NRC FOR (7-77)	M 356 U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT
•	CONTROL BLOCK:
	1 L Q A D 1 2 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	REPORT L 6 0 5 0 0 2 5 4 0 0 6 2 1 8 0 3 0 7 2 1 8 0 9 SOURCE 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 75 REPORT DATE 80 EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)
0 2	On June 21, 1980, with Unit 1 in startup and on July 3, 1980, with Unit 1 in shut-
03	down, valve 1-203-2C failed to close while testing. Consequences were minimal
04	because valve 1-203-1C would have supplied the required isolation had the need
0 5	risen.
06	
07	·
	80
09 7 8	SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE SUBCODE COMPONENT CODE SUBCODE SUBC
	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
10	The cause of both occurrences was an improper adjustment of the exhaust restrictor
111	on the pilot valve resulting in a proumatic lock which prevented the main valve
12	from closing. The pilot vaive was dismantled and properly adjusted. Procedures
13	are being revised to detect this type of failure.
	ACILITY (2) METHOD OF 80
15	STATUS NA DISCOVERY DISCOVERY DISCOVERY 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 12 13 44 40 46 Shutdown to Hot Standby
	Children AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35) LOCATION OF RELEASE (36) Image: Contract of the second seco
	0 0 37 Z 33 NA 11 12 13 13 60
10	TYPE DESCRIPTION NA
20	PUBLICITY NRC USE ONLY NRC USE ONLY 007240/99
8	304-654-2241. cxt. 178

1. LER NUMBER: 80-16/03L

.

- 11. LICENSEE NAME: Commonwealth Edison Company Q_ad-Cities Nuclear Power Station
- III. FACILITY NAME: Unit One
 - IV. DOCKET NUMBER. 050-254

V. EVENT DESCRIPTION:

On June 21, 1980, Unit One was in startup and in the process of maintaining a hot standby condition. While attempting to close valve 1-203-20 the valve gave a dual indication. Upon further investigation it was found that the valve had not closed Work Request Q06091 was written to correct the problem.

On July 3, 1980, Unit One was shutdown for a minor maintenance outage. While attempting to close the outboard main steam isolation valves as part of a Out-Of-Service procedure it was found valve 1-203-2C did not close. Work Request Q06354 was written to correct the problem. In both cases, the valve closed when the air supply to the valve was shut.

VI. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES OF THE OCCURRENCE:

.....

The consequences of this occurrence are minimal because valve 1-203-1C which is an in-line isolation valve, would have supplied the required isolation had the need risen.

VII. CAUSE:

The cause of both occurrences was an improper adjustment of the exhaust restrictor on the pilot valve. This blocked the exhaust flow resulting in a pneumatic lock on the pilot valve which prevented the main valve from closing. The valves have closing time requirement of three to five seconds and are adjusted externally to meet this requirement. It seens an operator closed down on this exhaust restrictor when he was sent to reset the valve c'osure timing. The valve would work as required until it was exercised using the test switch. This locked up the operator pilot and prevented closure. After the June 21 incident maintenance was done replacing the 4-way control valve. The valve was then tested and proven operable. Later after using the test switch the second occurrence of July 3 took place.

VIII. CORRECTIVE ACTION:

Following the July 3 incident the proper maintenance was performed. The pilot valve was dismantled from the valve and the exhaust restrictor was adjusted to the proper setting. A similar incident of the type occurred February 24, 1980, on valve 1-203-18. Procedures are being revised to detect this type of failure. The valve will be operated using the control switch following operation by the test switch.