US".RO

ca of the Secretary

JUL 17 1980

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

HIIALmin


ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Ivan W. Smith, Chairman

Dr. Walter H. Jordan

Dr. Linda W. Little

In the Matter of )
METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY )
(Three Mile Island Nuclear )
Station, Unit No. 1)



Docket No. 50-289

(Restart)

MEMORANDUM ON PANE'S REQUEST FOR BOARD GUIDANCE (July 9, 1980)

On June 23, 1980 the board implemented a schedul: for general prehearing activities which, inter alia, concludes discovery and requires the filing of written testimony, proposed exhibits, outlines and cross-examination plans. The schedule sets into action a series of events which will lead to the beginning of the evidentiary hearing no later than October 1, 1980, possibly earlier.

Intervenor, People Against Nuclear Energy (PANE), has only psychological stress contentions. PANE is concerned that, because the question of whether or not psychological stress will be an issue in the proceeding is still pending before the Commission, it cannot timely prepare for hearing. In its filing of June 30, 1980 PANE seeks the board's guidance on what it perceives to be a potential conflict between the board's schedule and the fact that the question is still pending before the Commission.

It seems that PANE has in large degree analyzed the situation correctly, and in so doing, it has provided its own guidance. Psychological stress issues can, as PANE observes, be added to the end of the hearing. PANE is correct in not assuming that the Commission will authorize litigation of its contentions, and PANE prudently has been preparing for hearing "while not wasting its absolutely minimal resources". We have little to add except to encourage PANE and other intervenors to continue to prepare its case on psychological stress where that can be done without risking undue waste, and where it is possible under the voluntary system of discovery encouraged by the board in our earlier orders on the subject.

Our memorandum and order of June 23, implementing the prehearing schedule, was not intended to include the prehearing activities required for psychological stress issues. Intervenors will not be required to file written direct testimony or proposed exhibits on psychological stress issues until further order of the board. Cross-examination plans, of course, will not be possible until direct testimony is filed. When and if the Commission authorizes the litigation of psychological stress contentions, the board will separately provide for prehearing events roughly equivalent to the actions required in the general schedule.

PANE also reports that it cannot have its direct testimony on psychological process promoted world the end of October and needs to be requesting masser to be found that this will

be timely. PANE's comment was not cast in the form of a motion, and while it discussed its preparation problem at the prehearing conference (Tr. 1898), other parties did not respond. Moreover, the board has nothing before it to indicate whether PANE's preparation schedule reflects diligence, even though it appears that PANE, by persistently raising its preparation problem in early stages of the proceeding, has been diligent. Therefore we are unable to rule whether filing of PANE's direct testimony by the end of October will be timely. However, PANE may be assured that when and if the board establishes a schedule for litigating psychological stress, we will be very attentive to the problems presented by the continuing uncertainty. For the present, it would not appear that, if PANE has its testimony prepared by the end of October, the proceeding would be delayed by that timing.

THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Ivan W Smith, Chairman

Bethesda, Maryland July 9, 1980


#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### BEFORE THE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, et al.,

(Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1)



Docket No. 50-289 (Restart)

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the letter to the Commissioners and People Against Nuclear Energy Request for Commission Decision on Psychological Distress Issues have been mailed postage pre-paid this 16th day of July, 1980, to the following parties:

Secretary of the Commission
ATTN: Chief, Docketing & Service Section
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Ivan W. Smith, Esquire Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Walter H. Jordan 881 W. Outer Drive Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Dr. Linda W. Little 5000 Hermitage Drive Raleigh, North Carolina 27612

George F. Trowbridge, Esquire Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 1800 "M" Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

James Tourtelloite, Esquire
Office of the Frecutive Legal Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Willes of Jordan, Tit

### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, et al.,

(Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1) Docket No. 50-289 (Restart)

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the Request for Board Guidance on Scheduling of Psychological Distress Issues have been mailed postage pre-paid this 30th day of June, 1980, to the following parties:

Secretary of the Commission ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Service Section U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Ivan W. Smith, Esquire
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Walter H. Jordan 881 W. Outer Drive Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37930

Dr. Linda W. Little 5000 Hermitage Drive Raleiegh, North Carolina 27612

George F. Trowbridge, Esquire Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 1800 "M" Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

James Tourtellotte, Esquire
Office of the Examples logal Firector
U.S. Harlant regulatory commission
Washington, D.C. 20053

