

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

March 28, 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Harold R. Denton, Director, Division of Site Safety

and Environmental Analysis, NRR

Roger J. Mattson, Director, Division of Systems Safety, NRR Victor Stello, Director, Division of Operating Reactors, NRR

FROM:

Roger S. Boyd, Director, Division of Project Management, NRR

SUBJECT:

IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

RECOMMENDED BY RRRC AND APPROVED BY THE DIRECTOR, NRR

REFERENCE:

Memorandum dated February 10, 1978, Subject:

Implementation of Regulatory Requirements Recommended by

RRRC and Approved by the Director, NRR - to

H. Denton and R. Mattson from R. Boyd

As a result of the discussion we had concerning my February 10, 1978 memorandum on the same subject, we are proceeding as follows.

Enclosure 1 represents those plants that DOR has agreed to include in their scope of responsibility regarding the implementation of these requirements.

The plants and designs within the DPM scope of responsibility are listed in Enclosure 2 along with the requested dates for responses by 'he applicants and licensees. We intend to send these licensees. applicants, and PDA-holders a letter based on the model letter presented in Enclosure 3. We anticipate that we will start sending these letters the first week in April 1978 and finish by April 15, 1978.

Roger S. Boyd, Director

Division of Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

1. List of Projects to be resolved by DOR

2. List of Replies due by June 15, 1978, August 15, 1978, October 15, 1978, and December 15, 1978

3. Sample letter

Degran Fuflume?

TO STANDARD COLLEGE

Harold R. Denton Roger J. Mattson Victor Stello

ccs w/enclosures

E. Case

K. Goller

D. Eisenhut

D. Muller

M. Grossman

J. Scinto

J. Miller

J. Miller

R. DeYoung

F. Schroeder

J. Reece

D. Vassallo

J. Stolz

K. Kniel

O. Parr

R. Denise

W. Kreger

W. Haass

W. Gammill

J. Stepp

L. Hulman

L. Crocker

J. Knight

R. Bosnak

S. Pawlicki

I. Sihweil

V. Benaroya

G. Lainas

T. Ippolito

F. Rosa

D. Ross

Z. Rosztoczy

P. Check

T. Novak

R. Vollmer

D. Bunch

J. Collins

S. Varga

T. Speis

D. Skovholt

P. Collins

R. Houston

C. Heltemes

TO BE RESOLVED BY DOR

THREE MILE ISLAND 2

NORTH ANNA 1 & 2

COOK 2

DAVIS BESSE 1

FARLEY 1 & 2

REPLIES DUE JUNE 15, 1978_

APPLICATION

CESSAR

SWESSAR-CESSAR

Harris 1-4

Beaver Valley 2

McGuire 1-2

Diablo Canyon 1-2

Palo Verde 1-3

Yellow Creek 1-2

Cherokee 1-3

Perkins 1-3

WPPSS 3-5

Forked River

Waterford 3

St. Lucie 2

Pilgrim 2

Arkansas 2

TYPE

Combustion Engineering - PDA

Stone & Webster PDA referencing Combustion Engineering PDA

Westinghouse Design

Westinghouse Design

Westinghouse Design

Westinghouse Design

CESSAR

CESSAR

CESSAR

CESSAR

CESSAR

Combustion Engineering Design

Water bearing the second

Nuclear Plant

REPLIES DUE AUGUST 15, 1978

APPLICATION	TYPE			
Callaway 1 & 2	SNUPPS (RESAR 3)			
Wolf Creek	SNUPPS (RESAR 3)			
Sterling	SNUPPS (RESAR 3)			
Tyrone	SNUPPS (RESAR 3)			
RESAR-3S	Westinghouse PDA			
South Texas 1-2	RESAR-41 Design			
Vogtle 1-2	RESAR-3 Design			
Millstone 3	RESAR-3 Design			
Comanche Peak 1-2	RESAR-3 Design			
Catawba 1-2	RESAR-3 Design			
Byron 1-2	RESAR-3 Design			
Braidwood 1-2	RESAR-3 Design			
Seabrook 1-2	RESAR-3 Design			
Jamesport 1-2	RESAR-3 Design			
Marble Hills 1-2	RESAR-3 Design			
FNP 1-8	RESAR-3 Design (Floating			

REPLIES DUE OCTOBER 15, 1978

AD	Ph I	TA	AT		CALL
AP	۲.	16	AL	ı.	UN

GESSAR-238 NI

GESSAR-238 NSSS

GESSAR-251 NSSS

Hartsville 1-4

Phipps Bend 1-2

Grand Gulf 1-2

Clinton 1-2

River Bend 1-2

Perry 1-2

Skagit 1-2

Black Fox 1-2

Fermi 2

Zimmer 1

Hatch 2

TYPE

General Electric Nuclear Island

General Electric PDA

General Electric PDA

GESSAR-238 NI

GESSAR-238 NI

General Electric BWR-6 Design

GESSAR-238 NSSS

General Electric BUR-4 Design

General Electric BWR-4 Design

General Electric BWR-4 Design

REPLIES DUE DECEMBER 15, 1978

APPLICATION

BSAR-205

SWESSAR-BSAR-205

SWESSAR-RESAR-3S

Bailly

Limerick 1-2

Nine Mile Point 2

Hope Creek 1-2

WPPSS-1

WPPSS-4

Pebble Springs 1-2

to be the first the second will be the second

Greene County

North Anna 3 - 4

TYPE

Babcock & Wilcox PDA

Stone & Webster PDA Referencing

B&W PDA

Stone & Webster PDA Referencing

Westinghouse PDA

General Electric BWR-4 Design

General Electric BWR-4 Design

General Electric BWR-5 Design

General Electric BWR-4 Design

Babcock & Wilcox Design

TO BE RESOLVED IN ONGOING REVIEWS, UPON REACTIVATION, OR PRIOR TO USE

ONGOING REVIEW

RESAR 414 GIBBSSAR-RESAR 414 BOPSSAR-BSAR 205 ESSAR-CESSAR Shoreham LaSalle 1&2 San Onofre 2&3 Summer 1 WPPSS 2 Midland 1&2 Davis Besse 2&3 New England 1&2 Erie 1&2 Sundesert 1&2 Haven 1&2 Susquehanna 1&2 Allens Creek Bellefonte 1&2 Salem 2 Sequoyah 1&2 Watts Bar 182

UPON REACTIVATION

Greenwood 2&3 Montague 1&2 Atlantic 1 & 2 Douglas Point

PRIOR TO USE

RESAR 41 SWESSAR RESAR 41 BOPPSAR RESAR 41 BRAUNSAR TI

- Bak Manager Salah an



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, C. C. 20555

- SAMPLE -

Docket Nos. SIN 50-556 SIN 50-557

> Public Service Company of Oklahoma Attn: Mr. B. H. Morphis Assistant Vice President - Nuclear P. O. Box 201 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74102

Gentlemen:

and then the same of the same

SUBJECT: IMPLEMENTATION OF STAFF REQUIREMENTS

A review of staff decisions on implementation of certain regulatory requirements, including those associated with Regulatory Guides and Branch Technical Positions, has indicated the need for further information regarding the status of the Black Fox Station design with regard to the provisions of the issues listed in Enclosure 1 and Enclosure 2. These issues are associated with revisions of existing guides or relatively recently proposed and approved new guides or Branch Technical Positions. A review of the satety significance of each of these items and its associated value/impact assessment was conducted by the Regulatory Requirements Review Committee (RRKC) and its recommendation for implementation was subsequently approved by the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. The following is the result of their actions:

- (1) Enclosure 1 lists those matters where staff action needs to be taken to apply the requirement in its entirety to all licensed facilities, plants under construction, and applications under review. For these matters listed in Enclosure 1, for which the plant design already meets the applicable provisions, provide or reterence appropriate confirming documentation. Where your plant design does not meet the applicable provisions, indicate your plans for corrective action.
- (2) Enclosure 2 lists those matters where staff action needs to be taken to determine the extent to which application of the requirement is necessary and practical to all licensed facilities, plants under construction, and applications under review, considering the status of design, construction, operation, and licensing review. Accordingly, please indicate the status of your plant design with regard to the applicable provisions of each of the matters in Enclosure 2. To the extent you may wish, your assessment as to the necessity and practicality of the degree of compliance warranted for your facility would be helpful to us in our considerations in making this determination.

we are reviewing all plants, including operating plants, and plant designs with regard to the issues listed in Enclosures 1 and 2. In order to efficiently allocate our limited staff manpower to resolution of this matter, considering our other workloads, we have developed a plan for a sequential receipt of responses from licensees and applicants. Your response is requested by June 15, 1978. We recognize that in many instances you may already meet some or all of the provisions of the guides listed (or an acceptable alternative) and have previously provided documentation to that effect. A reference to the documentation will be satisfactory.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact your assigned licensing project manager.

and the state of t

Sincerely,

Roger S. Boyd, Director Division of Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

1.

2.

ccs: Listed on following page