70-2909

E. Y. Shum Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555



Mr. Shum,

I am writing about the proposed Westinghouse fuel pellet plant. There are several things I think should be considered by the NRC.

- (1) Why do the companies build so many plants like this in the southerst? Tax advantages? Why don't they build them in sparsely populated areas such as Nevada and Utah? These states have already been polluted by nuclear tests any way (Ha, Ha) and the wanivm is mined out there too.
- (3) Why doesn't Westinghouse just enlarge their Columbia, SC, plant?
- (3) I understand that company paid employees:
 will monitor compliance to safety standards. Do they
 expect the public to believe that they would blow
 the whistle on their employers if violations
 were found? Remember Karen Silkwood?
- (4) Even government agencies permit companies to carry out questionable operations, such as the release of radioactive gas at TMI.

8006250 329

(over)

HIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS
POOR QUALITY PAGES

(S) Last year, a section of the railroad bridge ocross the Alabama River fell in while a train was crossing. (About the only materials that fell in the viver were cotton bales and cottonseed.) And there is the one-lane highway bridge on US 31 south between Prattville and Montgomery. The Prattviller Montgomery area is expected to increase considerably in population during the next few years. Can the public really be protected from accidents that could occur during the transportation of UFG and enviched U pallets?

(

(6) what would happen at. the proposed plant during a "large filed" (a river level that is reach only one year in every 40 or 50)?

(7) What is the danger to the surrounding form land from normal and accidental emissions of lead, fluorides, nickel, etc.?

(3) Daes Westinghouse consider postulated accidents to in the same way that Ford Motor Company did with the Pinto-company profits us projected injuries and deaths?

People con't avoid some hazards - UV radiation from the sun, the drunk driver who runs a red light, etc. But why should a small group of people. :

subject an entire area to potential cotastrophe?

This goes for this and other nuclear establishments. Thank you.