

Wayne

7/28/79

There are a couple of points made at the Lynchburg meeting which I may not have emphasized to you sufficiently at our 7/27 session.

1. It is clear that R3C, ratcheting, backfitting, how safety judgments are reached will be a very important part of the SIG's (our) report.

2. Tensions between economic and safety considerations should be identified. This may be hard to do, but we should at least identify those areas in which it may appear that safety considerations were slanted to some extent because of economic considerations.

3. Post-licensing actions, as well as issuing the license with the various conditions should also be considered in the light of #2.

4. Also, the internal appeal process, and the "milestones" placed on the staff reviewers should be considered in light of #2.

5. We have to show why from a practical standpoint, and consistent with sound regulation, there is a need for such things as 'not ratcheting, backfitting, license conditions postponing certain actions, exemptions etc.'

8001170 548

All of these will ultimately focus on what was done or not done in the licensing of TMI-2 and the significance of these matters. From this, general conclusions probably can be reached regarding the strengths and weaknesses of this very important part of the regulatory process and of our Report.

Bill P

CC  
EVANS