## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

@1101115/
DOCKETED TO
MAY 15 1974 > 19
S ORA
11101110

In the Matter of		V.	المالية المالية
DUKE POWER COMPANY	Docket Nos.		50-270A, 50-369A
(Oconee Units 1, 2 & 3 McGuire Units 1 & 2)		50-370A	

## ORDER ON MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

On May 6, 1974, the Intervenors in the above-captioned proceeding filed a Motion for Extension of Time. The Intervenors seek to postpone all procedural dates heretofore set in this proceeding by 60 days. The Board has carefully considered all the written and oral pleadings relating to this motion. The answer of the Department of Justice to Intervenors' motion was especially useful for its straightforward and detailed discussion of events.

In view of all such pleadings and the record to date, the Board hereby denies the motion for failure to show good cause, and for the following reasons:

(1) The Board concludes that the direct cases

of the Department of Justice and Intervenors

were not integrated. The Intervenors base their motion for extension of time primarily on the fact that the Department of Justice will not be presenting a direct case, and therefore this will act to the detriment of the Intervenors because of certain alleged commitments made by the Department of Justice. The Department persuasively controverted that any such commitments were made. For example, the Department of Justice, in its answer to said Intervenors' motion, states " ... We disagree with Intervenors' suggestion that the Department and Intervenors share a 'generally common interest' in this proceeding"; "... the Department does not represent the narrow interest of Intervenors in this proceeding"; "Intervenors' description of developments subsequent to their submission of tentative license conditions to the Department is incomplete and misleading"; "... the Department has never had an 'understanding' with Intervenors
that the settlement of the AEC and FPC cases
'formed an indivisible all-or-none arrangement'";
"... the Department never entered into an
agreement or understanding with the Intervenors
as to the sponsorship of all fact or lay witnesses"; "... There was certainly no agreement
to deny discovery materials to the Intervenors."

- (2) Over a period of approximately two years, the parties have engaged in extensive discovery, and have had adequate time and opportunity thereafter to prepare their direct cases.
- (3) Over the past several months during the course of telephone conference calls on resetting procedural dates the Intervenors have committed themselves to the Board and the parties as to their willingness and capability to proceed with their direct case on the particular dates heretofore scheduled.

- (4) Counsel for the Intervenors are not inexperienced, nor are they dealing with new matters. They have represented the same parties in several cases involving related interpretations of the antitrust laws and related factual contentions.
- (5) The Intervenors have known for the past several months of the settlement negotiations among the Department of Justice, the Applicant, and the Atomic Energy Commission Staff. Under these circumstances, reasonable prudence would seem to have required that the Intervenors prepare accordingly.

For the above reasons and for failure to show good cause, the motion for a 60-day extension of time is denied. Nevertheless, since the Intervenors claim they would not be prepared to proceed adequately on June 10, the Board grants an additional time of 15 days for Intervenors to complete their preparation.

Therefore the procedural dates heretofore fixed are

# hereby rescheduled as follows:

May 30, 1974	Final date in testimony	for submission o	£
June 5, 1974	Final date in	for submission o	£
June 12, 1974	Prehearing (	Conference	

June 25, 1974 - Evidentiary Session

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Joseph F. Tubridy, Member

George R. Hall, Member

Issued at Bethesda, Maryland, this 15th day of May 1974.

- 1809 - 1 Le. 5 5-14-74

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ATOMIC ENERGY CONDUSSION

In the Matter of

DUKE POWER COMPANY

(Oconee Nuclear Station, Units,)

2 & 3; McGuire Nuclear Station,)

Units 1 and 2)

Docket No. 50-269A, 270A,
50-287A, 369A, 370A

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon each person designated on the official service list compiled by the Office of the Secretary of the Commission in this proceeding in accordance with the requirements of Section 2.712 of 10 CFR Part 2 - Rules of Practice, of the Atomic Energy Commission's Rules and Regulations.

Dated at Washington, D. C. this 1577 day of 1974.

Office of the Secretary of the Commission

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

In the Matter of		
DUKE POWER COMPANY	Docket Nos. 5	0-269A 0-270A
(Oconee Nuclear Stations, Units ) 1, 2, and 3)		0-287A 0-369A
(McGuire Nuclear Station, Units ) 1 and 2)	5	0-370A

#### SERVICE LIST

John B. Farmakides, Esq., Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington, D. C. 20545

Mr. Joseph F. Tubridy 4100 Cathedral Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20016

William W. Ross, Esq.
Keith S. Watson, Esq.
Toni K. Golden, Esq.
Wald, Harkrader, Nicholson
and Ross
1320 19th Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

William H. Grigg, Esq., Vice President and General Counsel Duke Power Company P. O.Box 2178 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28201

William L. Porter, Esq.

Duke Power Company
P. O. Box 2178

422 South Church Street

Charlotte, North Carolina 28201

Dr. George R. Hall Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington, D. C. 20545 Carl Horn, Jr., Esq., Vice President and General Counsel Duke Power Company P. O. Box 2178 Charlotte, North Carolina 28201

Roy B. Snapp, Esq.
Bechhoefer, Snapp & Trippe
Suite 512
1801 K Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20006

Joseph Rutberg, Esq.
Regulatory Staff Counsel
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Mr. Abraham Braitman, Chief
Office of Antitrust and
Indemnity
Directorate of Licensing
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Benjamin H. Volger, Esq. Assistant Antitrust Cousnel Regulatory Staff Counsel U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington, D. C. 20545

Honorable Thomas E. Kauper Assistant Attorney General Antitrust Division U. 3. Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20530 Joseph J. Saunders, Esq., Chief Public Counsel and Legislative Section Antitrust Division U. S. Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20530

J. A. Bouknight, Jr., Esq.
J. O. Tally, Jr., Esq.
Tally and Tally
Home Federal Building
P. O. Drawer 1660
Fayetteville, North Carolina 28302

Wallace E. Brand, Esq. U. S. Department of Justice P. O. Box 7513 Washington, D. C. 20044

William T. Clabault, Esq. David A. Leckie, Esq. Antitrust Division P. O.Box 7513 Washington, D. C. 20044

Mr. H. W. Oetinger 2420 Rosewell Avenue, Apartment 503 Charlotte, North Carolina 28209 J. A. Bouknight, Jr., Esq. Tally and Tally 429 N Street, N. W. #S-311 Washington, D. C. 20024

Public Library of Charlotte and Mecklenburg County 310 North Tryon Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Miss Louise Marcum, Librarian Oconee County Library 301 South Spring Street Walhalla, South Carolina 29691

James D. Garrison, Esq.
David F. Stover, Esq.
Tally & Tally
P. O. Box 1660
Fayetteville, North Carolina 28302