

1519 Spg. Adm. Ave.  
Berwick, Pa. 18603  
August 26, 1979

(4)

Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
Mittman

Dear Secretary -  
after listening to every body's crying and very little  
action, I wish to present this draft. That old saying 'there  
are no easy solutions' is very true, however, there are  
ways to solve any problem and the biggest one is TRY.  
This we have not done. We all saw this coming (TMI)  
and did little to correct the inevitable. If our  
governmental agencies issued licenses and regulations, then  
they should make sure all phases are adhered to. It  
seems we have more regulations than we can  
police, so what good are they.

This Nuclear bit seems to me to be like having a tiger  
by the tail'. Therefore we should have the BEST qualified man  
available to head this one item up, free of politics,  
minority, ethnic or what-have-you strings. Our primary and  
most urgent liability is dedication and commitment to a  
tremendous task. It is not impossible and must be done.

In light of oil shenanigans and two generations of  
no deep coal mining being done, we must have nuclear  
energy. So, let's go light, department wise but right in what  
we do.

POOR  
ORIGINAL

Thanks for your time, good luck,

Sincerely  
Lawrence T. Moore

7911160380

NUCLEAR ENERGY

POOR ORIGINAL *Red val*

TO SHOW IT IS MY CONCERN-

Thank goodness, at last we have an Nuclear input set-up whereby the 'pros' and 'cons' can be placed priority-wise and I hope this is done sincerely and thoroughly with action implemented and taken.

By way of introduction, I live two miles west of the Bell Bend power project above Berwick, am a 35 year old retired light and heavy fabrication supervisor. My education consists only of a High School education along with Industrial and Church provided courses for which I am grateful. I've always had the love of putting 2 + 2 together and come up with a solid 4.

In regard to Nuclear Power and it's attributes, good or bad, I am NOT AFRAID of it in any way. What I am afraid of is the \$200,000 a year presidents of firms holding Nuclear licenses, who allows Nuclear plants to operate as the ~~the~~ Three Mile Island plant was run. If I were in his shoes and know, as he must have, <sup>known</sup> that he had a tiger by <sup>the</sup> tail, I would not have slept till I had some assurance from ~~EVERYBODY~~ of my own staff, concerned State, Federal and Construction Co. people, that everything was under control and/or if not, there would be some heads rolling because of their laxness.

After the newspapers, radio, television and 'what have you' got their marbles mixed up, my guess is that the operators of the plant and the higher-ups were NOT TRAINED as thoroughly and intensely as they should have been. A very good friend of mine, connected with the space program, full time and having been all over the world where space apparatus is located, made this comment to his mother, 'Had the NUCLEAR crew been trained properly and as intensely as to their operation as were the space crews, there would have been NO THREE MILE ISLAND incident. They would not have waited three months to correct an evident trouble spot, they would have been able to correct same with their eyes closed.' Just think, of all the preparation, equipment and millions of miles traveled by our Space program, not to mention the wonderful manner the Space Laboratory was put up and brought back down with not ONE mishap in flight. To me, this speaks volumes of negative facts about our Nuclear programs operation. The above positiveness of the space program MUST be applied to the Nuclear program in order to insure safety, efficiency of operation and confidence to the satisfaction of all concerned. In other words, responsibility, facts and sincerity which I would place in the following order-

1st- The biggest must is the intensiveness and thoroughness of the engineering, construction and operation. <sup>Training</sup> The dividends would be a better plant, repressed fears locally and proof that we have the plant that it was built for, at the price already paid for. IF 'CAN BE DONE' if ALL stop talking, and act. The fact that we have an all-talk government (535 congressmen) and blame the results on the president, regardless of the party, speaks volumes.

2nd- What shall we do with the waste? Here again, I can't believe that all these years of putting these packages together has not been supplemented by a plan or method of disposing of it. It's almost inconceivable that we can put something together

but can't take it apart scientifically. Let's face these facts, 'POST HASTE', and make SAFE and satisfactory disposition. Let's set 24 hour a day time schedule with a deadline completion!

One the next priority and I'm almost inclined to make it number 1, should be that the President and Operation Chief of each Nuclear operation of companies holding State and/or Federal licenses, be held responsible for efficient operation at ALL times. A small irregularity, recognized and assessed, must be dealt with at once, notifying proper authorities (excluding the poms media idiots, till they use it not as news but as emergency measures only), thus disposing the guesswork. FAILURE to do the above should be grounds to remove the President of the Co. and his Operations Chief immediately and BLACK BALL them ~~ix~~ from ever being associated with any Nuclear work whatsoever. That's how important I consider these two positions to be in regards to Nuclear's Powers safety and economy impact on us all.

4th- But shall we do when something happens? I've read a lot the last couple of weeks about the emergency (EMI) that happened March 28th. I don't know what I was doing in a specially called emergency meeting on April 1st, called by Jim Hinkley, our local Red Cross Disaster Chairman, to his home. He and other chairmen were called together with school authorities, Fair Association, Civil Defense and Clergy and others after being alerted, to discuss the situation that might arise. Fast talking was done, action taken, not complete but a general idea was developed for expansion and implementation. The local Red Cross units went into action that evening on the afternoons discussion. Later on a three hour meeting of county and vicinity meeting was called at Bloomsburg High School where discussion was amplified and portfolios were passed out which outlined the essentials and procedures for a starter. WE DISCUSSED supervision, nursing, medication, lodging, food, bedding, communication, entertainment, pets and etc. In listening to our Pa. State Assemblymen, you'd swear nothing has been done. The Red Cross will be ready to act as they never did while the Civil Defense is not organized, not in our neck of the woods. You've heard the old saying 'Talk's cheap but it takes money to buy beer'. Who wants/needs beer at a time like this? LET'S GO! LIGHT but RIGHT!

I just reread your 'NRC Seeks Your Input' and those 14 points stated are a laugh when our State of Pennsylvania can't see fit to allocate some \$300,000 for monitoring equipment for the past several years. That exemplifies our assembly-men's interest and/or care. The state could set up a fund earmarked for just this very type situation and let or put it in an investment till needed. Definitely, organize a RED TAPELESS system to meet the immediate needs with the least amount of friction. My prayer is that we would never need to use any of this emergency planning or money. Please push my first three priorities. LET'S GO! ACTION! LET'S GO! ACTION! LET'S GO! ACTION! LET'S GO! ACTION!

POOR  
ORIGINAL

L. T. Moore  
1519 Spay, 8th Ave.  
Bryn Mawr, Pa. 18603



Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

800



POOR ORIGINAL