

September 26, 1972
Seneca, South Carolina

U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D.C. 20545

Attention: Deputy Director For Reactor Projects
Director of Licensing

Gentlemen:

The Benefit-Cost Comparison for the Oconee Nuclear Power Station in the Draft Detailed Statement on the Environmental Considerations listed in the Radiological column the following:

Gaseous Discharge	14	Man-rem	/year	/3units
Liquid	"	100	"	"
Solid Waste	"	5	"	"

The Final Environmental Statement listed in the Benefit-Cost Comparison for the Oconee Nuclear Power Station the following effect:

Gaseous Discharge	4	Man-rem	/year
Liquid	"	6	"

The reduction in radiological discharge is impressive and I am obviously curious how this was accomplished. Unfortunately I could not find a reference regarding this fact in the Final Environmental Statement. Would you be so kind and inform me where the reference material might be located?

I would appreciate your assistance.

Very truly yours,

Herbert Knohl
Herbert Knohl
Rt. 1 Box 282
Seneca, South Carolina
29678

8001080 900

8-c-303-382-2043