

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION W. SHINGTON, D. C. 20555

JAN 9 1980

Mr. Richard Cox 6135 30th Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20015

Dear Mr. Cox:

This is in reply to your letter of November 20, 1979, about licensing of of nuclear power plants.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission is committed to protect the public health and safety. The Three Mile Island accident resulted in a need for changes in the approach to safety. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has found that actions recommended by its own staff and by the President's Commission on the Accident at Three Mile Island in the areas of human factors, operational safety, emergency planning, nuclear power plant design and siting, health effects, and public information are necessary and feasible.

At this time we are preparing for review and approval by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission an Action Plan that will specify the precise actions to be taken. This Action Plan will include new or improved safety objectives, detailed criteria for their implementation, and various implementation deadlines. Our goal is to have approved NRC requirements available to all utilities shortly after February 15, 1980.

It is recognized that there will be a significant effect on the availability of power generating capacity if those plants now in the final stages of construction do not receive operating licenses by the dates previously anticipated, and every effort is being made to avoid unnecessary delays.

Sincerely,

Harold R. Denton, Director

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

ACCESSION NBH:7912030180 DOC.DATE: 79/11/20 NOTARIZED: NO

DUCKET #

FACIL:

CUX, R.

AUTH . NAME

AUTHOR AFFILIATION

Affiliation Unknown

HECIP . NAME DENTON, H.R.

RECIPIENT AFFILIATION Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: Recommends resumption of licensing for const of nuclear power generation facilities. Addl recommendations from C walske of Alf encl.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: XEOIS COPIES RECEIVED: LTR _ ENCL _ SIZE:_ TITLE: Exec. Correspondence (No Specific Dkt. Trans. to Denton/

RA HAS ORKGINAL

RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME

COPIES LTTR ENCL

RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME

COPIES LITH ENCL

1 1

TERALICY SUPEND

6135 30th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20015

November 20, 1979

Harold R. Denton, Director Nuclear Reactor Regulations 1717 H Street N W Washington, D C 20555

Dear Mr. Denton,

In the course of my regular occupation I am exposed to opinions, conclusions and theories of many people from as many walks of life throughout North America daily on many different issues. My conclusions are in part couched from reactions to those opinions, conclusions and theories.

However, I wish to have you consider my recommendations in this letter as those of a person who is concerned primarily from the position of a consuming tax-payer.

I am acutely aware of the potential risks encompassed by continued construction of nuclear power generation facilities. However, that knowledge is offset by the existence of the safety fare, back-up features and the added improvements made in those areas since Three Mile Island.

When reflecting on the reasons for gas lines in 1973 and again in 1979, I become increasingly alarmed as my personal life and that of my neighbor remains coupled closely to energy which must be purchased outside the United States.

When those reflections and considerations are coupled with knowledge of how little progress the current administration, both on Pennsylvania Avenue and Capitol Hill, have made in development of energy sources alternative to oil, the reservations from additional construction of nuclear power house generation facilities is tremendously diminished. Those considerations are diminished even further when applied to contemplation of a national brown-out, the failure of life saving equipment in hospitals, due to lack of available electrical energy, cessation of food processing equipment for the same reasons, and a myriad of other comparisons.

November 20, 1979 page 2 In reviewing items which have recently crossed my desk I was especially struck with the appropriate expression of Carl Walske's November 7, 1979 statement relative to licensing freeze of nuclear power house construction. As you know, Carl Walske is President of Atomic Industrial Forum, Inc., and a strong proponent of nuclear power generation. When all aspects of the critical energy situation in which we live is reviewed, I am hard put to find justification for anyone disagreeing with Carl Walske. I am enclosing that statement for your review and I urge you to add your efforts to those already in existance for promotion of licensing for construction of nuclear power generation facilities. I would appreciate your response to this letter. Very truly yours, Richard Cox RC: hjp enclosure