

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

November 10, 1977

Docket No. 50-269

Duke Power Company
ATTN: Mr. William O. Parker, Jr.
Vice President - Steam Production
422 South Church Street
P. O. Box 2178
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Gentlemen:

It has recently come to our attention that one of the reporting requirements in the Oconee Nuclear Station Technical Specifications is not consistent with this reporting requirement as it is specified in the Technical Specifications in force at other facilities nor is it in conformance with NRC intentions in this regard.

Specifically, for events requiring prompt notification to the NRC, the Oconee Technical Specifications as presently worded would permit this prompt notification to be by a choice of either telephone, telegraph, mailgram, or facimile transmission. It is our intent that the prompt reporting of such events should be done promptly both crally by telephone and in writing by telegraph, mailgram or facimile transmission.

The lack of a requirement to promptly document such reportable occurrences creates what we consider to be an unacceptable inconsistency in reporting requirements and record keeping and could hamper the NRC's ability to rapidly and accurately disseminate notification of such events.

We have enclosed a page from another facility's Technical Specifications which provides you an example of the wording you should use in your Technical Specifications.

Accordingly, within 15 days of receipt of this letter, you should request an amendment to the Oconee Technical Specifications to change the reporting requirements on prompt notification with written followup to be consistent with the staff's intent as shown in the enclosure.

Sincerely,

A. Schwencer, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch #1. Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosure: Sample Technical Specification

cc w/enclosure: See next page Accordingly, within 15 days of receipt of this letter, you should request an amendment to the Oconee Technical Specifications to change the reporting requirements on prompt notification with written followup to be consistent with the staff's intent as shown in the enclosure.

Sincerely.

A. Schwencer, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #1 Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosure: Sample Technical Specification

cc w/enclosure: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket NRC PDR Local PDR ORB#1 Rdg VStello. KRGoller. ASchwencer DNeighbors SSheppard OELD 01&E(3) DEisenhut TBAbernathy JRBuchanan ACRS (16) File

POOR ORIGINAL

12,	orrige>	ORB#OM	ORB#1			198,
	SURNAME >	DNeighbors:ar	ASchwen	er.		Marie Contra
	DATE	11/10 /77	11/ 60	/77		

cc: Mr. William L. Porter
Duke Power Company
P. O. Box 2178
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esquire DeBevoise & Liberman 700 Shoreham Building 806-15th Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20005

Oconee Public Library 201 South Spring Street Walhalla, South Carolina . 29691

James P. O'Reilly, Director Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II Office of Inspection and Enforcement 230 Peachtree Street, N.W., Suite 1217 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

POOR ORIGINAL

- a. Prompt Notification With Written Followup. The types of events listed below shall be reported as expeditiously as possible, but within 24 hours by telephone and confirmed by telegraph, mailgram, or facsimile transmission to the Director of the appropriate Regional Office, or his designate no later than the first working day following the event, with a written followup report within two weeks. The written followup report shall include, as a minimum, a completed copy of a licensee event report form. Information provided on the licensee event report form shall be sumplemented, as needed, by additional narrative material to provide complete explanation of the circumstances surrounding the event.
 - (1) Failure of the reactor protection system or other systems subject to limiting safety system settings to initiate the required protective function by the time a monitored parameter reaches the setpoint specified as the limiting safety system setting in the technical specifications or failure to complete the required protective function.
 - Note: Instrument drift discovered as a result of testing need not be reported under this item but may be reportable under items 6.9.2.a(5), 6.9.2.a(6), or 6.9.2.b(1) below.
 - (2) Operation of the unit or affected systems when any parameter or operation subject to a limiting condition is less conservative than the least conservative aspect of the limiting condition for operation established in the technical specifications.
 - Note: If specified action is taken when a system is found to be operating between the most conservative and the least conservative aspects of a limiting condition for operation listed in the technical specifications, the limiting condition for operation is not considered to have been violated and need not be reported under this item, but it may be reportable under item 6.9.2.b(2)
 - (3) Abnormal degradation discovered in fuel cladding, reactor coolant pressure boundary, or primary containment.
 - Note: Leakage of valve packing or gaskets within the limits for identified leakage set forth in technical specifications need not be reported under this item.

POOR ORIGINAL

Amendment No. 5 Change No. 7 12/18/75