CONTROL SLOCK:
FILI GRI PI 3 3 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
CON'T 0 1 0 5 0 - 0 3 0 2 0 1 2 2 7 8 0 2 1 3 7 7 9
EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (1) In mode 3 operation, while performing the RPS Functional Test SP-110
prior to change of mode, the 'A" CDR breaker failed to trip when a simulated
trip signal was initiated. This created a condition contrary to Table
3.3-1 of Tech Spec 3.3.1.1. No safety hazard as redundant CRD breakers were
available and verified operable. First occurrence. Replacement breaker
installed and tested satisfactory.
015
SYSTEM COUR COOR SUBCOOR COMPONENT CODE SUBCOOR SUBCOO
TO REPORT TO THE TOTAL SINGUENTIAL SINGUENTIAL SOCIETARENCE REPORT NO. CODE THE TOTAL NO.
TAKEN ACTION ON PLANT SMITTON AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (2) CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (2)
The cause of this event was due to the failure of the CRD breaker under -
current relay. The breaker was replaced with a spare and was satisfactorily
teste using Preventive Maintenance Procedure PM-113. Subsequent to this
event, all CRD breakers were satisfactorily tested as per PM-118.
1 50
GG G O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
TELEASED OF SELEASE NA LOCATION OF SELEASE 39
1 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 MA
O TO TO DESCAPATION NA
NA The standard of the standa
PERSONNEL INJUSTED 13 O NO DESCRIPTION NA LOSS OF OR DAVIAGE TO FACILITY COST OF DESCRIPTION FOR

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Leport No.:

50-302/78-009/03L-0

2. Facility:

Crystal River Unit #3

3. Report Date:

13 February 1978

4. Occurrence Date:

22 January 1978

5. Identification of Occurrence:

The "A" control rod drive breaker failed to trip contrary to Table 3.3-1 of Technical Specification 3.3.1.1.

6. Conditions Prior to Occurrence:

Mode 3 hot standby operation.

7. Description of Occurrence:

At 0400, during the performance of the RPS Functional Test SP-110, in preparation for unit startup, the "A" control rod drive breaker failed to trip when a simulated trip signal was initiated. The electrical supervisor was notified and maintenance Work Request #0-6041 was initiated. The "A" CRD breaker was renewed with a replacement breaker and was tested satisfactorily in accordance with Preventive Maintenance Procedure PM-118.

8. Designation of Apparent Cause:

The cause of this event was due to the failure of the breaker undercurrent relay to function.

9. Analysis of Occurrence:

There were no safety implications as a result of this occurrence as redundant control rod drive breakers were available and verified operable.

10. Corrective Action:

Preventive Maintenance Procedure PM-118 was performed on all CRD breakers with satisfactor results.

11. Failure Data:

This is the first occurrence of this event.