UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

IE TMI INVESTIGATION INTERVIEW

of Mr. James P. Moore, GPU

Trailer #203 NRC Investigation Site TMI Nuclear Power Plant Middletown, Pennsylvania

June 11, 1979
(Date of Interview)

July 6, 1979
(Date Transcript Typed)

#306 (Tape Number(s))

NRC PERSONNEL: Mr. Anthony Fasano Mr. Mark E. Resner

RESNER: James P. Moore, Jr., Mr. Moore is employed with the GPU service corporation as a mechanical engineering manager, the present time is 10:33 a.m. Eastern daylight time, today's date is June 11, 1979. This interview is being conducted in room 203 of the GPU facility for which the mailing address is 260, Cherry Hill Road, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054, individuals present for this interview representing the NRC are Mr. Anthony Fasano, Mr. Fasano is an Inspection specialist employed with Region I of the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, presently speaking and moderating this interview is Mark E. Resner, I am an investigator with the office of Inspector and Auditor, HQ of the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, also present is Mr. Alan S. Brown, Mr. Brown is a Supervisor for Generation Administration with the GPU Service Corporation and he is acting as a representive for Mr. James Moore. Prior to taping this interview Mr. Moore was given a two page document which explains the purpose the scope and the authority with which the Nuclear Regulatory Commission conducts this investigation, in addition it apprised Mr. Moore that he is entitled to a representative of his choice to be present during the interview and also in no way is he compelled to talk with us, should he not want to. On the second page of this document Mr. Moore has answered three questions which I will state, question 1, do you understand the above? Mr. Moore has checked yes. Is that correct Mr. Moore?

MOORE: That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

RESNER: Question 2, do we have your permission to tape this interview?

Mr. Moore has checked yes, is that correct Mr. Moore?

MOORE: That is correct.

<u>RESNER</u>: Question 3, do you want a copy of the tape? Mr. Moore has checked no, indicating that he does not desire a copy of the tape, is that correct Mr. Moore?

MOORE: That's correct.

<u>RESNER</u>: At this time Mr. Fasano has some questions that he would like to ask you.

<u>FASANO</u>: Jim, we'd like you to describe in your own words your knowledge of the events of March 28, 1979 and in particular your notification and subsequent involvement with the events on that day.

MOORE: Well I came into the office March 28, normal time and fairly early that morning I was called to a meeting where I learned about the fact that there had been difficulties experienced at Three Mile Two. The main concern as I recall at that time was for some of the components in the primary system and so we designated several indivduals to go to the site almost immediately, the selection of these individuals was primarly based on the ability to take a look at the steam generator,

for that reason Julien Abromovitch was designated to go along with me for that purpose, the reports were still coming in as to what was happening out at the site, we had a second meeting a little bit later that morning. we still didn't reflect any...the degree of trouble that it eventually turned out to be. Subsequent to the second meeting I went home, gathered other personal effects to going out to the site, we arrived at the north gate about 2:00 in the afternoon, of course I was barricaded so I went on up to the information center to see what, the facilities were up there, of course that was where everybody congregated. As soon as I made access to a telephone I called back to the office here at Mount Lakes, reported to Dick Wilson and Bob Arnold, to let them know that I was on site or the vicinity of the site and relay the message from them to whoever was in charge of Met Ed or Jack Herbein if he did come in to call Bob Arnold back here at Mount Lakes, I can't recall exactly the time but not to long after I was there that some other people starting coming in from Mount Lakes, basically Gary Broden, Julien Abromovitch, Rich Lense, time escapes me a bit here, but sometime in the evening Rich Lense went on site and obtained the alarm summary printout for the first few minutes in the event and he spent the evening analyzing the information we could read from the list. At some point we went out to, had some dinner and came back and we felt we had had a need for additional data and we were attempting to make arrangements to get the information off of the reactimeter log, recognizing that some of us are gonna have to get some sleep, I stayed on with the understanding that if this information did come available I would contact the other people back at the motel.

It turned out the information did not become available during the night, so I guess it was about 7, 7:30 in the morning the other people came back to the information center, the other people being from Mount Lakes, I went to the Motel and checked in, I got about, as I recall about 4 hours sleep, came back to the site at which time GPU people had convened...were involved in a meeting at the processing center adjacent to Unit 1. The meeting was already in progress when I got there, the basic plans were being laided at that time, were conduct interviews of the operators is the...I guess it was the operators that were reporting in to go on shift...

FASANO: Take your time.

MOORE: Right it would be the operators, I'm pretty sure it was the operators that reported in to go on shift, which would have been the ones that were on duty at the time the incident happended, so these interviews were to be conducted about 2300. Left the island, after having dinner we met with Dick Wilson and numerous other people at the Sheraton Hotel, we further planned the interviews of that evening, went back out to the site, arrived there I guess about 2200, a little later than that, I was in the process, along with the other people, getting set to interview the operators when a call came from the Control Room that I should go up there to assist Bill Lowe with analyzing hydrogen in the primary system. Thinking back, there are a couple of things I missed covering the evening of the 28th and on into the early

hours of the 29th, I did have discussions with George Kunder from the 1 Met Ed operating staff for Unit 2, along with a couple other individuals. 2 They were giving us the run down of the events as they saw them during 3 the day. Around midnight there was a debriefing of 2 of the operators 4 who had been on shift during the incident, I sat in on those debriefings 5 so they were a joint, one debriefing with both operators. Had one, I 6 guess it was a 60 minute tape, we taped what we could of the debriefing, 7 subsequently turned all this information over to the GPU people involved 8 collecting them. Back to the late hours of the 29th, when I went up 9 to the control room Bill Lowe...a consultant for GPU was in the process 10 of trying to determine if the fact we had hydrogen in the primary 11 system. At some point in this discussion it occurred to me that it 12 should possible to calculate the size of the bubble, if there was one, 13 so using the basic logs of physics, proceeded to, derive the...there 14 are just so ways that you do this and I asked Jim Floyd from Met Ed 15 Operating staff if we could get some data necessary to make the calcula-16 tion and he obtained data from some source, I'm not sure where, for 17 about...it's about 1300 on the 29th. This was the first data point 18 that we calculated, relative to the size of the hydrogen bubble, the 19 time on that was approximately 0300, the time of the calculation about 20 0300 on the 30th. We then proceeded to obtain more data, I believe it 21 was about 6, 0600 on the 30th was the next data point. About 0800 22 somewhere on that time frame on the 30th, I left the site, went back to the motel and returned to the site about 1200, we spent the rest of that day analyzing the size of the hydrogen bubble and trying to come up with means for getting rid of it from the system.

There was indication that the bubble was disappearing, credit that to the removal of the...by the makeup tank, we were anxious to, I was anxious to get it out of the system as rapidly as possible and attempting to get permission to vent the pressurizer into containment and get rid of as much hydrogen as we could by that, in other words successful in getting approval of that till I believe it was the following day, it was at least a day or so later as I recall it was finally, we started venting by then, except for little summarizes on the collection of...two or three days there.

FASANO: Okay, why don't we go back and maybe pick up some points starting back on the 28th, your initial first notification was, you say early in the morning, 8:00, 9:00?

MOORE: Unfortunately...I wasn't anticipating this interview, I came back from the island, working a half of time here and a half of time out there, left all my notes out there. I have notes for all the meetings with dates and times and I can't, thinking back I can't put a real time on it.

FASANO: Do you have any comments...the thing is that all notes, if you have notes on the event and things that you have that may give value in reviewing the event to make sure you don't lose these. I think this is something that (unintelligible).

RESNER: Jim could you provide us with a copy or, either the original notes.

MOORE: The original notes were turned over to Bob Long, GPU, I have a copies of those that I retained for my own reference, the original ones I invested in GPU at this time.

RESNER: Would it be possible for us to get a copy of your copy?

MOORE: Yes I have them (unintelligible).

RESNER: Alright thank you.

<u>FASANO</u>: So fairly in the morning these two meetings and the second meeting, at that time did you know that there was a general emergency or even a site emergency, early in the morning?

MOORE: Refrecting back on it... I can't recall.

FASANO: You did find out when you got, arrived at the site.

MOORE: When I arrived at the site I, well prior to arriving at the site I listened to the radio on the way out obviously, to see what was on the news and by the time I arrived out, prior to my arrival out there I wasn't at all suprised at all to find the north gate blockade.

FASANO: Okay, because you say you got to the site and they had it all blockaded, if you hadn't know it was a emergency, you might of known by then. Your main assignement then was initially to get involved with the steam generator, any other equipment can you recall and did you have any other information from these two earlier meetings at GPU?

MOORE: We weren't given a specific assignment at the time we left. It was basically trying to come up with a group of people with the capability of looking into certain items and people were selected on that basis, the general directions were to get to the site, report back in when you arrived there and by that time we also had more information with which to approach the problem. Initial purpose was to get people to the site as quickly as possible and try to get people there that would be needed once we did get on top of the problem.

FASANO: Well during the fist day that you were there on the evening right on till the next morning, I guess, ah at least initially when you got there, did you have more information or sufficient information to start making some analysis and providing operations with some guidance or what was the status of your knowledge, say up to about 6:00, I guess when Kunder came. I'm saying, I don't think we have a time there but...

MOORE: I didn't have, we didn't have information to really start the proceeding with any analysis until Rich Lense had obtained, gone out

to the Island and brought the data back. No way we could tie up the people in the Control Room to ask them for information, they were tied up with there duties at that time.

<u>FASANO</u>: So for the first day as far as decisions or suggestions, you and the group were on standby, not really making direct decisions or direct suggestions, is that...what I understand.

MOORE: That's correct, that's correct.

<u>FASANO</u>: Basically it's because, as my understanding again is...the quality of the information or the quanity...available to you?

MOORE: Well I guess it was, there was very little available on which we could analyze what had happened, what the conditions were.

RESNER: Did you feel that the quality, availability of this information was limit 1, did you feel the quality of the information that you received was good?

MOORE: Well I guess, we didn't, when I was dispatched to go out there, it was not to provide operational support to the people in the Control Room as far as making them, helping them make operating type decisions. It was a, make sure we had people out there that could acess the state of the plant, that's sort of data we had available

5 6

also, I did not look on my initial, reporting out there as being one where I would be advising the operator at the plant.

FASANO: Back to the steam generator, this was one of the key things we mentioned, did you do any further review of the steam generator, why was it a problem?

MOORE: Well the initial report was a loss of feedwater so we were concerned about this loss of feedwater transient on the steam generators. It was basically that the knowledge that there had been this loss of feedwater raised the concern about the steam generator. Never did do any analysis on the system generator I got out there. Got involved with the hydrogen of the primary system, once I did get into the plant and start working on the p oblem itself.

FASANO: When you were informed of the problem with the steam generator and the feedwater, was there any mention of the emergency feedwater and it's status, the first day?

MOORE: I don't recall just exactly what information I did have when I left the office here, I do know that it did come up, once I did get to the site, talking to people out there in the information center.

FASANO: Subsequently did you do any analysis on the steam generator at all?

ij

MOORE: No.

1.6

FASANO: Even to this day?

MOORE: Right to this day. I've been involved in operational support since that.

FASANO: This is the what, the recoup, operational support?

MOORE: At the present time, I worked at two weeks on and two weeks off out at Three Mile supervising the technical support people from GPU at the site, providing operational support to Metropolitan Edison. So performing in that role several weeks now...

<u>FASANO</u>: Did you get involved at all with the concern over the reactor coolant drain pump and it's operations or the electromatic relief valve? Did you have information the first day on these events?

MOORE: It's been so long now it's hard for me to remember what. just when I obtained alot of this information.

FASANO: Do you recall when you became knowledgable with this kind of information, the first break in the morning or was it later on?

MOORE: I can't recall, if I had my notes here.

FASANO: If you can't recall, you can't recall, I'll mention some things that may jog your memory and that's about all we can do here I guess. We'll begin on to the, you mentioned that you got involved with the hydrogen. How did you know it was hydrogen at that time, that was on the 29th?

MOORE: That was 2300 hours on the 29th, I'm trying to recall, at some point in time I became aware of this pressure spike in the building and I have a, as I recall from my notes, that I don't have here, that was on the, it was dated the 30th but I know when I went up to the Control Room, about 2300 there was a concern about, noncondensables, and as I recall, we were concerned about hydrogen?

FASANO: 2300 on what day?

MOORE: On the 29th.

<u>FASANO</u>: So as far as you remember, your first indication of a spike and that it might be hydrogen was somewhere on the 29th.

MOORE: The 29th or the 30th, you know the late hours, the last hour or so on the 29th or sometime into the early hours on the 30th.

FASANO: Did you actually see the spike on the chart, was that in the Control Room at the time?

MOORE: At some point in time I did see the chart, as to whether I saw that and when I first earned of it or not I can't say, as I recall, somebody told me about it and subsequent to that, I believe that I saw the chart after that, I know I did see the chart at some point in time.

FASANO: And just from the chart you wouldn't know what caused it.

MOORE: Those...the only one's I can think of that could cause it, is some sort of a hydrogen burn. There were two instruments there, it could of been just one instrument, I might of suspected some sort of noise, but there were two instruments.

FASANO: You mean the narrow range and the wide range?

MOORE: Yes.

<u>FASANO</u>: Have you looked at the reactor pressure vessel, reactor pressure, do you recall looking at that, (unintelligible)?

MOORE: I don't recall at this point.

FASANO: So as far as you were concerned it was a real blip but it was, you didn't know if it somewhere between the 29 and the 30. Mark do you have any questions?

RESNER: No Tony not at this time.

<u>FASANO</u>: Jim at this time would you like to give any of your opinions on what you've learned that others may benifit from what you learned, going through, living through part of this event, that may be helpful to other utilities, the NRC, whoever and also GPU?

MOORE: I think the majority of the things that come to my mind, I've looked at all the modifications that are being made, supposed to be made at Three Mile 1, technical upgrading, upgrading of the plant itself. Looks to me like basic problems pretty well addressed by modifications, the type proposed for these types of plants. I guess the one area that I feel we have a need to have a mechanism for obtaining data from the Unit without having to tie up operational people to get it...in other words, som mechanism by which we could obtain data from the Unit in a very quick turnaround when something li's this happens. I think that's major improvements that need to be made. I understand that they have had these sort of things like, well just under consideration, we are actually working on them for some period of time. I think that we possibly put to much, take to much credit for alarms and this sort of thing. My philosphy on annunciator windows would be that

these are fine for normal operating conditions or if something fails and we got an alarm that's going off on an infrequent basis, or the means of alerting the operator to some conditions of the plant. But the types and numbers of alarms these operators were receiving throughout this incident, I don't think that they were a good source of information for an operator presented with something more basic than alarms or sort of thing.

FASANO: In your experience with, have you actually looked at other control rooms and made any comparisons to come up with comparisons, if you like, with your own design compared to say the way it is at other plants. Well in your experience, basically your main...mechanical engineering and you experience with nuclear power plants, how does it select your basis for your comments here?

MOORE: I've been involved with power plants, back, we worked on the Pathfinder power plant, and LaCrosse power plant from the design standpoint. I did serve in a start up and test role, for the Pathfinder plant for about 2 years however, I've never been involved in actual operation plants, so I really didn't judge one Control Room versus another from my own personal experience as far as interface for actual operator for that control room, however I do know that...operating experience I did have was on board fossile fuels on Navy surface ships. The're far less things to look at than you got on a nuclear power plant. If you were there, you'd have to, you'd get into some

sort of a casualty, you have to make sure there is a few basic things for the operator to look at, and that's all he's gonne have a chance to look at. Peripheral things are not gonna mean very much to him, be more of a hindrance than anything else as far as I could see, so that I think it's the indicators, and that sort of thing, that he's normally working with that have gotta carry the message, alarms, alarm lights, and horns and things going off are not gonna tell him very much.

FASANO: That human engineering might be called for in the Control Room to a degree, is that what I'm gathering?

MOORE: Well I'm not, I think we have the, there may be some additional indicators required, I guess the thing I'm getting at is that I hate to see us try to fix this problem by any more alarms.

FASANO: Oh, okay.

MOORE: I think that, when I get in my car and the buzzer starts going off because I left the key in or, I did something wrong that hurts me enough. To an operator to have alarms continuously blaring when he's trying to make decisions...

FASANO: I guess they have more of a ring and then they have to go, push a botton and still have to go and look another panel.

MOORE: Right, I'm not sure of the exact setup on that Unit, I think, I guess what I feel is you need some real basic instrumentation and possibly the best thing to do is just deactivate alarms, I don't know, at least the majority of them, once you got into casualty, maybe just save a few of the vital ones, you can't help but pay attention to those.

FASANO: Mark would you like Jim to go over his experience?

RESNER: Right, we negleted to get the...at the outset of the interview, Jim if you would, would you please give us a brief synopsis of your educational and job experience related to the Nuclear Industry?

MOORE: I started ah, Bachelor Degree in Marine Engineering, State

University, New York, _______ College, I spent two years engineering

department, I started _______, employeed by Alice Chalmers, a

short period of time before active duty in the Navy and then subsequently returned to Alice Chalmers and their graduate training program,

worked in a number of their power equipment divisions, steam turbines,

pumps, also the Nuclear Power department, which was located in Greendale

Wisconsin at that time. Went to...took a permanent assignment in the

Nuclear Power Department as a Systems Engineer and worked on the, at

that Pathfinder nuclear power plant, would of been from about 1959,

January 59, then for a year and a half, two years, actually went out

to the Pathfinder site and worked as a site representative in the

Engineering Department, part of the startup and test program out there. Subsequently came back to Greendale office, continuing to work on the Pathfinder finder plant which was, still had the chief startup at the time I left the site. Was then transferred to the Maryland, Bethesda office of Alice Chalmers and worked there on the LaCrosse reactor, coolant systems area, until I left Alice Chalmers at the end of 1968 when LaCrosse was going into operation. That's the time I joined GPU which, Nuclear Power activities group at that time and I subsequently served in the role of a fluid systems engineer and mechanical engineering manager since that time. In addition to this I did complete entire curiculium for masters degree in Nuclear Engineering at Catholic University, employed, and lived in Maryland. Everything except...I took the comprensive exam, e everything except the thesis, that's about that time I came up here so I never did finish the thesis, so that pretty well summarizes.

<u>RESNER</u>: Thank you Jim, no additional comments from...gentlemen. At present this concludes interview with Mr. Moore and the time now 11:14 a.m.