Dockat Nos. 50-270 and 50-287

Mr. Austin C. Thies
Senior Vice President
Production & Transmission
Duke Power Company
P. O. Box 2178
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201

POOR-ORIGINAL

Dear Mr. Thies:

The Final Environmental Statement published by the Regulatory staff in March 1972 concerning Oconee Nuclear Station Units 1, 2 and 3 analyzed the environmental effects of simultaneously operating all three units. As a result of the evaluation, it was concluded by the staff that the benefits to be derived from operation of the Oconee Nuclear Station (the three nuclear units and the associated Keowee-Toxaway project) outweigh the adverse environmental effects. At the time the only action under immediate consideration by the staff was issuance of an operating license for Unit 1; accordingly, the recommendation in the FES was limited to "issuance of a license to operate Unit 1." The recommendation was conditioned with four provisos that Duke Power Company develop and implement monitoring programs and accumulate baseline environmental data.

While the evaluations and conclusions in the March 1972 FES support a recommendation to issue an operating license for Units 2 and 3, there is additional information available today which must be evaluated to update the statement and support licensing of Units 2 and 3. For example, the Environmental Report which was submitted for the Catawba Plant indicates that the delays in bringing the Oconee Units and Cliffside 5 on stream result in a deficit rather than a small reserve between system peak loads and installed capacity. Also, subsequent data and information which was submitted in conjunction with the technical specifications for Oconee indicate that Duke Power Company has developed and implemented the monitoring programs and studies recommended by the staff and other Federal agencies last year. We propose to issue an addendum to the Final Environmental Statement for Oconee Units 1, 2 and 3 updating the need for power (Chapter X) and the various sections where new information require supplementation. This addendum which will incorporate the March 1972 FES by reference will be circulated for public comment in accordance with the procedures

Specified in Appendix D, 10 CFR Part 50.

OFFICE D

URNAME D

DATE D

-318 (Rev. 9-53) AECM 0240

GPO 043-16-8140-1 445-678

Mr. Richard J. Clark, the AEC Environmental Project Manager, will visit the site and meet with the DPC staff to review the status and results of environmental monitoring programs and to insure that the staff has sufficient information about these, the need for power matter, and such other matters as should be considered in the addendum to the FES. With complete cooperation it is expected that this addendum can be prepared in a relatively short time.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Gordon K. Dicker

Gordon K. Dicker, Chief Environmental Projects Branch 2 Directorate of Licensing

cc: Mr. William L. Porter
Duke Power Company
P. O. Box 2178
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201

DISTRIBUTION: AEC PDR (2) Local PDR JRMcWherter, ORNL EGStruxness, ORNL Docket File (ENVIRON) RP Reading EP-2 Reading AGiambusso, L:DDRP HDEnton, L:ADSS DRMuller, L:ADEP RCDeYoung, L:ADPWRs GKDicker, L:EP-2 RJClark, L:EP-2 ASchwencer, L:PWR-4 IAPeltier, L:PWR-4 JGallo, OGC RLWade, L:EP-2 DRO (3)

POOR ORIGINAL

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED YELLOW FOR CONCURRENCES

		1 66 00	1		Million Per Landon
OFFICE >	L:EP-2 Ofc	OGC 1	L:ADEP	L:B-2	
SURNAME >	L:EP-2	JGallo -	DRMHier	GKDicker	
		2/ /73		2/12/73	

Docket Nos. 50-270 and 50-287

POOR ORIGINAL

Mr. Austin C. Thies
Senior Vice President
Production & Transmission
P. O. Box 2178
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201

Dear Mr. Thies:

The Final Environmental Statement published by the Regulatory staff in March 1972 concerning Oconee Nuclear Station Units 1, 2 and 3 analyzed the environmental effects of simultaneously operating all three units. As a result of the evaluation, it was concluded by the staff that the benefits to be derived from operation of the Oconee Nuclear Station (the three nuclear units and the associated Keowee-Nuclear Station (the three nuclear units and the associated Keowee-Toxaway project) outweigh the adverse environmental effects. At the time the only action under immediate consideration by the staff was issuance of an operating license for Unit 1; accordingly, the recommendation in the FES was limited to "issuance of a license to operate Unit 1." The recommendation was conditioned with four provisos that Duke Power Company develop and implement monitoring programs and accumulate baseline environmental data.

While the evaluations and conclusions in the March 1972 FES support a recommendation to issue an operating license for Units 2 and 3, there is additional information available today which must be evaluated to update the statement and support licensing of Units 2 and 3. For example, the Environmental Report which was submitted for the Catawba Plant indicates that the delays in bringing the Oconee Units and Cliffside 5 on stream result in a deficit rather than a small reserve between system peak loads and installed capacity. Also, subsequent data and information which was submitted in conjunction with the technical specifications for Oconee indicate that Duke Power Company has developed and implemented the monitoring programs and studies recommended by the staff and other Federal agencies last year. We propose to issue a short addendum to the Final Environmental Statement for Oconee Units 1, 2 and 3 updating the need for power (Chapter X) and the various sections wherein it was concluded that studies and monitoring programs should be initiated. This addendum will be published in draft form for agency comment using normal procedures.

OFFICE >	
SURNAME >	
DATE ▶	-53) AECM 0240 CPO 613-16-51405-1 445-078

Mr. Richard J. Clark, the AEC Environmental Project Manager, will visit the site and meet with the DPC staff to review the status and results of environmental monitoring programs and to insure that the staff has sufficient information about these and the need for power to prepare sufficient information about these and the need for power to prepare the PES addendum. With complete cooperation it is expected that this addendum can be prepared in a very short time.

Sincerely,

Gordon K. Dicker, Chief Environmental Projects Branch 2 Directorate of Licensing

Duke Power Company
P. O. Box 2178
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201

DISTRIBUTION: AEC PDR (2) Local PDR JRMcWherter, ORNL EGStruxness, ORN&L Docket File (ENVIRON) RP Reading EP-2 Reading AGiam' sso, L:DDRP HDenton, L:ADSS DRMuller, L:ADEP R. C. DeYoung, L:ADPWRs GKDicker, L:EP-2 RJClark, L:EP-2 ASchwencer, L:PWR-4 IAPeltier, L:PWR-4 JGallo, OGC RLWade, L:EP-2 DRO (3)

POOR ORIGINAL

		L	Ir min 1 &	OGC	L:ADEP
OFFICE >	L:EP-2 RJClark:peb	CKP	Aschanger	SGallo	DRMuller
SURNAME >	2/9/73	2/9/73	21/1/23	2/ /73	2/ /73

TO AEC-318 (Rev. 9-53) AECM 0240