UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY To Distribution: Herewith is a corrected paginated transcript re TMI-2 for 7/8/80 per Mr. Fitti's request of 7/15/80.

In the Matter of:

THREE MILE ISLAND UNIT II )

DOCKET NO. 50-320-OLP

PAGES :

86 thru 119

DATE: July 8, 1980 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

ALDERSON / , REPORTING

400 Virginia Ave., S.W. Washington, D. C. 20024

Telephone: (202) 554-2345

8007240254

1 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 2 - X . 3 In the Matter of: : Docket No. 50-320-0LP . 4 THREE MILE ISLAND UNIT II : 5 - - - - X 6 Courtroom No. 1 Public Utilities Commission 7 Commonwealth Ave. & North St. Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 8 Tuesday, July 8, 1980 9 The above-entitled matter met for prehearing 10 conference at 9:05 a.m. 11 BEFORE: JOHN WOLF, BOARD CHAIRMAN 12 OSCAR H. PARIS, Ph.D., BOARD MEMBER 13 FREDERICK J. SHON, BOARD MEMBER 14 APPEARANCES: 10 JUDITH JOHNSRUD, Ph.D. 16 On behalf of ECNP 17 STEPHEN SHOLLY On behalf of himself 18 STEPHEN C. GOLDBERG, Esq. 19 LAWRENCE CHANDLER On behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission 20 KARIN CARTER, Esq. 21 ROBERT ADLER, Esq. On behalf of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania 22 GEORGE S. TROWBRIDGE, Esq. 23 DEBBIE BERNSTEIN Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 24 On behalf of Metropolitan Edison Company 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

86

2 PROCEEDINGS 1 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Good morning, ladies and 2 3 gentlemen. We will begin the morning session by asking that 4 appearances be stated. We will begin with you, Mr. Sholly, 5 6 please. 7 MR. SHOLLY: Stephen Sholly, pro se/ 8 MS. CARTER: Karin Carter, Assistant Attorney 9 General, representing the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. With me is Mr. Robert Adler. 10 11 MR. GOLDBERG: Stephen C. Goldberg, representing 12 the NRC staff. Along with me at counsel's table is Mr. 13 Lawrence Chandler. 14 MR. TROWBRIDGE: George S. Trowbridge, 15 representing the licensee. On my right is Ms. Debbie 16 Bernstein from my office. 17 MR. WOLF: I have a couple of pieliminary 18 matters. Can we get an expression as to when the work that 19 is to be done in connection with the consolidation of 20 contentions and the modifying of them, if that is possible, 21 will be completed and submitted to the Board? 22 MR. TROWBRIDGE: Mr. Chairman, we are meeting with 23 all the intervenors in the tech spec aspect of this hearing 24 on Friday of this week. We will report back the results of 25 that meeting. I would suggest that we enlarge our meeting

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

1 to include our recommendations on time tables for any 2 further hearings, assuming that we don't settle all the 3 contentions on Friday. CHAIRMAN WOLF: You will submit a piece of paper? 1 MR. TROWBRIDGE: We will submit a paper stating 5 where agreements have been reached, and a suggestion as to 6 7 time table for any remaining hearing. 8 CHAIRMAN WOLF: In that connection I have a 9 statement from Mr. Lochstet regarding problem dates that he has. I will give it to you, and if we can work around the 10 dates that he has indicated as a problem I would like to do 11 12 that. MR. TROWBRIDGE: Mr. Lochstet will be our Friday 13 14 session. 15 CHAIRMAN WOLF: He will, then I will not have to 16 give this to you. MR. TROWBRIDGE: It is Dr. Lochstet, by the way, I 17 18 was informed yesterday. CHAIRMAN WOLF: Ms. Carter, do you have copies of 19 20 that? 21 MS. CARTER: We have not yet received Mr. Sholly's 22 contentions. 23 MB. SHOLLY: They will be getting them today. CHAIRMAN WOLF: Very well. 24 Does any counsel or pro se have any preliminary 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

88

1 matter that they would like to take up?

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Mr. Chairman, I have one piece of logistics. These two exhibits, 1a and 1b, which we intend to introduce, we ran out of the energy or the ability to count at about midnight on Thursday, so that I do not have enough copies to give the three exhibits to the reporter. I do have one extra.

8 I am wondering, since the Board Members are all in 9 Washington, whether two of the Board copies could be added 10 to my copy as exhibits. This could be done at the end of 11 the hearing because we may have some references to it.

12 CHAIRMAN WOLF: I think that we are ready to 13 proceed on the matter of the venting problem. The Board is 14 amenable to proceeding in any order that the parties want to 15 proceed in, whatever will facilitate the furnishing of the 16 information.

17 MR. TROWBRIDGE: I might start simply by
18 explaining our plan of action, so the others can do the
19 same. Then the Board can decide what order to follow.

We are prepared to go through Mr. Sholly's latest motion, and discuss in order the six grounds for the motion. However, when we get past the first three, which will be largely my talking and my referring to documents that the Board already has, I would like to put on a live witness to describe the venting program. He is here, Mr.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

89

1 Morrell. Then, we will proceed to a brief discussion of grounds 4, 5, and 6. 2 3 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Mr. Goldberg. 4 MR. GOLDBERG: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I believe the 5 staff position on the several requests before this Board are 6 already contained in filings we have made before this Board 7 on July 3rd and July 7th. We will rest on those pleadings, 8 and reserve any additional argument that may be necessary 9 until Mr. Trowbridge has completed his direct presentation. 10 CHAIRMAN WOLF: At the end of whatever 11 presentation is made, I am going to ask counsel to make 12 whatever arguments they wish to make. 13 MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Ms. Carter, do you have any 15 comments? 16 MS. CARTER: No, we do not. 17 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Mr. Sholly, I assume that we 18 should have the parties, that is, Commonwealth Edison and 19 staff, give their position first, and then have you come 20 back and state your position, and make whatever argument you 21 care to make at that time. MR. SHOLLY: I think that it might be more 22 23 efficient if I could address the parties briefly. CHAIRMAN WOLF: First? 24 25 MR. SHOLLY: Yes, sir.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

90

CHAIRMAN WOLF: You may do that. Do you want to
 start it off; is that what you would like to do?

3 MR. SHOLLY: It is difficult to say what will
4 happen after, it will depend largely on the Board and on the
5 other parties.

I have had an opportunity last evening, for the 6 first time, to read the various pleadings that were placed 7 8 before the District Court in Washington, and the Appeals Court. I have had a chance to review the staff's brief, and 9 also the licensee's brief. Looking at the way things have 10 developed thus far, it would be difficult for me to assess 11 12 my chances of convincing the Board that the fast purging 13 system should be stopped.

Regardless of that, however, I am of the opinion that if the Board does in fact rule that way, it will be immediately appealed to the Appeals Board, and if unsuccessful there, the licensee will take it further to the Commission, who I am sure will rule against the position that I proposed, that the fast purge should be halted.

It would seem to me that a delay in the fast purge of, perhaps, a day or two, or maybe three, would be the best that I could hope to accomplish. At this point, while I am essentially opposed to the venting, and I not personally feel that it is or has been necessary, if that is the declared position of the Commission, which I think it is, I

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

91

1 do not care to delay that.

25

2 I disagree with the basis for the venting having 3 started. I think there was a gross mistake made in assuming 4 that psychological stress would be minimized by the 5 venting. I think that that is completely in error. 6 However, since the venting has started, and it is clearly 7 not going to be stopped either by the Commission, and as near as I can tell by the courts, it would seem to me that 8 9 given the situation we have now, the best thing to do would 10 be to go ahead and complete the venting as rapidly as 11 possible. Once it is started, the stress that was created 12 by the start of the venting is there. It is real, it exists 13 now. The best way to minimize it is to get it over with. 14 I disagree with the venting, as I said before. I think that it is totally unnecessary. However, given this 15 16 current situation, the way things are developing, the past history of the Commission on this issue and the courts', I 17 18 do not feel that it would be useful of anybody's time or

19 energy at this point to go forward with this motion.

I had briefly discussed this with Mr. Trowbridge and with Mr. Chandler, and they both agreed with my assessment, which I suspected that they would.

23 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Are you saying that you want to 24 formally withdraw the complaint?

MR. SHOLLY: I will do that .f that would please

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

92

1 the Board.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: You know, Mr. Sholly, the Board 2 has no feeling in this matter. We are here to hear it and 3 4 come up with a decision that is based on whatever evidence 5 is submitted. MR. SHOLLY: Yes, sir. 6 7 CHAIRMAN WOLF: We do not want our feeling in the matter to be a factor. I have no feeling personally. 8 9 How are you proposing that you would like to 10 appeal the matter to the Appeals Board? 11 MR. SHOLLY: No, sir. I think that that would be 12 a futile gesture in my estimation because it would certainly 13 go to the Commission ultimately, and I am convinced that the 14 Commission would rule that things should proceed as 15 planned. 16 I think that it would simply be more efficient to 17 simply withdraw the motion, and that is what I am proposing 18 to do. 19 I regret any feelings that I may have wasted 20 witnesses' time, and so forth. However, having not had an 21 opportunity to read most of the filings until last night, I 22 was not in a position, really, to make this assessment until 23 sometime late last night. I regret any inconvenience that 24 this may have caused to the parties. 25 CHAIRMAN WOLF: It is your right to bring the

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

8

motion and the appeal. There is no problem with that. 1 2 MR. SHOLLY: I formally withdraw the motion at 3 this point. CHAIRMAN WOLF: Very well. 4 5 Dr. Johnsrud, will you state your appearance for 6 the record? 7 DR. JOHNSRUD: Yes. My name is Dr. Judith Johnsrud. I apologize for being late. I have had to drive 8 9 nearly 100 miles to get here this morning for the information that the purpose of today's hearing will 10 evidently not go forward, which I regret, too. 11 12 i do want, however, to place on the record ECNP's 13 concurrence with Mr. Sholly's views on venting, and express our regret that we share his belief that any attempts to 14 15 prevent the venting at this stage will be futile, and not 16 worth the cost in time and effort for anyone. We do also 17 oppose the continuation of the venting given the fact that other mechanisms for control of the krypton are available. 18 19 CHAIRMAN WOLF: At chis point, Ms. Carter, do you have any position regarding the withdrawal of the motion? 20 MS. CARTER: May I have a brief moment to confer 21 with my colleagues. 22 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Certainly . 23 MS. CARTER: I have just a brief two or three 24 25 sentences.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

94

We concur with Mr. Sholly's assessment of the futility of proceeding further with his motion, and therefore approve of his withdrawal of the motion. But we certainly have at all times respected his right to bring that motion, and we commend now and have commended him privately in the past for his performance in these and other proceedings.

8 We think that he has always acted in a manner to 9 conserve time and effort of other parties, and to bring 10 matters to the Board which were not frivolous.

We would state once again for the record what we have stated publicly in other forums. We concurred in the venting decision by the NRC, and we agree with the NRC and others that the venting should continue to proceed, and we are sure that it will.

16 CHAIRMAN WOLF: In light of the withirawal of the 17 motion, I don't see any reason why we should go through the 18 analysis of the briefs, and the affidavits and materials 19 that have been submitted, unless the parties want to.

20 MR. TROWBRIDGE: I have no interest in wasting the 21 Board's time or anybody else's time.

22 CHAIRMAN: What about staff?

23

MR. CHANDLER: We would agree, Mr. Chairman.

I would like to note that although the staff has taken a position contrary to the views, both as a matter of

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

law and fact, that are raised by Mr. Sholly, under no
 circumstances should it be understood that we consider his
 efforts and his claims to be frivolous, or a burden, or
 inconvenience upon the staff.

We think that he has very valid and legitimate concern, and we trust he appreciates the views and considerations that the staff of the Commission have given to this matter.

9 CHAIRMAN WOLF: As we move along, then, I would 10 still like to have a statement from anyone who can make it 11 regarding the newspaper article that we have from the New 12 York Times of July 4th, which is headed, "Scientists fear 13 release of krypton may have serious weather effects."

I would like the staff to comment on that, or present whatever information they have on it, and also the representative of the licensee.

17 MR. CHANDLER: Mr. Chairman, the staff would have
18 available Mr. Frank Congel who can make a statement
19 regarding the article.

20 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Could you spell your name, please?
21 MR. CONGEL: Yes, it is C-o-n-g-e-l.

22 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Will you first start off by giving23 us your qualifications and background?

24 MR. CONGEL: Yes, sir. I am the Leader of the
25 Radiological Impact Section in the Office of Nuclear Reactor

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

96

Regulation. As part of my duties I do both dose and
 population calculations associated with the release of
 nuclides to the environment. My group also evaluates the
 health and risk impacts associated with those releases.

5 In the course of our duties, we do attempt to keep 6 track and current with some studies such as the one that is 7 referred to in the New York Times article. I think the 8 important point to be made is the fact that we were aware of 9 this study when it was published several years ago. I 10 believe that it was published in 1976.

I have just had a chance to quickly glance at the article. It did draw attention to the fact that there is a possibility of geometic, in terms of overall weather effects, associated or possible with substantial releases of Krypton -85 into the atmosphere.

16 Of course this was taken into consideration by EPA
17 in its proposals for ultimately limiting the Krypton-85
18 releases.

19 CHAIRMAN WOLF: How do you know that EPA took that 20 position?

21 MR. CONGEL: We were participants in their fuel 22 cycle standards hearing before they promulgated the uranium 23 cycle standard, which is 40 CFR Part 190. 40 CFR Part 190 24 includes the individual dose limitations and proposals to 25 limit ultimately the release of Krypton-85 into the

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

97

1 atmosphere.

25

2	During those proceedings this fact, it is my
3	understanding, was brought up, and one of the things that
4	were considered and recognized as a potential problem if
5	Krypton-85 continued to be released into the atmosphere
6	without any control systems being in the design built or
7	used.
8	CHAIRMAN WOLF: What control systems are
9	contemplated?
10	MR. CONGEL: The control system, I am not in
11	detail familiar with. The standard regarding the release of
12	Krypton-85 into the atmosphere is not now in effect, and is
13	proposed to go into effect, I believe, in 1982 or 1983.
14	At the time the uranium fuel cycle was
15	promulgated, it was recognized that control systems were not
16	available on a general basis, or they were pretty much on a
17	feasibility stage of investigation. We felt that by 1982 or
18	1983, I am not sure what year, the Krypton 85 releases
19	primarily from fuel reprocessing would have to be controlled
20	so that they would release I am trying to recall the
21	number so many thousands of curies per gygowatt year of
22	electric generating capacity that the fuel cycle plant was
23	handling. It was 50,000 curies per gygowatt year of
24	electric.
-	

Because of the fact that the fuel processing, or

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC. 400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345 98

fuel reprocessing industry has not considered this as an
 option right at this moment.

3 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Now tell us the amount of releases 4 in connection with this plant, TMI-II; what is the 5 relationship between that and what the studies show to be a 6 safe amount?

7 MR. CONGEL: I think the way to handle it is, the 8 present worldwide inventory of Krypton-85 associated with 9 fuel reprocessing activities in other parts of the world, as 10 well as the Krypton 85 that is in the atmosphere as a result 11 of weapons testing is on the order of 300 million curies. 12 The addition of 50,000 curies from the Three Mile Island 13 facility is a very small fraction of the total world 14 inventory.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

99

1 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Are you saying that the world inventory is not a threat at this time, the 300 million? 2 3 MR. CONGEL: Based on my understanding, the potential threat would come if the releases associated with 4 5 the uranium fuel cycle were to continue unabated without any potential controls. There is a possibility of some future 6 effects. There is an early warning associated with this 7 particular article that has to be considered when one is 8 9 looking at the overall impact of the operation of the 10 uranium fuel cycle. 11 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Is there any agreement with foreign nations regarding the release? 12 13 MR. CONGEL: I don't know. 14 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Does the Commission know? 15 MR. GOLDBERG: Mr. Chairman, Mr. John Collins of 16 the staff has indicated his preparedness to answer the 17 Board's question. He is the Deputy Director of the Three 18 Mile Island Program Support Staff. 19 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Thank you. 20 MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, I am senior NRC man on the site. With regard to the worldwide situation, there are 21 22 two committees presently developing criteria. One is established by IAEA looking at the total 23 amount of krypton being released from fuel in processing 24 plants and nuclear power plants worldwide with the exception 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

10

of the Russians. It has been very difficult to get any 1 information from them. 2 The other organization is OECD, the Office of 3 4 Economic Community Development, which is made of the Western 5 and European communities. 6 Both of those groups at the present time have 7 studies underway. I happen to be on both committees. They 8 will come out and hopefully adopt some type of standards 9 similar to EPA standards. 10 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Thank you. 11 Would you continue now, Mr. Congel. 12 MR. CONGEL: Yes, sir. The only thing that I wanted to conclude is based on the existing world inventory 13 14 and the facts that the studies indicate a long-term 15 consideration of this phenomena is in order. Fifty thousand 16 additional curies associated with the TMI purge would have no effect and would not be related or could possible 17 18 seriously increase the risk associated with this potential effect at all. 19 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Thank you, Mr. Congel. 20 MR. GOLDBERG: Mr. Chairman, I would just like to 21 note for the record that the statement of Dr. Congel's

101

16

23 professional gualifications accompanied the staff's July 7th response to Mr. Sholly's motion in this matter. 24

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Thank you.

22

25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

Are there any comments on the statements that have
 been made?

Dr. Johnsrud.

3

DR. JOHNSRUD: I would only comment, Mr. Chairman, that it seems to me that the Board would be well advised to have the recommendations, the assistance of gualified research meteorologists in regard to this matter. Training in radiation health may or may not involve sufficient knowledge of the issues that are clearly at stake with respect to this article.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Neither ECNP nor Dr. Johnsrud is
a participate in the venting program. I don't think the
suggestions are in order.

DR. JOHNSRUD: I believe that the Chairman askedfor comments from any of the parties here.

16 MR. TROWBRIDGE: You are, not a party to the 17 venting.

18 DR. JOHNSRUD: Here.

19 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Very well. Do you have anything20 further, Mr. Goldberg?

21 MR. GOLDBERG: No, Mr. Chairman. I would just
22 like to say that Dr. Congel tried as best as he can to
23 respond to the inquiry that the Board made during the recess
24 yesterday and we tried to oblige the Board by Dr. Congel's
25 remarks. We do not feel that any further evidentiary

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

102

..... 17

investigation into these matters is either warranted or
 appropriate given the apparent determination of the
 proceeding regarding the June order.

4 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, as we said before, we 5 appreciate Dr. Congel's statement. I think it was helpful.

Do you have anything that you wish to offer in7 connection with this, Mr. Trowbridge?

MR. TROWBRIDGE: I think Dr. Johnsrud was not here 8 9 when we started the proceeding and is unaware that we have 10 discussed already the fact that we are meeting Friday in an 11 effort to see what can be done about agreement on 12 contentions. Also we suggested that following 'hat meeting, 13 assuming we don't agree in all of the contentions, that we 14 would get word to the Board in writing as to what agreements 15 had been reached and what was proposed in the way of further 16 schedule.

DR. JOHNSRUD: I understand then that this is the
agreement that was reached yesterday afternoon among the
parties?

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Right.

20

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, at this time then I am going
to ask the members of the Board, Mr. Shon and Dr. Paris to
ask some questions.

24 MR. SHON: We are still curious about a couple of25 things that have happened in connection with the venting.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

103

18

. . . . .

1 One is the behavior of the particulate monitor. 2 Is there anyone here that can tell us two things about the 3 difficulty that apparently was experienced with the 4 particulate monitor early on last Saturday or so?

I want to know two things: one, what went wrong;
and, two, what was done to fix it.

7 MR. TROWBRIDGE: Mr. Michael Morrel who is the 8 project engineer for the venting program, a Metropolitan 9 Edison employee, is here and would have been our witness 10 this morning, is prepared to talk. Why don't I have him 11 stand up and answer the Board's guestions.

12 Why don't you state your full name and your
13 position and give a brief description of your qualifications
14 first.

MR. MORRELL: My name is Mike Morrell. I have a BS from the U. S. Navel Academy, an MBA from Fairleigh Dickinson University and am a professional engineer in the State of Pennsylvania. I am the project manager associated with reactor purge at Three Mile Island.

I was present in the control room on Saturday, June 28th, when we started the venting. Your questions are about alarms that we saw on particulate monitors when we starting the venting process.

24 MR. TROWBRIDGE: Was that your question, what 25 happened this morning at 3:45 a.m., or am I wrong?

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

104

. 19

MR. SHON: We had seen in the newspapers that when the venting first started there were alarms on the particulate monitor that something was going to clear the alarms and that the monitor was thereafter in some manner modified to make sure this would not happen again.

6 It was also said in the newspapers that it was 7 because the monitor was detecting krypton and not 8 particulate, and I can understand that that might well 9 happen with a moving paper monitor, but we want on the 10 record an explanation of what went wrong and what was going 11 to fix it.

MR. MORRELL: When we began the venting on June MR. MORRELL: When we began the venting on June 28th at 8 a.m. we experienced a high particulate alarm on the hydrogen control system radiation monitor and also on the monitor which is the final stack monitor that was on both alarms on both monitors.

17 The alarm was not due to particulate. It was due 18 to the counters actually seeing the beta activity from the 19 krypton 85 which was being passed through the monitors.

20 When we received the alarm, which was about three 21 to four minutes after starting the venting, we immediately 22 shut down the venting in accordance with the procedure and 23 then removed the filter paper in both monitors to countdown 24 for particulate activity. That counting showed no 25 d\_tectable particulate activity.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

105

1 After that we did several things prior to starting 2 back up in a test venting mode. We instituted a fifteen 3 minute sampling program on a bypass filter which we 4 installed on the stack monitor. By that I mean we installed a pump which bypasses the normal particulate monitor and 5 6 removed the air from the stack to put the particulates on a 7 filter paper. We removed that paper and counted it every 8 fifteen minutes so that it was almost continuous.

9 We also began the installation of a sodium iodide 10 crystal hooked up to a multichannel analyzer which would 11 then be able to be discriminative to pick up only the gamma 12 radiation associated with the particulates in guestion.

13 We then also made a computer program modification 14 after talking with the manufacturer of the stack runitor, 15 Eberline Company. We talked to them and they indicated 16 there was a possibility of adjusting the weed-out of the 17 particulate channel to subtract the krypton reading. So on 18 their advice and using their recommendations we made that 19 adjustment in the program. We did now, however, use that 20 particulate channel in the normal stack monitor as the 21 particular monitor when we started up. We were simply 22 trying to see how it would work with this modification 23 made.

24 So when we started back up our particulate 25 monitoring system was a 15-minute sampling accounting of the

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGT()N, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

106

stack monitor filter. Shortly thereafter we put on line the multichannel analyzer which then became out real-time particulate radiation monitor.

DR. PARIS: Mr. Morrell, how did the particulate monitor discriminate between the radiation from krypton and particulate radiation in this particular matter?

7 MR. MORRELL: Are you asking about the original 8 particulate monitor or the second one that we installed?

9 DR. PARIS: Well, the modification that Eberline 10 suggested.

MR. MORRELL: Well, the modification that Eberline suggested did not really discriminate. The particulate monitor in the Eberline system is a beta assimilation detector. A beta assimilation detector is then calibrated to be sensitive to the betas from strontium 90. Krypton is also a beta emitter. So the betas from the krypton made that high alarm condition occur.

18 The modification that Eberline proposed was simply 19 subtracting the krypton reading in the computer did not 20 really discriminate against the krypton. So it was a 21 programming change rather than a discrimination of the 22 krypton betas.

23 DR. PARIS: So in effect it was seeing the same 24 thing that we have seen before but it was being subtracted 25 from your read-out and you unplugged the alarm, or something

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA / VE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

107

1 like that?

MR. MORRELL: We did not unplug an elarm, but in the same monitor we have a krypton channel. We have a krypton channel and a particulate channel. So if you take the krypton channel reading and subtract it from the particulate channel reading then what is left should be only particulate and that was the modification we made. We did it in accordance with Eberline.

9 MR. SHON: That is probably true, Mr. Morrell, but 10 I think that the constants that you have to use to multiply 11 by to get a ratio between the krypton count and particulate 12 contaminated by krypton count would be something that would 13 take a little doing. It is not immediately obvious that 14 either of these are absolute counters or anything like 15 that. What I am saying is that I don't think I guite trust 16 that system. Evidently you didn't either.

MR. MORRELL: We did not trust it. Eberline
recommended we make the change and we did. We were not
certain if the constant you should use is a ratio of one; in
other words, do you subtract out one times the krypton
reading or some fraction times the krypton reading.

We subsequently asked Eberline to do their lab calibration to try to better determine the fraction we should use. They did that and we changed the fraction subsequently. We still have not been satisfied with it and

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

108

2 that is why we have gone to a different particulate detector.
3 MR. SHON: It looks as if that would be exactly
4 the difficulty you would have. I take it that krypton is
5 for some reason simply adhering to the paper and carrying
6 through?
7 MR. MORRELL: It may be adhering to the paper or

7 MR. MORRELL: It may be adhering to the paper or 8 it may just be the fact that it is such a high concentration 9 stream going through the monitor. Our opinion is that the 10 stream going through is of such concentration that the betas 11 from the stream passing through are the cause of the alarm 12 rather than krypton collecting on the paper.

MR. SHON: The structure of the monitor is such
that the gas is actually passed near the scintillation
crystal?

MR. MORRELL: Yes.

1

16

17 MR. SHON: Would it be in a beta range?

18 MR. MORRELL: Say that again.

MR. SHON: Within a beta range of the crystal? Imean, you can see it directly?

21 MR. MORRELL: Yes, within a beta range. The gas 22 comes straight down and then makes a 90 degree turn and goes 23 through the filter paper. Then the scintillation detector 24 is sitting right at that 90 degree minute so the krypton 25 goes right in front of the face of the scintillation

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

109

24

1. 1. 1. 1.

detector and then turns 90 degrees and goes through the
 filter paper.

MB. SHON: It would seem though just offhand if it 3 took four or five minutes for it to start alarming that that 4 5 might be due to something building up on the filter paper 6 rather than due to what passes right in front of the crystal. MR. MORRELL: I believe that it is just the 7 response time of the hydrogen control system. In other 8 words, when you start the venting you do not immediately get 9 krypton in the stack. Then when you get krypton in the 10 particulate monitor you do not immediately get response due 11 12 to electronic delay time also. So what we saw is a slow, 13 steady increase of the needle after about one minute of the 14 venting. I believe it was simply due to transit time of 15 krypton and then electronic delay time. 16 MR. SHON: I see. Thank you. 17 Go ahead, Dr. Paris, I didn't mean to interrupt. 18 You were asking some questions I think. DR. PARIS: Well, I have nothing else on this 19 particular subject. 20 21 MR. SHON: That is all I had. I wanted to know 22 what went wrong and how you fixed it and I think you have 23 explained that to us. 24 You had something else I believe. DR. PARIS: Mr. Morrell, I think you can answer 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

110

1 this for us. The Board reads in the morning news that the 2 rapid purge will begin around mid-day today; is that correct?

MR. MORRELL: That is correct.

3

DR. PARIS: Can you give us an estimate of the rate at which you expect krypton to be released in terms of, say, a 24-hour period and the amount you expect to be released, say, in the first 24 hours and an estimate of the dose that you would expect that to give at, say, the perimeter of the exclusionary?

10 MR. MORRELL: I can answer that question to some 11 extent. However, it has to have a lot of provisos on the 12 front. You have to assume the meteorology which I cannot 13 predict or accurately assume, and you have to assume that 14 there will be other mechanical problems or computer problems 15 associated with the system. In other words, the 16 meteorological conditions must be such that I can assume a 17 constant flow rate or some flow rate in making predictions.

18 The meteorological conditions would also have to 19 be such that I could predict the wind direction and 20 therefore I could predict the impact of the plume with the 21 terrain and therefore state what the dispersion of the plume 22 would be prior to its impacting the ground.

So in terms of doses I could not give you any real
dose estimate. I could give you an estimate based on our
past days of purging perhaps.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

111

1 DR. PARIS: Why don't you do that. MR. MORRELL: All right. 2 DR. PARIS: Indicate the assumptions you are 2 4 making. MR. MORRELL: My assumption will be that we purge 5 6 at 1,000 cubic feet per minute for the entire 24 hours and that the release rate would be in the neighborhood of what 7 8 we have seen over the past few days which is approximately 9 40,000 microcuries per second. 10 If you take 40,000 microcuries per second and 11 simply multiply out that number of microcuries per second by 12 the number of seconds in a day that would give you the 13 release. I will have to make a quick calculation to do 14 that. I am also assuming that we will purge the entire day 15 with no interruptions, 24 straight hours in other words. 16 That would be 3,888 curies in 24 hours which is 17 not out of line with some of the days that we have already 18 had. Our maximum day so far has been about 4,980 curies in 19 a single day. However, due to the now diluted concentration 20 of krypton in the building, even though we can move to a 21 faster rate system, the number of curies discharged will be 22 down some.

23 So if you take 3,888 curies and then assume some 24 meterology then you could calculate those offsite. Since it 25 is very direction dependent I would only be willing to say

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

112

1 that it will certainly be much less than the .3 millirem per 2 hour skin dose limit which we are using right now in our 3 computer program. Therefore, if you assume that it was 4 somewhere less than probably .1, which is logical, it would 5 be significantly less than a 2 millirem skin dose to a 6 hypothetically maximally exposed individual offsite. That 7 person would have to be at the point when the plume touches 8 down for the entire time.

9 DR. PARIS: Today's weather I take it is very 10 different from the weather you have been experiencing for 11 the last week or so. How would this affect the plume or how 12 would it affect your venting?

13 MR. MORRELL: The rain has no effect whatsoever on 14 the venting. I do not know the exact wind conditions but I 15 suspect there is a several mile an hour wind and therefore 16 it should allow us to purge at least to the 1,000 cubic feet per minute rate. So it should be fairly good weather 17 18 conditions for venting at a thousand cubic feet per minute 19 or less. When I left the allowable flow rate was 20 approximately 1,100 cubic feet per minute with our latest 21 computer printout. The normal cycle is that when the sun 22 comes up and it starts heating up the earth then your 23 allowable flow rate slowly increases at least towards noon. 24 So I suspect the conditions are very good right now for venting with the fast system. 25

> ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC. 400 VIRGINIA AVE. S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

113

DR. PARIS: Have you been cutting back the release 2 rate at night?

MR. MORRELL: Yes, usually we do. There have been 3 some conditions when we have been flow limited. That means 4 we are at the maximum flow rate of the system because of the 5 6 very low concentrations that we now have in the reactor 7 building. Therefore we didn't have up out back because we 8 were not at our allowable release rates anyway. In general 9 our flow rates at night and our curie releases at night have 10 been significantly less than our daytime releases. 11 DR. PARIS: One final question. If things go as 12 you hope they will technologically when will you be done? 13 MR. MORRELL: Assuming we get on the large flow 14 rate system today I believe we will be done in approximately 15 seven days. 16 DR. PARIS: Thank you, Mr. Morrell. 17 MR. CHANDLER: Mr. Chairman? 18 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes. 19 MR. CHANDLER: Dr. Bernard Synder, who is Director 20 of the TMI Program Office, has something he wished to add to 21 a statement made earlier by Mr. Morrell in response to the 22 Board's question. If he may just add something. CHAIRMAN WOLF: Would he do that then, please. 23 24 Please announce your name.

DR. SNYDER: I am Dr. Snyder. I am the TMI

25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

114

Program Director and have been since the 1st of April. 1 2 The only minor thing I wanted to make sure you 3 were aware of is that when Mr. Morrell mentioned that the 4 filter papers were being sampled on a 15-minute cycle including the beginning of the purge, both we and the 5 6 Environmental Protection Agency obtained from that end those same filter papers and did the benefit counting of the 7 8 particulates. Neither they nor our laboratory people were 9 able to detect any particulates at all. That is my point. DR. PARIS: Dr. Synder, is the NRC and the EPA 10 analysis of the filter papers continuing? 11 12 DR. SNYDER: Yes. They will be continuing. MR. SHON: Mr. Morrell mentioned that you were 13 14 using sodium iodide crystal to count. Are you using it on the filter papers also. 15 FROM THE AUDIENCE: (Chorus of agreement.) 16 MR. SHON: What particular nuclides were you 17 looking at? 18 MR. MORRELL: We are not still doing 15-minute 19 sampling. With the real-time particulate monitor we are now 20 using daily counting of the filter. We are doing a scan 21 with a Geli detector and therefore it should pick up 22 essentially any gamma-emitting isotope. 23 MR. SHON: I see. 24 CHAIRMAN WOLF: Thank you. 25

30 115

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

Are there any further questions?

1

110

31

2 MR. CHANDER: Mr. Chairman, yesterday, Mr. Shon 3 raised a couple of questions. I am not sure whether his 4 questions have been answered this morning.

5 MR. SHON: I don't believe they have, Mr. 6 Chandler. Actually I asked them in connection with us 7 considering the motion that was at that time before us but 8 it has since been withdrawn. Out of curiosity it would be 9 nice to have answers.

10 They were: What fraction of the total curies had 11 been released; and whether or not the operation as it now 12 stands could continue were we to reverse the Commission and 13 put the technical specs back to what they were before 14 modification.

MR. CHANDLER: Let me see if I can provide some
general information. If I start floundering I will call on
somebody to help me out.

I believe, as Mr. Trowbridge indicated yesterday, approximately 50 percent of the krypton has already been released from the TMI-2 reactor building. There were two technical specifications that were affected by the Commission's action.

One of them pertained to the instantaneous release rates and when calculated out I believe permitted the rate of approximately 45,000 microcuries per second.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

The guarterly limit is not a rate but a total
 amount and would have been approximately 55,980. The slow
 purge would not have exceeded that guarterly rate but would
 have and did exceed the instantaneous rate.

5 MR. SHON: That is what we thought. However, you 6 are now down to the point in the purge where you are not 7 exceeding the instantaneous rate any more. If I understood, 8 the number given by Mr. Morrell was 40,000 microcuries per 9 second and you are allowed 45 or some such thing as that; is 10 that right?

MR. MORRELL: That is correct. We are not routinely using the small system exceeding the instantaneous release rate tech spec. When we get to the bigger system we will at least have a larger flow rate capability and we might again exceed it.

16 MR. SHON: And obviously also if you complete the 17 entire operation in less than a quarter or even in a week or 18 so you don't exceed the quarterly limit either because it is 19 a few thousand curies over the total; is that correct?

MR. MORRELL: That is correct. You should also realize we put some curies out during the past quarter of approximately, if I remember correctly, four or five thousand. So if the quarterly release tech spec were in effect we should not reach it at this point.

25

MR. SHON: Thank you. I think that clears the

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

117

1 whole thing up.

.

2	CHAIRMAN WOLF: Mr. Sholly, Dr. Paris wants to
3	speak for the Board and will do directly to you.
4	DR. PARIS: Mr. Sholly, the Board also wants to
5	express its appreciation for your efforts in this matter and
6	to indicate to you that we certainly appreciate the sense of
7	frustration that you have experienced because of the
8	Commission's order permitting fast rate venting to
9	proceeding while at the same time allowing for a hearing to
10	be held if necessary in the matter.
11	CHAIRMAN WOLF: Does anyone have anything further
12	to add at this time?
13	(No response.)
14	If not, we will adjourn sine die I guess.
15	(Laughter.)
16	We will wait to hear from the counsel before we
17	proceed. However, we will issue a memorandum regarding the
18	venting situation and we will withhold any memorandum
19	regarding the change in the technical specifications until
20	we have heard from the parties and have the contentions in
21	hand to submit.
22	MR. TROWBRIDGE: It appears to me we have
23	open, Mr. Chairman, the question of standing fo
24	Lochstet. Even though we will be discussing contentions
25	with him the Board may wish to rule on that subject.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

118

1 CHAIRMAN WOLF: At this time you mean? I thought 2 we would rule on that when we got cut the memorandum and 3 order on the changing of the technial specifications. 4 MR. IOWBRIDGE: If you were to rule against Dr. 5 Lochstet you would save him a trip. 6 CHAIRMAN WOLF: I beg your pardon? 7 MR. TROWBRIDGE: If you were to rule against Dr. 8 Lochstet you would save him a trip. CHAIRMAN WOLF: I haven't conferred with the other 9 10 members of the Board, but at least as far as I am concerned 11 I would not rule against him. 12 MR. TROWBRIDGE: We will proceed on the assumption 13 that he is a party. 14 CHAIRMAN WOLF: There is no basis for worrying 15 about the trip. 16 Very well then we will stand adjourned. 17 (Whereupon, at 9:55 a.m., the hearing adjourned sine die.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

400 VIRGINIA AVE, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

119

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the

in the matter of: THREE MILE ISLAND UNIT II

0000

· Date of Proceeding: July 8, 1980

Docket Number: 50-320-OLP

Place of Proceeding: Harrisburg, Pa.

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the Commission.

Patricia A. Minson

Official Reporter (Typed)

Datricio a usus

Official Reporter (Signature)



## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the

in the matter of: THREE MILE ISLAND UNIT II

TONOC

Date of Proceeding: July 8, 1980

Docket Number: 50-320-OLP

Place of Proceeding: Harrisburg, Pa.

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcrithereof for the file of the Commission.

Mary C. Simons

Official Reporter (Typed)

May Como

Official Reporter (Signature)

