POOR ORIGINAL

MAR 2 9 1977

Distribution:
Docket File
NRC PDR
Local PDR
LWR 1 File
D. B. Vassallo
F. J. Williams
J. Stolz
L. Engle
E. Hylton
R. Heineman
D. Ross
J. Knight, SS
R. Tedesco
H. Denton
V. A. Moore
R. H. Vollmer
M. L. Ernst
W. P. Gammill
ELD
IE (3)
R. Reid
C. Nelson
D. Eisenhut
K. Goller
ACRS (16)
J. Miller
V. Stello

Docket No. 50-346

Toledo Edison Company
ATTN: Mr. Lowell E. Roe
Vice President, Facilities
Development
Edison Plaza
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43652

bcc: J. R. Buchanan, NSIC
T. B. Abernathy, TIC

Gentlemen:

SUBJECT: FISSION GAS RELEASES FROM FUEL PELLETS
(DAVIS BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION UNIT NO. 1)

The NRC staff has recently obtained information which indicates that fission gas releases from fuel pellets with high burnup may be underpredicted by the current industry models for fission gas release. As a result, actual end-of-life fuel rod pressure may be higher than that which was considered in the safety analysis for your facility. Although this situation does not lead us to suspect that fuel design limits have been or are currently being exceeded at your facility, the potential may exist for such an occurrence in the future as higher fuel burnups are reached. Consequently, you are requested to evaluate the effects of increased fission gas releases on the safety analysis for your facility in accordance with the schedule specified below.

If the estimated date on which any fuel rod in your facility will reach a local exposure (burnup) of 20,000 Megawatt-days per metric ton of Uranium (MWD/tU) is sooner than June 1, 1977, provide the following information within 30 days of receipt of this letter. (If this estimated date is later than June 1, 1977, your response may be submitted within 90 days of receipt of this letter.)

a. The estimated date on which any fuel rod in your facility will reach a local exposure (burnup) of 20,000 Megawatt-days per metric ton of Uranium (MWD/tU).

b. Using the correction technique described in the attached enclosure, modify the fission gas release model in the thermal performance code for the fuel in your facility and calculate the fission gas release, fuel rod pressure, fuel temperature, etc. for burnups up to and including the target peak-rod burnup, Provide a comparison

OFFICE ➔						
SURNAME ➔						
DATE ➔						

8001290612

the results of your calculations with those obtained using the uncorrected fission gas release model.

c. Describe the impact (if any) of larger fission gas releases on the LOCA analysis and other safety analyses for your facility.

d. If internal fuel rod pressures, as calculated using the above-mentioned fission gas release correction, are predicted to exceed the nominal system pressure for your facility, provide the date that this is anticipated to occur and discuss the implications of operating under both normal and accident conditions with fuel cladding tensile stresses.

We have advised all U. S. Fuel manufacturers by separate correspondence that this information request is being sent to licensees of operating power reactors or to licensees of plants which are presently under OL review and estimated to receive an OL license in the near future. In our letter to the fuel manufacturers, we have indicated that bounding calculations for appropriate plant groupings would be acceptable.

This request for generic information was approved by GAO under a blanket clearance number B-180225 (R0072); this clearance expires July 31, 1977. Three signed originals and 40 copies of your response will be required.

Sincerely,

Original Signed by
John F. Stolz

John F. Stolz, Chief
Light Water Reactors Branch No. 1
Division of Project Management

Enclosure:
Burnup-Dependent Correction for Fission Gas Release Models

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

POOR ORIGINAL

OFFICE	LWR 1	LWR 1				
SURNAME	LEngle[illegible]ed	JStolz				
DATE	3/25/77	3/29/77				

MAR 2 9 1977

cc: Mr. Donald H. Hauser, Esq.
The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
P. O. Box 5000
Cleveland, Ohio 44101

Gerald Charnoff, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Leslie Henry, Esq.
Fuller, Seney, Henry and Hodge
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43604

POOR ORIGINAL

OFFICE ➔						
SURNAME ➔						
DATE ➔						

Enclosure 1

Recent ANS standards activities (1-3) lead us to believe that high burnup gas releases are underpredicted by current LWR industry models. We have previously (4) looked for a burnup dependence and found none for LWRs in the burnup range from 400 to 18,300 MWd/tU. Thus, we incorrectly presumed that the strong burnup dependence exhibited by LMFBR data (5) was not representative of LWR fuels during their shorter burnup lifetimes.

New high burnup UO_2 data mentioned by Westinghouse to the ANS group (2) and discussed with the NRC (6) show, however, that the sharp release increase seen in LMFBR data occurs also in LWR fuels. Therefore, in the absence of a complete analysis of high burnup LWR UO_2 data, we will assume that the burnup dependence is the same in LWR and LMFBR oxide fuels. This assumption, however, will be applied only in the high burnup region above 20,000 MWd/tU since the current industry models have been checked with the data base (4) ranging to 18,300 MWd/tU.

The following correction has been derived to give an increased release fraction F'(Bu,T) as a function of burnup and the uncorrected release prediction F(T). Burnup Bu is the local burnup in megawatt-days per metric ton of uranium (MWd/tU), and T, which is not an explicit variable in the correction, is temperature.

$$F'(Bu,T) = F(T) + [1-F(T)]\frac{(1 - \exp[-0.436 \times 10^{-4}(Bu-20000)])}{(1 + [0.665/F(T)]\exp[-1.107 \times 10^{-4}(Bu-20000)])}$$

POOR ORIGINAL

Figure 1 shows schematically how this correction would be applied to the GAPCON gas release model, which is independent of burnup. In the event an existing model contains a burnup dependence, F(T) would be the predicted release fraction under the temperature conditions of interest, but with the burnup variable set equal to 20,000 MWd/tU.

Equation 1 is a replication of the Dutt and Baker (7) LMFBR correlation, which is an updated version of the correlation in Ref. 5. Equation 1 was derived by assuming a convenient functional form depending on F(T) and Bu and fitting it to the Dutt and Baker curves using a non-linear regression procedure. No conservatism has been intentionally added. Figure 2 shows how closely Eq. 1 reproduces the Dutt and Baker curves.

References

1. R. O. Meyer (NRC), memorandum to P. S. Check, "Summary of Meeting of ANS-5.4 Working Group on Fuel Plenum Gas Activity," February 25, 1976.

2. R. O. Meyer (NRC), memorandum to P. S. Check, "Summary of ANS-5.1 (Decay Heat) and ANS-5.4 (Fission Gas Release) Activities," June 22, 1976.

3. R. O. Meyer (NRC), memorandum to P. S. Check, "Summary of ANS-5.4 Meeting on Fission Gas Release," October 6, 1976.

4. C. E. Beyer and C. R. Hann, "Prediction of Fission Gas Release from UO2 Fuel," Battelle report, BNWL-1675, November 1974.

5. D. S. Dutt, D. C. Bullington, R. B. Baker, and L. A. Pember, "A Correlated Fission Gas Release Model for Fast Reactor Fuels," Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc. 15, 198 (1972).

6. R. O. Meyer (NRC), memorandum to P. S. Check, "Summary of Meeting with Westinghouse on Fuel Rod Pressures," September 22, 1976.

7. D. S. Dutt and R. B. Baker, "Siex: A Correlated Code for the Prediction of Liquid Metal Fast Breeder Reactor (LMFBR) Fuel Thermal Performance," Westinghouse Hanford report, HEDL-TME 74-55, June 1975.

POOR ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL
POOR

FIGURE 1



POOR ORIGINAL

FIGURE 2