

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

JUL 9 1380

Ms. Jacqueline L. Smith
R.D. 1, Box 338
Mount Wolf, Pennsylvania 17347

Dear Ms. Smith:

I am writing in response to your letter to President Carter regarding the accident at Three Mile Island. I regret that this answer to your letter has been delayed. The accident and its consequences have created a substantial increase in the agency's workload, which has prevented me from responding to you as promptly as I would have liked.

You state that consumers should not have to pay for Metropolitan Edison's "greed and mistakes." Metropolitan Edison (Met Ed) customers will not have to pay for any damages to or for the restoration of Three Mile Island Unit 2. The Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC), in a decision and order of June 15, 1979, ruled that customers of Met Ed and the Pennsylvania Electric Company would be free from accident expenses. These customers will, however, be responsible for costs associated with purchasing power to replace power that would have been provided by the TMI facility. The Pennsylvania PUC reaffirmed this decision in an order of May 23, 1980.

In the same order, it also ruled that Three Mile Island Unit 1 be removed from the Met Ed and Pennsylvania Electric Company rate bases. As a result, their customers will be free of all maintenance, interest, and capital cost expenses associated with Unit 1. Should Unit 1 be returned to service, costs associated with its operation would, of course, become part of the rate structure.

While we are, of course, concerned about financial impacts on consumers, the NRC's primary responsibility is the assurance of public health and safety. State public utility commissions and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission have primary responsibility regarding the rates that consumers pay for electricity.

You also maintain that Met Ed hurried Unit 2 into operation to realize a tax benefit "without any regard for the safety of the people." The timing of announcements by a utility that a new nuclear plant has achieved full-power operation status and will begin commercial operation is the result of a corporate decision. The NRC is not involved in this decision. The NRC is involved in the timing of this announcement only in that before a declaration of commercial operation can be made, a company must have satisfactorily completed all licensing requirements and conditions that are stipulated by law

JUL \$ 1980

and monitored by the NRC in the interest of public health and safety. In the case of Three Mile Island Unit 2, Met Ed had already been granted an operating license several months prior to the plant's becoming commercial. Between the time the operating license was granted and the time of full commercial operation, the reactor was operated at significant power levels of up to 100% of rated power for extended periods. The NRC did not participate in the December 30, 1978, announcement by the company that TMI-2 was going into commercial operation.

I appreciate your concerns and assure you that every effort is being made to ensure the continued protection of the health and safety of the public, not only at Three Mile Island, but also at all nuclear power plants.

Sincerely,

Bernard J. Snyder, Program Director Three Mile Island Program Office

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS POOR QUALITY PAGES

april 28, 1:79 ( usedent Carter; I have waited until this late date to write because I wanted to be sure I was coaled off. neelless to say I have been extremely upset. to pay for meterpolitar Edison o greed mistakes, & that is all That it han be called, we the people of fennsestrania should not have to carry this buildens. It is all theres, let Them handle it We were tendened enough with mental argust, knowing full well that we might have to leave our homes, possibly neverte return. I have lived in this area for fine years, which is 6 0 7 mile from T. M.I., & Tropuld like to slay here but if metropolitan Edison Co. Vis allowed to continue aperating T.M.I.

and make us pay for what was Their mislake I diel to faced to move, possibly out of Renna. The assed expense will be to great of the threat well always to there. It must not be forgatten that they haved to finish the Unit so They could get a tex break. They ded This without any regard for the saftey of the fearper I have that you as our hundent will take a strong stand in seeing Ital metripolitan Edicin Co. has to Carry the full expense for then That them use the tay break Shank you very much Respectfully Jacquelin L. Smill Slaveen Country Soda Community mount Wry Pa.