UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL PANEL

Alan S. Rosenthal, Chairman

In the Matter of

TEXAS UTILI ES GENERATING COMPANY ) et al.

(Comanche + Electric Station, Units 1 and

Docket Nos. 50-445 OL 50-446 OL

DOCKETED USNEC

Office of the Secretary Docketing & Service

Branch

8 1980 1

SERVED JUL 9 1980 Mrs. Juanita Ellis, Dallas, Texas, for the intervenor, Citizens Association for Sound Energy.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

July 3, 1980 (ALAB-599)

Citizens Association for Sound Energy (CASE) endeavors to appeal from so much of the Licensing Board's unpublished June 16, 1980 prehearing conference order as rejected several of the cont tions which that organization wishes to litigate in this operating license proceeding. It is apparent from that order, however, that other CASE contentions were accepted by the Licensing Board as litigable and, thus, that the organization has been admitted to the proceeding as an intervenor. In these circumstances, the appeal must be summarily dismissed on the ground

502

8007100255

that it is unauthorized by the Commission's Rules of Practice. <u>Houston Lighting and Power Co</u>. (Allens Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1), ALAB-585, 11 NRC 469 (1980), and ALAB-586, 11 NRC 472 (1980). As there observed:

> Those Rules do not permit a person to take an interlocutory appeal from an order entered on his intervention petition unless that order has the effect of denying the petition in its entirety. 10 CFR 2.714a; Gulf States Utilities Company (River Bend Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-329, 3 NRC 607, 610 (1976), and cases there cited.

ALAB-585, 11 NRC at 470; ALAB-586, 11 NRC at 473.1/

Appeal dismissed.

۲

It is so ORDERED.

FOR THE APPEAL PANEL CHAIRMAN

and mas C. Jean Bishop Secretary to the

Appeal Panel

This action was taken by the Appeal Panel Chairman under the authority of 10 CFR 2.787(b).

1/ As also pointed out in those decisions, an intervenor in CASE's situation must await the rendition of the Licensing Board's initial decision. If dissatisfied with that decision, an appeal can be taken from it under 10 CFR 2.762(a). One of the matters that can be raised on such an appeal is whether the Licensing Board errad in rejecting one or more of the appellant's contentions. Further, the appellant may then complain of any Board-ordered revisions in the wording of those of its contentions which were admitted to the proceeding. (CASE's premature appeal contains a claim of error on that score as well.)