

NRC PUBLIC DOCUMENT ROOM

LAW OFFICES

LOWENSTEIN, NEWMAN, REIS, AXELRAD & TOLL

1025 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N. W.

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

202 862-8400

ROBERT LOWENSTEIN  
JACK R. NEWMAN  
HAROLD F. REIS  
HAURICE AXELRAD  
DAVID R. TOLL  
KATHLEEN H. SHEA  
J. A. SOUKNIGHT, JR.

S. GREGORY BARNES  
MICHAEL A. BAUSER  
ALBERT V. CARR, JR.  
ROBERT H. GULP  
WILLIAM J. FRANKLIN  
FREDERIC S. GRAY  
JOEL S. WIGHT, ADM. CLERK



December 15, 1978

Marshall E. Miller, Esq. Chairman  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. Hugh C. Paxton  
1229 - 41st Street  
Los Alamos, NM 87544

Dr. Kenneth A. McCollom, Dean  
Division of Engineering,  
Architecture & Technology  
Oklahoma State University  
Stillwater, OK 74074

RE: Portland General Electric Company, et al.  
(Trojan Nuclear Plant)  
Docket No. 50-344  
(Control Building Proceeding)

Gentlemen:

Enclosed are:

- (1) Licensee's Post-Hearing Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Concerning Interim Operation ("Post-Hearing Findings"); and
- (2) Licensee's Additional Proposed Transcript Corrections.

The enclosed Post-Hearing Findings further supplement Licensee's proposed findings (filed on November 20) and the supplementary findings (filed on December 6). They consist of two groups: paragraphs 22a through 22g dealing with procedural matters and paragraphs 82h through 82l dealing with the concluding arguments on December 12 and the evidentiary presentations on December 13-14 on matters raised in the written limited appearance statement of Mr. Richard Pollard.

For the convenience of the Board I have repeated within the enclosed paragraphs 22a through 22g the paragraphs which I read into the transcript on December 12 (Tr. 2581-83).

7901040077

G

Marshall F. Miller, Esq.  
Dr. Hugh C. Paxton  
Dr. Kenneth A. McCollom  
Page Two  
December 15, 1978

I should also point out to the Board that the previously filed paragraphs 82a through 82g concerning seismic qualification of equipment were based on the record to November 3 and the subsequent pre-filed testimony. As such, these paragraphs do not contain references to the transcript of the testimony on this subject of witnesses for Licensee and the NRC Staff. As I pointed out on December 12 (Tr. 2580), the testimony of the witnesses on December 11 corroborated and buttressed their prefiled testimony. Accordingly, such testimony was fully consistent with the previously filed findings and conclusions and can be cited in toto in support thereof.

Respectfully submitted,

*Maurice Axelrad*  
Maurice Axelrad

MA/aps

Enclosures