

Duke Power Company  
Oconee 1

October 9, 1974

Ltr to Duke Power Company fm N. C. Moseley  
dtd: 10-9-74

RO Inspection Report No. 50-269/74-8


DISTRIBUTION

H. D. Thorburg, RO  
RO:HQ (5)  
DR Central Files ~~4-11~~  
Regulatory Standards  
Directorate of Licensing (13)  
\*PDR  
\*Local PDR  
\*NISC  
\*TIC  
\*State - South Carolina

\*To be dispatched at a later date

7911280 559

PW  
Q



UNITED STATES  
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION  
DIRECTORATE OF REGULATORY OPERATIONS  
REGION II - SUITE 918  
230 PEACHTREE STREET, NORTHWEST  
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

TELEPHONE (404) 526-4503

In Reply Refer To:  
SO:II:TWE  
50-269/74-8

OCT 9 1974

Duke Power Company  
ATTN: Mr. A. C. Thies  
Senior Vice President  
Production and Transmission  
Power Building  
422 South Church Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Gentlemen:

This refers to the inspection conducted by Mr. T. N. Epps of this office on September 17-20, 1974, of activities authorized by AEC Operating License No. DPR-38 for the Oconee 1 facility, and to the discussion of our findings held by Mr. Epps with Mr. J. E. Smith at the conclusion of the inspection.

Areas examined during the inspection and our findings are discussed in the enclosed inspection report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective examination of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector.

The results of our followup of previously identified matters are contained in the enclosed report as follows:

The status of unresolved items identified in previous inspection reports is discussed in Section IV, of the summary of the enclosed report.

The violation in Section I was corrected by Oconee site personnel during the inspection and no further response is requested.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the AEC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosed inspection report will be placed in the AEC's Public Document Room. If this report contains any information that you believe to be proprietary, it is necessary that you submit a written application to this office requesting that such information be withheld from public disclosure. If no proprietary information is identified, a written statement to that effect should be submitted. If an application is submitted, it must fully identify the bases for which information is claimed to be proprietary. The application should be prepared so that

OCT 9 1974

information sought to be withheld is incorporated in a separate paper and referenced in the application since the application will be placed in the Public Document Room. Your application, or written statement, should be submitted to us within 20 days. If we are not contacted as specified, the enclosed report and this letter may then be placed in the Public Document Room.

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, we will be glad to discuss them with you.

Very truly yours,



Norman C. Moseley  
Director

Enclosure:  
RO Inspection Report No.  
50-269/74-8