

50- 346

NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL

FILE NUMBER

TO: J.F. STOLZ

FROM: TOLEDO EDISON
TOLEDO, OHIO
L.E. ROE

DATE OF DOCUMENT

2/25/77

DATE RECEIVED

2/28/77

☒ LETTER
☒ ORIGINAL
☐ COPY☐ NOTORIZED
☒ UNCLASSIFIED

PROP

INPUT FORM

NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED

1

DESCRIPTION

REQUEST FOR A SCHEDULED MEETING TO DISCUSS THE
REACTOR COOLANT FLOW MONITORING TORGING.....(1P)
(1 SIGNED CY. RECEIVED)

ENCLOSURE

ACKNOWLEDGED

DO NOT REMOVE

PLANT NAME: DAVIS BESSE

SAFETY

FOR ACTION/INFORMATION

ENVIRO

SAB 3/2/77

☒ ASSIGNED AD: VASSA/0
☒ BRANCH CHIEF: STOLZ
☒ PROJECT MANAGER: ENGLE
☒ LIC. ASST.: HYLTON☒ ASSIGNED AD:
☒ BRANCH CHIEF: KNIGHTON
☒ PROJECT MANAGER: COTA
☒ LIC. ASST.: SLATER

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

REG FIL	SYSTEMS SAFETY	PLANT SYSTEMS	SITE SAFETY &
NRC PDR	HEINEMAN	TEDESCO	ENVIRO ANALYSIS
I & E (2)	SCHROEDER	BENARCYA	DENTON & MILLER
OELD		LAINAS	
GOSSICK & STAFF	ENGINEERING	IPPOLITO	ENVIRO TECH.
MIPC	MACAPRY	KIRKWOOD	ERUST
CASE	DOENAK		PALLARD
HANAUER	SINWEIL	OPERATING REACTORS	SPANGLER
HARLESS	PAWLICKI	STELLO	
			SITE TECH.
PROJECT MANAGEMENT	REACTOR SAFETY	OPERATING TECH.	GAMMILL
BOYD	ROSS	EISENHUT	STEFF
P. COLLINS	NOVAK	SHAO	HULMAN
HOUSTON	ROSZTOCZY	BAER	
PETERSON	CHECK	BUTLER	SITE ANALYSIS
WELTZ		CRIMES	VOLLNER
HELTENES	AT & I		BUNCH
SKOVHOLT	SALTZMAN		J. COLLINS
	RUTBERG		KREGER

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

CONTROL NUMBER

LPDR: PORT CLINTON, MD	NAT. LAB: ANL	BROOKHAVEN NAT. LAB.
TIC:	REG V.IE	ULRIKSON (ORNL)
NSIC:	LA PDR	
ASLB:	CONSULTANTS:	
ACRS CYS HOLDING/SENT		

2056

8001280704 A

Regulatory

File - Cy.



LOWELL E. ROE
Vice President
Facilities Development
(419) 259-5242

Docket No. 50-346

February 25, 1977

Serial No. 228

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attn: Mr. John F. Stolz, Chief
Light Water Reactors Branch No. 1
Division of Project Management
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555



Dear Mr. Stolz:

Toledo Edison correspondence Serial No. 182 of January 5, 1977, regarding Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Unit No. 1 reactor coolant flow monitoring, requested an extension to the schedule for our response to your position of December 15, 1976. Our anticipated response within 45 days was predicated upon having a meeting with your staff representatives (possibly jointly with other applicants or licensees having received similar NRC positions) so that we might clearly understand the scope of the problem, and thereby assure our proper resolution.

We have not yet been approached by NRC staff to schedule the requested meeting although we have reminded the Licensing Project Manager several times of our need for this meeting. Therefore, we must now state that our resolution will be scheduled after the requested meeting with NRC staff representatives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

dh e/l

2556