

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ 1

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | 0 | H | D | B | S | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | N | P | F | - | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | _____ | 5
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50
LICENSEE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE J0 57 CAT 58

0 1 | REPORT SOURCE | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | - | 0 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 9
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50
REPORT SOURCE 60 61 COCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10

0 2 | On 5/18/78 during a review of T.S. 3.3.3.6, it was discovered that the surveillance
0 3 | requirement was not being entirely completed. Table 3.3-10 listing number 22 "Contain-
0 4 | ment Air Recirculation Fan Status" was being performed on a 92 day basis instead of
0 5 | the required monthly. T.S. 3.3.3.6 is required while the unit is in Modes 1, 2 or 3.
0 6 | The unit was in Mode 6 at the time of the discovery of the surveillance deficiency.
0 7 | There was no danger to the health and safety of the public or unit personnel. Opera-
0 8 | bility of the containment air recirculation fan was proven by the 92 day test.
7 8 9 (NP-33-78-66) 90

0 9 | SYSTEM CODE | S | C | 11 | CAUSE CODE | D | 12 | CAUSE SUBCODE | Z | 13 | COMPONENT CODE | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | 14 | COMP. SUBCODE | Z | 15 | VALVE SUBCODE | Z | 16
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

17 | LER NO REPORT NUMBER | 7 | 8 | EVENT YEAR | 7 | 8 | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. | 0 | 5 | 3 | OCCURRENCE CODE | 0 | 3 | REPORT TYPE | L | REVISION NO. | 0
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

ACTION TAKEN | G | 18 | FUTURE ACTION | Z | 19 | EFFECT ON PLANT | Z | 20 | SHUTDOWN METHOD | Z | 21 | HOURS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | Y | 23 | NRC-4 FORM SUB. | N | 24 | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | Z | 25 | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER | Z | Z | Z | Z | 26
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS 27

1 0 | The cause of this occurrence is procedure error. Containment Recirculation System Sur-
1 1 | veillance Test was written as a 92 day test instead of the required 30 day test. A
1 2 | procedure modification was prepared to increase the test frequency to monthly. The
1 3 | test frequency of all the remaining items listed on Table 4.3-10 was verified as
1 4 | being performed at the required interval.
7 8 9 90

1 5 | FACILITY STATUS | G | 28 | % POWER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | OTHER STATUS | NA | 30 | METHOD OF DISCOVERY | Z | 31 | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION | NA | 32
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

1 6 | ACTIVITY CONTENT | Z | 33 | RELEASED OF RELEASE | Z | 34 | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY | NA | 35 | LOCATION OF RELEASE | NA | 36
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

1 7 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | TYPE | Z | 38 | DESCRIPTION | NA | 39
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

1 8 | PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | DESCRIPTION | NA | 41
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

1 9 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE | Z | 42 | DESCRIPTION | NA | 43
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

2 0 | PUBLICITY ISSUED | N | 44 | DESCRIPTION | NA | 45
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

8001280737

TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY
DAVIS-BESSE UNIT ONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR LER NP-33-78-66

DATE OF EVENT: May 18, 1978

FACILITY: Davis-Besse Unit 1

IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE: Technical Specification 3.3.3.6 Surveillance Requirement listing number 22 not completed

Conditions Prior to Occurrence: The unit was in Mode 6, with Power (MWT) = 0, and Load (MWE) = 0.

Description of Occurrence: On May 18, 1978 during a review of Technical Specification 3.3.3.6, it was discovered that the surveillance requirement was not being entirely completed. Table 4.3-10 listing number 22 "Containment Air Recirculation Fan Status" was being performed on a 92 day basis instead of the required monthly. Technical Specification 3.3.3.6 is required while the unit is in Modes 1, 2 or 3. The unit was in Mode 6 at the time of the discovery of the surveillance deficiency.

Designation of Apparent Cause of Occurrence: The cause of this occurrence is procedure error. Surveillance Test ST 5065.06, "Containment Recirculation System Surveillance Test", was written as a 92 day test instead of the required 30 day test.

Analysis of Occurrence: There was no danger to the health and safety of the public or to unit personnel. Operability of the containment air recirculation fan was proven by the 92 day test.

Corrective Action: A procedure modification was prepared to Surveillance Test ST 5065.06 to increase the test frequency to monthly. This will assure the surveillance is performed when required in Modes 1, 2 or 3. The test frequency of all of the remaining items listed on Table 4.3-10 was verified as being performed at the required interval.

Failure Data: This is not a repetitive occurrence.

LER #78-053