

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY  
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23261

July 2, 1980

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director  
Office of Inspection and Enforcement  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Region II  
101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303


Serial No. 522  
NO/RMT:ms  
Docket Nos. 50-338  
50-339  
License Nos. NPF-4  
NPF-7

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

We have reviewed your letter of June 5, 1980, in reference to the inspection conducted at North Anna Power Station on May 12 through 16, 1980, and reported in IE Inspection Report Nos. 50-338/80-22 and 50-339/80-13. Our response to the specific infraction is attached.

We have determined that no proprietary information is contained in the reports. Accordingly, the Virginia Electric and Power Company has no objection to these inspection reports being made a matter of public disclosure.

Very truly yours,

  
B. R. Sylvia  
Manager - Nuclear  
Operations and Maintenance

Attachment

cc: Mr. Robert A. Clark, Chief

8007070 283

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF VIOLATION  
ITEM REPORTED IN IE INSPECTION REPORT NO.  
50-338/80-22 AND 50-339/80-13

NRC COMMENT

As required by Technical Specifications 4.0.2.a and 4.8.2.3.2.a, the 125-volt battery bank and charger shall be demonstrated operable at least once per 7 days with a maximum allowable extension not to exceed 25% of the surveillance interval by verifying electrolyte level, specific gravity cell voltage and overall battery voltage are within stated specifications.

Contrary to the above, periodic test procedure 1-PT-85 (D.C. Distribution Systems) was performed on April 3, 1980 and April 13, 1980. This interval is in excess of Technical Specification requirements.

This is an infraction. A similar item was brought to your attention in our letter dated January 29, 1980.

RESPONSE

The above infraction is correct as stated.

Specifically pursuant to Section 2.201 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice", Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, the following information is submitted.

1. Corrective steps taken and results achieved:

A review of our test control program was made and it was determined that the periodic testing programs described in the Nuclear Power Station Quality Assurance Manual, Section II, paragraph 5.3 is adequate.

Since the test control program is adequate, station management will reemphasize the requirements of periodic test scheduling. The reemphasis will include the requirements of technical specification 4.0.2, the allowable extension period for surveillance requirements.

2. Corrective action taken to avoid further non-compliance:

To ensure established procedures are followed correctly, station management will distribute a letter reemphasizing the requirements of periodic test scheduling to station supervisors. Station supervisors will then discuss this letter with their personnel.

3. Date when full compliance will be achieved: Full compliance will be achieved by July 15, 1980.