

INSPECTION REPORT

Licensee: General Electric Company, Harris, Illinois
Wildcat Fuel Recovery Plant
Construction Permit GPCSP-3, Packer No. 50-233

Inspection Dates: July 2-9, 1970

Report Date: July 21, 1970

Inspected by: G. P. Coryall, Fuel Facilities Inspector

SUMMARY

An announced inspection was made of the HSP construction site on the dates shown above. Facility construction is about 50% complete as of July 9, 1970. Construction progress has slipped an estimated four months behind the original schedule. The latest delay was caused by a strike of construction carpenters which began on June 9 and ended on the first of July.

The inspection was scheduled primarily to review the Quality Assurance Program and procedures in use at the construction site and included an inspection tour of the facility. Some deficiencies had been noted in the inspection records during the previous inspection. Quality assurance, audit reports, construction records and Quality Control inspection records were found to be current and complete at the time of this inspection. The inspection findings indicate that the Q/A/QC program is being applied in conformance with Supplement 3 to the Design and Analysis Manual entitled "Wildcat Fuel Recovery Plant Quality Systems Summary."

Construction problems noted during the inspection include lack of resolution of the fuel storage and high level waste storage vault liner welding defects and evidence of ground water intrusion in the low activity waste vault and canyon entry to the fuel storage vault. The licensee's evaluation and resolution of these problems will be followed on future inspections.

DETAILS

A. Personnel Contacted

General Electric Company (GEC)

W. R. MacNeil, Manager Plant Engineering and Maintenance
S. G. Swales, Plant Manager
R. W. Lambert, Manager Fabrication
Ken Hudson, Project Engineer (GEC)

POOR ORIGINAL

7912030 383

John Connelley, Ltd.

John King, Senior Construction Inspector

B. Scope of Inspection

The purpose of the announced inspection was to review construction progress and the applied Quality Assurance Program. Structural phases of construction were observed and quality control records and mechanical components receipt records were checked on a sampling basis.

C. Project Status

Mr. Conner stated that the project was about 95% complete. The effect of the four week construction strike is still being felt, as a high percentage of the craftsmen want to other jobs and the crews are being slowly built up to normal size. Mr. Conner estimated the total effect to be about a seven week delay.

Core wall pours have been completed to the 61' level. This is above the top of the interior core wall. The concrete floor pad and one 24' section of the south wall was being poured on the date of the inspection. The Flooring Building and Service Building are 98% complete and would have been released to 62 on a conditional acceptance basis in June if it had not been for the strike. Turnover to 62 for beneficial occupancy is now estimated for August 15.

D. Organization

The site organization remains as described in Supplement 3, Design and Analysis Manual. Jim Anderson, Floor Quality Control Engineer, has returned to the Floor main office in Los Angeles. The Chief Quality Control Engineer position is now Mr. John King. Mr. Anderson is still the responsible engineer for following the quality control of this project and is expected to return to the site on or about August 1, 1970.

While not a formal change in the organization, inspection findings indicate that Mr. Randall, -RFB and Mr. Hudson, CE -RSCG are working together on a quality assurance audit team at the site. One apparent result has been a notable decrease in time between the identification of a problem and initiation of corrective action.

POOR ORIGINAL

U. Concrete

Concrete placement and Quality Control records were reviewed. The basic code is ACI 318-63 but recent pours have had to meet ACI 605-59 because the mean air temperature has exceeded 90°F.

It was observed that concrete batch plant operation and concrete placement was being monitored by both a Holmes Laboratory representative and a HCOO engineer.

The Inspector reviewed the slump, compressive strength and air content test results and found them to be in accordance with GI specifications. The 28 day compressive strength tests for concrete wall pours varied from 3698 to 4200 psi. The minimum requirement is 3,000 psi concrete. It was noted that ice is being added to the mix water tank at the batch plant and the mix water temperature is being held at around 40°F. Concrete temperature after discharge from the mixer and immediately after placement has not exceeded 70°F except in one instance. One pour of 18 1/2 yards for pump base construction immediately after placement had the temperature of 74°F and the deviation noted was made and approved by HCOO and GI.

Concrete curing procedures are as follows:

1. Spray water over concrete as soon as it is set hard enough to prevent erosion from the water. The concrete is kept wet for at least 72 hours.
2. Loosen forms after 24 hours and allow water to get to walls.
3. Enslap is used on all out-exposed areas and the barlay is kept wet.

V. Construction Problems

The extent of the site water saturation problem became evident during the strike shutdown. Ground and sub-surface water has collected in the LHM, bladding, and fuel receiving storage vaults up to a depth of 30'.

GI has retained the consulting geologist firm of Barnes & Moore to evaluate the problem and make recommendations for corrections. The geologists' opinion is that the extensive blasting that occurred during

POOR ORIGINAL

site preparation has caused the entire area to become a local sub-surface water sink. They have recommended a 3-floor pressure grouting in areas where known leaks occur through the base plate as a corrective measure, and the drilling of wells so that they can be used to lower the local water level as a preventive measure. EPCO is negotiating a contract with a local well drilling organization and the first well will be started next week. The licensee's evaluation and corrective action relating to this problem will be reviewed on future inspections.

C. Welding and Piping

Welding and piping quality control records were reviewed and shop and field welding operations were observed. Welder qualification records are up-to-date and the basic QC record entitled "Machinery and Equipment Installation Check List" indicates that weld procedures and pipe installation procedures are followed and are audited.

The SA-23 column piping was selected for a spot check audit for material control, welder qualification, welding procedures, and NDE techniques in addition to a review of the QC records. No deviations in work performance and record keeping requirements were noted.

QA field audit records show that one problem area was detected in the last two months. Field welds on 1/8" diameter sample lines were found to have excessive weld penetration resulting in partial blockage. All 1/8" welds were reinspected and repaired per a Fluor repair procedure approved by GE - BSRD.

The acceptability of the fuel vault and high-level waste storage vault cladding is still a point of contention between Fluor and GE. Fluor inspectors accepted the repaired welds on May 9. GE refused to accept the cladding and retained J. Bland, Manager, GE Metals Joining Operation as Consultant. EPCO is to obtain an independent welding consultant and is acting as negotiator in the dispute.

Inspection findings indicate that cryogen cell liner installation is being performed in compliance with the revised cladding specifications and is closely audited by Fluor and GE inspectors. For example, all subcontractor welders are qualified by Fluor with test coupons being submitted to Kagasflux for examination.

POOR ORIGINAL

Weld record details as of May 21, 1979, showed the following:

Shop welds - 2425	Repaired - 112
Field welds - 937	Repaired - 115
API (submittals) - 1145	Repaired - 6
Radiographed - 137	

Recent radiograph records indicate that Fluor is sampling small diameter piping on a more representative basis in meeting the API radiograph requirements.

H. Receiving and Storage

Materials receiving areas were checked and storage areas inspected. Deviation requests generated in the last two month period were found to involve above specification corrosion for the first of the series of six corrosion tests or the absence of critical weld detail drawings.

A loss of inert atmosphere on a primary and secondary cold trap was noted during the previous inspection. GE reviewed inspection requirements and evaluated the safety significance of the apparent loss of inert atmosphere. GE informed the inspector that they do not have an inert atmosphere requirement for the cold traps and that the cold traps were obtained charged with nitrogen as a convenience rather than a requirement.

I. QA Program Audits

Audit and inspection records available for review include Fluor and GE inspection log books, quality control records, machinery and equipment installation checklists, Holms laboratory concrete test records, RWD trip reports, and deviation requests. Special audits were made by H. Habbal, RWD Auditor and Consultant, in May and by L. S. Moody, General Manager, RWD, on July 7.

The licensee's inspection and safety audit records indicate compliance with the program described in Supplement 3 of the Midwest Fuel Recovery Plant Design and Analysis Report.

Fluor Construction Site Management and Quality Control Inspectors attended GE training sessions during the strike period. Mr. Shelton stated that Fluor personnel indicated an increased understanding of the need for strict quality control procedures on the MWRP project.

POOR ORIGINAL

5. Discussion Summary

Inspection findings were discussed with Mr. Seaton and Mr. Kendall at the conclusion of the inspection. The primary discussion item was the water intrusion problem and GI's plans for evaluation and correction. This will be followed on future inspections. The current status of vault climbing repairs and acceptance criteria was discussed as noted in Section 6 of this report.

The improved quality and availability of Quality Control and Quality Assurance audit records was commented on favorably.

POOR ORIGINAL