ENVIRON

February 22, 972

D. E. Muller

OCONEE 2 AND 3 DOCKET NOS. 50-270 and 50-28?

The DRL review plan, dated February 5, 1972, does not appear to include any REP input. As I understand it, the Oconee FES is specifically for Oconee 1, but the evaluation was written to include Oconee 1, 2, and 3. If this is true, some input from REP may be required; for example, the Oconee FES may have to be redistributed, or at least a letter may have to be written and distributed pointing out the fact that the Oconee FES also is applicable to Oconee 2 and 3 and has previously been prepared and distributed.

Please advise.

Original Signed By:

M. L. Zrast
Assistant for Plans
and Programs
Division of Radiological and
Environmental Protection

cc: A. Giambusso T. McCreless REP Files

OFFICE >	REP:APP					
CURNAMPA	MLESDETTEC					
DATE D	2/22/72					
Form AEC-318 (Rev. 9	-53) AECM 0240	THE GOVERNMENT	PRINTING OFFICE 1970 407 7	* 800	1140	698