

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 8 TO LICENSE NO. NPF-3

TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

AND

CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY

DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-346

POOR
ORIGINAL

INTRODUCTION

By letter dated October 31, 1977, the Toledo Edison Company requested an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-3. The amendment would modify the Technical Specifications for the Davis Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1 to delete the requirement for an Annual Operating Report in order to be consistent with the recent Commission guidance.

BACKGROUND

After two years of experience with the reporting requirements for nuclear power reactors, we reviewed the scope of information licensees are required to submit in the Licensee Event Report (LER), Annual Operating Report, Monthly Operating Report and the Startup Report. Based on our review of LER's we developed a modified format for the LER to make this document more useful for evaluation purposes. By letters sent in July and August 1977, we informed licensees of the new LER format and requested that they use it.

From our review of all licensee reports we determined that much of the information found in the Annual Operating Report either is addressed in the LER's or Monthly Operating Reports, which are submitted in a more timely manner, or could be included in these reports with only a slight augmentation of the information already supplied. Therefore we concluded that the Annual Operating Report could be deleted as a Technical Specification requirement if certain additional information were provided in the Monthly Operating Reports. As a result we sent letters during September 1977 to licensees informing them that a revised and improved format for Monthly Operating Reports was available and requested that they use it. In addition, licensees were informed that if they agreed to use the revised format they could submit a change request to delete the requirement for an Annual Operating Report except that occupational exposure data must still be submitted.

OFFICE →						
SURNAME →						
DATE →						

POOR ORIGINAL

EVALUATION

Reporting Requirements

The licensee's proposal would delete all but one of the four specified items in the Annual Operating Report. The report which tabulates occupational exposure on an annual basis is needed and therefore, the requirements to submit this information has been retained. We have determined that the failed fuel examination information does not need to be supplied routinely by licensees because these type of historical data can be obtained in a compiled form from fuel vendors when needed. The information concerning forced reductions in power and outages will be supplied in the revised Monthly Operating Report rather than annually. The licensee has committed to use the revised Monthly Operating Report format beginning with their report for January 1978 as requested. We requested, and the licensee agreed to use words consistent with the Standard Technical Specifications in Specification 6.9.1.5. This agreed to change required modifying the licensee's submittal by changing page 6-15. We have concluded that all needed information will be provided and deletion of the Annual Operating Report is acceptable.

Environmental Consideration

We have determined that the amendment does not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendment involves an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) because the amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered and does not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: FEB 28 1978

SEE PREVIOUS YELLOW FOR PREVIOUS CONCURRENCES

OFFICE →	LWR 1*	LWR 1	OELD*	LWR 1	
SURNAME →	BScott/red	LEngle	GFess	JSto12	
DATE →	2/14/78	2/ /78	2/24/78	2/27/78	

POOR ORIGINAL

EVALUATION

Reporting Requirements

The licensee's proposal would delete all but one of the four specified items in the Annual Operating Report. The report which tabulates occupational exposure on an annual basis is needed and therefore, the requirements to submit this information has been retained. We have determined that the failed fuel examination information does not need to be supplied routinely by licensees because these type of historical data can be obtained in a compiled form from fuel vendors when needed. The information concerning forced reductions in power and outages will be supplied in the revised Monthly Operating Report rather than annually. The licensee has committed to use the revised Monthly Operating Report format beginning with their report for January 1978 as requested. We requested, and the licensee agreed to use words consistent with the Standard Technical Specifications in Specification 5.9.1.5. This agreed to change required modifying the licensee's submittal by changing page 6-15. We have concluded that all needed information will be provided and deletion of the Annual Operating Report is acceptable.

Environmental Consideration

We have determined that the amendment does not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendment involves an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) because the amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered and does not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated:

OFFICE	LWR 1 <i>Scott</i>	LWR 1 <i>Engle</i>	OELD <i>SEAR</i>	LWR 1 <i>Stolz</i>		
SURNAME	BScott/red	LEngle	SEAR	Stolz		
DATE	2/4/78	2/13/78	2/21/78	2/29/78		