIS OF THE MAJOR ALTERNATIVES

- 2.1 DECONTAMINATION OF THE FACILITY
 - 2.1.1 NO ACTION
 - 2.1.2 LIMITED DECONTAMINATION
 - 2.1.3 DECONTAMINATION TO A LEVEL WHICH WOULD PERMIT EITHER RECONSTRUCTION OR DECOMMISSIONING
- 2.2 DISPOSAL OF RADIOACTIVE WASTES
 - 2.2.1 LONG-TERM STORAGE ON SITE
 - 2.2.2 TEMPORARY STORAGE ON SITE AND DISPOSAL OFF SITE

3. THE POPULATION AND ENVIRONMENT WHICH MAY BE AFFECTED

- 3.1 THREE MILE ISLAND VICINITY
 - 3.1.1 LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION OF THE SITE
 - 3.1.2 DEMOGRAPHY, LAND USE, AND OTHER SOCIOECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS
 - 3.1.3 WATER USE AND HYDROLOGY
 - 3.1.4 GEOLOGY
 - 3.1.5 METEOROLOGY
 - 3.1.6 ECOLOGY
- 3.2 TRANSFORTATION ROUTES WHICH MAY BE AFFECTED
- 4. MAINTENANCE OF THE REACTOR IN SAFE CONDITION
 - 4.1 OBJECTIVES AND ACTIONS
 - 4.2 MONITORING OF REACTOR AND CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY
 - 4.3 DECAY HEAT REMOVAL
 - 4.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT
 - 4.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 4.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 4.5.2 CFF-SITE DOSES
 - 4.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 4.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
- 5. DECONTAMINATION OF THE AUXILIARY AND FUEL HANDLING BUILDINGS
 - 5.1 DECONTAMINATION OF BUILDING SURFACES AND EQUIPMENT

- 5.1.1 DESCRIPTION OF EFFORTS TO DATE AND STATUS OF THOSE TO BE COMPLETED
- 5.1.2 METHODS USED AND REASONS FOR THEIR SELECTION
- 5.1.3 DETAILS OF METHODS SELECTED AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES (IF ANY)
- 5.1.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT
- 5.1.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 5.1.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 5.1.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 5.1.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 5.1.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
- 5.2 CLEANUP OF RADIOACTIVE WATER IN THE AUXILIARY BUILDING
 - 5.2.1 DESCRIPTION OF EFFORTS TO DATE AND STATUS OF THOSE TO BE COMPLETED
 - 5.2.2 ALIERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
 - 5.2.3 DETAILS OF METHODS SELECTED AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES (IF ANY)
 - 5.2.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT
 - 5.2.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 5.2.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 5.2.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 5.2.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 5.2.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
- 5.3 DESLUDGING AND DECONTAMINATION OF AUXILIARY BUILDING SUMP AND TANKS
 - 5.3.1 DESCRIPTION OF EFFORTS TO DATE AND STATUS OF THOSE TO BE COMPLETED

- 5.3.3 DETAILS OF PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES (IF ANY)
- 5.3.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT
- 5.3.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 5.3.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 5.3.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 5.3.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 5.3.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
- 5.4 PACKAGING AND HANDLING OF SOLID WASTES FROM DECONTAMINATION OF THE AUXILIARY BUILDING AND AUXILIARY BUI' NG WATER
 - 5.4.1 DESCRIPTION OF EFFORTS TO DATE AND STATUS OF THOSE TO BE COMPLETED
 - 5.4.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
 - 5.4.3 DETAILS OF PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES (IF ANY)
 - 5.4.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT
 - 5.4.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 5.4.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 5.4.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 5.4.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 5.4.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
- 6. DECONTAMINATION OF THE REACTOR CONTAINMENT BUILDING AND EQUIPMENT

6.1 BUILDING ATMOSPHERE CLEANUP

- 6.1.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS
- 6.1.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
- 6.1.3 DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES (IF ANY)
- 6.1.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT
- 6.1.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 6.1.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 6.1.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 6.1.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 6.1.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
- 6.2 INITIAL ENTRY, RADIATION MAPPING, AND DAMAGE ASSESSMENT
 - 6.2.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS
 - 6.2.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
 - 6.2.3 DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES (IF ANY)
 - 6.2.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT
 - 6.2.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 6.2.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 6.2.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 6.2.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 6.2.5.4 NONPADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
- 6.3 PROCESSING OF SUMP LIQUIDS
 - 6.3.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS
 - 6.3.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
 - 6.3.3 DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES (IF ANY)

6.3.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT

6.3.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

- 6.3.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
- 6.3.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
- 6.3.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
- 6.3.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
- 6.4 DECONTAMINATION OF BUILDING SURFACES AND EQUIPMENT
 - 6.4.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS
 - 6.4.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
 - 6.4.3 DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED METHODS AND FACILITIES (IF ANY)
 - 6.4.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT
 - 6.4.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 6.4.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 6.4.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 6.4.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 6.4.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
- 6.5 PROCESSING OF DECONTAMINATION LIQUIDS
 - 5.5.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS
 - 6.5.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
 - 6.5.3 DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES
 (IF ANY)
 - 6.5.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT
 - 6.5.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 6.5.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 6.5.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 6.5.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS

6.5.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

- 6.6 PACKAGING AND HANDLING OF SOLID WASTES FROM DECONTAMINATION OF CONTAINMENT
 - 6.6.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS
 - 6.6.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
 - 6.6.3 DETAILS OF PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES (IF ANY)
 - 6.6.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT
 - 6.6.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 6.6.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 6.6.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 6.6.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 6.6.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

REACTOR SYSTEM INSPECTION AND PRIMARY WATER PROCESSING

- 7.1 PRIMARY SYSTEM INSPECTION
 - 7.1.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS
 - 7.1.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
 - 7.1.3 DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES
 - 7.1.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO ENVIRONMENT
 - 7.1.5 ENVIRONMENTA IMPACT
 - 7.1.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 7.1.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 7.1.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 7.1.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

- 7.2 INITIAL PROCESSING OF PRIMARY WATER
 - 7.2.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS
 - 7.2.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
 - 7.2.3 DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES
 - 7.2.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO ENVIRONMENT
 - 7.2.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 7.2.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 7.2.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 7.2.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 7.2.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
- 7.3 PACKAGING AND HANDLING OF SOLID WASTES FROM PRIMARY WATER PROCESSING
 - 7.3.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS
 - 7.3.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
 - 7.3.3 DETAILS OF PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES (IF ANY)
 - 7.3.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT
 - 7.3.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 7.3.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 7.3.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 7.3.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 7.3.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

8. REACTOR DEFUELING AND PRIMARY SYSTEM DECONTAMINATION

- 8.1 REMOVAL OF THE RPV HEAD AND UPPER INTERNALS
 - 8.1.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS

- 8.1.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
- 8.1.3 DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES (IF ANY)
- 8.1.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO ENVIRONMENT
- 8.1.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 8.1.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 8.1.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 8.1.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 8.1.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
- 8.2 CORE EXAMINATION AND DEFUELING
 - 8.2.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS
 - 8.2.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
 - 8.2.3 DETAILS OF PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES (IF ANY)
 - 8.2.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO ENVIRONMENT
 - 8.2.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 8.2.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 8.2.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 8.2.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 8.2.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
- 8.3 DECONTAMINATION OF PRIMARY SYSTEM COMPONENTS
 - 8.3.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS
 - 8.3.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
 - 8.3.3 DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES (IF ANY)
 - 8.3.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO ENVIRONMENT

- 8.2.5 ENVIRONTMENTAL IMPACT
 - 8.2.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 8.2.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 8.2.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 8.2.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
- 8.3 DECONTAMINATION OF PRIMARY SYSTEM COMPONENTS
 - 8.3.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS
 - 8.3.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SLLECTION
 - 8.3.3 DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES
 (IF ANY)
 - 8.3.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO ENVIRONMENT
 - 8.3.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 8.3.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 8.3.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 8.3.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 8.3.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
- 8.4 PACKAGING AND HANDLING OF SOLID WASTES FROM REACTOR BUILDING AND PRIMARY SYSTEM DECONTAMINATION
 - 8.4.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS
 - 8.4.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
 - 8.4.3 DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED METHODS AND FACILITIES (IF ANY)
 - 8.4.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT
 - 8.4.5 ENVIS WMENTAL IMPACT
 - 8.4.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 8.4.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 8.4.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS

8.4.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

9. STORAGE, TRANSPORTATION, AND DISPOSAL OF FUEL AND SOLID WASTES

- 9.1 STATUS AND SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS
- 9.2 ALTERNATIVE METHODS CONSIDERED AND REASONS FOR SELECTION
- 9.3 DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED METHODS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES (IF ANY)
- 9.4 EFFLUENTS AND RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT
- 9.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
 - 9.5.1 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES
 - 9.5.2 OFF-SITE DOSES
 - 9.5.3 POSTULATED ACCIDENT EFFECTS
 - 9.5.4 NONRADIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

10. SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTIVITIES

- 10.1 EFFLUENTS AN RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT
- 10.2 OCCUPATIONAL DOSES AND HEALTH EFFECTS
- 10.3 OFF-SITE DOSES AND HEALTH EFFECTS
- 10.4 DOSES FROM POSTULATED ACCIDENTS
- 10.5 POTENTIAL RELEASES DUE TO FLOODING
- 10.6 NONRADIOLOGICAL OFF-SITE EFFECTS

11. COSTS

11.1 MEASURES AND CONTROLS TO LIMIT ADVERSE EFFECTS

12. CONCLUSIONS

11.3 COMPARISON OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC COSTS

11.2 UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE IMPACTS

Federal Register / Vol. 44. 1 / Tuesday, November 27, 1979 / Notices

Statement of Policy and Notice of Intent To Prepare a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

ACENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Statement of Policy.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has decided to prepare a programmatic environmental impact statement on the decontamination and disposal of radioactive wastes resulting from the March 23, 1979 accident at Three Mile Island Unit 2. For some time the Commission's staff has been moving in this direction. In the Commission's judgment an overall study of the decontamination and disposal process will assist the Commission in carrying out its regulatory responsibilities under the Atomic Energy Act to protect the public health and safety as decontamination progresses. It will also be in keeping with the purposes of the National Environmental Policy Act to engage the public in the Commission's decision- aking process, and to focus on enviro, nental issues and alternatives before commitments to specific clean-up choices are made. Additionally, in light of the extraordinary nature of this action and the expressed interest of the President's Council on Environmental Quality in the TMI-2 clean-up, the Commission intends to co-ordinate its action with CEQ. In particular, before determining the scope of the programmatic environmental · impact statement the Commission will consult with CEQ.

The Commission recognizes that there are still areas of uncertainty regarding the clean-up operation. For example, the precise condition of the reactor core is not known at this time and cannot be known until the containment has been entered and the reactor vessel has been opened. For this reason, it is unrealistic to expect that the programmatic impact statement will serve as a blueprint, detailing each and every step to be taken over the coming months and years with their likely impacts. That the planned programmatic statement inevitably will have gaps and will not bea complete guide for all future actions does not invalidate its usefulness as a planning tool. As more information becomes available it will be incorporated into the decision-making process, and where appropriate supplements to the programmatic environmental impact statement will be issued. As the decontamination of TMI-2 progresses the Commission will make any new information available to the public and to the extent necessary will also prepare separate environmental statements or assessments for individual portions of the overall clean-up effort.

.

The unvelopment of a programmatic impact statement will not preclude prompt Commission action when . needed. The Commission does recognize, however, that as with its Epicor-II approval action, any action taken in the absence of an overall impact statement will lead to arguments that there has been an inadequate environmental analysis, even where the Commission's action itself is supported by an environmental assessment. As in settling upon the scope of the programmatic impact statement, CEQ can lend assistance here. For example should the Commission before completing its programmatic statement decide that it is in the best interest of the public health and safety to decontaminate the high level waste water now in the containment building, or to purge that building of its radioactive gases, the Commission will consider CEQ's advice as to the Commission's NEPA responsibilities. Moreover, as stated in the Commission's May 25 statement, any action of this kind will not be taken until it has undergone an environmental review, and furthermore with opportunity for public comment provided.

However, consistent with our May 25 Statement, we recognize that there may be emergency situations, not now foreseen, which should they occur would require rapid action. To the extent practicable the Commission will consult with CEQ in these situations as weil.

With the help of the public's comments on our proposals we intend to assure, pursuant to NEPA and the Atomic Energy Act, that the clean-up of TI-11-2 is done consistently with the public health and safety, and with awareness of the choices ahead. We are directing our staff to include in the programmatic environmental impact statement on the decontamination and disposal of TMI-2 wastes an overall description of the planned activities and a schedule for their completion along with a discussion of alternatives considered and the rationale for choices made. We are also directing our staff to keep us advised of their progress in these matters.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 21st day of November 1979.

For the Commission. . . Samuel J. Chilk. Secretary of the Commission. (FR Doc. 74-30478 Filed 11-25-79. 8 45 am) BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY HOMEWOOD CAMPUS TRAFFIC and PARKING REGULATIONS **EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER, 1979**

These regulations are subject to amendments as the need arises. Such amendments will be announced by the Homewood Campus Traffic and Parking Committee ALL PERSONS USING MOTOR VEHICLES ON THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY CAMPUS ROADS, PARKING LOTS, DELIVERY AREAS, LOADING DOCKS OR ANY OTHER AREA, EITHER POSTED OR NOT POSTED, ARE SUBJECT TO ALL TRAFFIC REGULATIONS AS DESCRIBED IN THE MARYLAND MOTOR VEHICLE LAW.

PARKING FACILITIES

1

×.

4

÷,

6

Available to Students. Faculty, Administrators and Employees and to Visitors who have legitimate cause to visit the Campus. Visitors must use numbered parking lots, except that members and guests of the Faculty Club may use Area C. Faculty and Administrators expecting visitors may obtain temporary visitor permits from the Plant Operations Office. Garland Hall,

VEHICLE REGISTRATIONS

All Students. Faculty. Administrators and Employees of the University must register cars and motorized two-wheeled vehicles. Only two vehicles per person may be registered. (No penalty will follow for failure to register, if the vehicle is never parked in violation on the campus.)

PARKING PERMITS

Permits are available only to persons agreeing to abide by these Regulations. Faculty, Administrators and Employees will register vehicles annually each April. Student vehicles must be registered when Students register for classes at which time parking permits will be issued. Permits are also available in Room 114. Garland Hall during normal office hours. Student permits must be displayed by September 15, 1979. All decals must be mounted on the front and rear bumpers of the car.

PARKING PERMITS RESTRICTIONS

No student living within 34 of a mile of the Campus will be permitted to keep or park a car on the Campus except as indicated in Paragraph 5c. (Students living within ½ mile radius must register their cars under Rule 2 even though they are not entitled to parking permits.) Evening College parking permits will not be honored between 7:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m.; except during Summer Session when type III permit holders may park in type I spaces and type IV permit holders may park in type II spaces.

PARKING AREAS AND USE

A. Two-Wheeled Vehicles must park in Area D. L. or S. An enclosed, secured area is also available in Gilman Hall tunnel. B

- Other Vehicles: See map on reverse side.
- Any vehicle, with or without a permit, may properly park on all campus parking lots between 8:45 p.m. and 7:00 a.m., Monday through Thursday and from 8:45 p.m., Friday to 7:00 a.m., Monday, Exceptions may be made for special University events.

SIGNS

Official posted signs shall govern the use of all Areas

METERED PARKING AREAS

Parking meters are in operation from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. No charge on Saturday, Sunday, or University Holidays (New Year's Day, Washington's Birthday, Commencement, Memorial Day, July 4th, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day, and the Friday thereafter and Christmas Day). The charge for

VIOLATIONS

- Motorized Two-Wheeled Vehicles must park in Area D. S. L. or Gilman Hall tunnel only. R
- Violations of Parking Permit Restrictions (See item 4).
- Driving in a manner or speed that is not reasonable and proper D
- Type II, III, IV, permit holders parking in Areas reserved for Type I Permits. 12
- Failure to park so that the entire car lies between the dividing lines which designate the parking space. F.
- Parking at any time, including Saturday and Sunday on Campus Roads, Lawns, Delivery Entrances, Special Areas or any other than designated
- G.
- Parking in metered areas after the time has expired on the meter.
- Barking in decred areas after the through misrepresentation or traud, obtaining permit improperly.
- Operation of Bicycles, Motor Bikes, Motorcycles and Scooters on University walks or lawns
- Parking on the campus without having registered the vehicle (Except by a Visitor).
- Failure to mount permit on both bumpers of car. Κ.
- Type III and IV permit holders parking prior to 4.00 p.m. except during summer session
- M. Parking restricted to car pool permit holders only. N. Parking restricted to compact cars only

PENALTIES

- A. For each parking violation \$7.00 Fine.
- B. For securing a parking permit through misrepresentation or fraud 525.00 Fine.
- For the first occasion during the academic year when a car not registered with the University (but required to be registered) is parked on the Campus C. \$25.00 Fine.
- D. Automobiles parked in violation will be towed away at vehicle owner's or operator's expense. (Minimum fee \$40.00 to Greenwood's Garage.)
- The University reserves the right to revoke any individual's campus driving and parking privileges. Any such revocation will be effective imme-diately on an individual's receipt of notification of revocation sent via first class mail. The parking permit consists of two decals which remain the property of the University and are to be entirely removed and returned to the Office of Plant Planning and Operations upon revocation, or if such decals are unable to be removed, they are to be totally obliterated. The permit holder expressly gives the University permission to remove or obliterate such decals found on vehicles parked on Campus after the individual has received notice of revocation.

ENFORCEMENT

- A. Persons on the University payroll will have their fines deducted from their salary or wages. 8
- Students will be billed by the Office of Plant Planning and Operations. Students who fail to settle their fines will at the discretion of the Campus Traffic and Parking Committee lose their driving and parking privileges until fines are settled, and if their cars are found on the campus, they will be subject to impounding. Diplomas and transcripts will not be issued to students having unpaid accounts.
- Two or more unpaid tickets cause vehicle to be placed on tow-away list.
- Individuals who teel that a fine has been improperly imposed may submit with fourteen (14) days, a written appeal to the Secretary of the Campus H. Traffic and Parking Committee. Garland Hall. Based upon the facts presented, a decision will be made by the Committee. No appeal will be accepted after fourteen (14) days from the date of the violation.
- All vehicles in violation of campus Traffic and Parking Regulations, if not registered with the University, will be traced through their state license 12. numbers

13. PAYMENT OF FINES

FINES MAY BE PAID IN PERSON OR MAILED TO THE CASHIER'S OFFICE. 3RD FLOOR, GARLAND HALL

10

14



AREA

2

LOCATION

VISITOR PARKING

- Front of Athletic Center (metered until 5:00 p.m.)
- Maryland Hall Road (metered until 5 00 p.m.)
- West of Shriver Hall (metered until 5 00 p m.)

RESTRICTED PARKING

(Permit Types I & II only) All Permit Holders After 4:00 p.m.

- West of R.O.T.C. Building
- B South of Power House
- C'E Hopkins Club (See Below)
- South of Garland Hall
- South of Merryman Hall (4s Posted) JK South of Shriver Hall
- South End of Power : iouse (Motorcycle parking at east end.) Ret: of Whitehead Hall (Compact Cars ony, 14 ft. or less overall)
- P Campus Entrance on Wyman Park Drive (1st two rows compact cars only, 14 ft. or less).
- 0 East Side of Dormitory
- West Campus, Remington Avenue and Wyman Park Drive

*Reserved between the hours of 5.00 p.m. and 8.00 p.m. for the exclusive use of those who are dining at the Club.

AREA

D

F

GH

J

RESTRICTED PARKING

(Type I permit holders only until 8 45 p.m. except as posted)

LOCATION

- South of Greenhouse (Type III permit holders after 4.00 p.m.)
- Motorcycle parking at west end.
- Latrobe Hall, Lower Level (Type III permit holders after 4 00 p.m.)
- South of Maryland Hall (Type III permit holders after 4.00 p.m.)
- South of Merryman Hall (Type III permit holders after 4 00 p.m.)
- South of Merryman Hall (as posted) N
 - East of Remsen Hall (All permit holders after 4 00 p.m.)

HANDICAPPED PARK'NG

SPECIAL AREAS

President Residence- No University Parking

- Health Service Lot S West side of Dormitory, reserved for emergency Medical Service at all times. Motorcycle parking at north end.
- First National Bank -2 spaces Lot D reserved during bank hours for patrons while transacting business in the bank. All other times reserved for Type I permit holders.

Homewood Garilge, 3300 St. Paul St. - On an hourly, weekly or monthly basis. (Phone: 338-7946)

Motorcycles-must park in areas D. L. or S.