NRC FOR	M 366 U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
6.	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 8004290 482
	CONTROL BLOCK:
	N Y J A F 1 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 4 1 1 1 1 1 5 57 CAT 58 5
	REPORT L 6 0 5 0 0 3 3 3 7 0 13 2 7 8 0 8 0 4 2 5 8 0 9 SOURCE 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80
0 2	EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)
03	4.12.F revealed 350 seals missing or requiring resealing. No significant
0 4	hazard existed. See attachment for details.
0 5	
06	L
0 7	
	9
09	SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE CAUSE COMPONENT CODE COMPONENT CODE SUBCODE
	IT LER RO REPORT EVENT YEAR REPORT NO. CODE TYPE NO. IT REPORT I I I I I I NUMBER 21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
	ACTION FUTURE EFFECT SHUTDOWN HOURS 22 ATTACHMENT NPRD-4 PRIME COMP. COMPONENT TAKEN ACTION ON PLANT METHOD HOURS 22 ATTACHMENT PORM SUB. SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER X 18 Z 19 Z 20 Z 21 0 0 0 0 0 U Y 23 N 24 Z 25 Z 9 9 9 9 0 33 36 37 40 41 23 42 43 25 44 47 47
10	Initial installation missing in most cases. Fire watch was stationed until
1 1	Inew seals were installed. No additional action required. See attachment
1 2	for details.
1 3	
14	30
7 8	FACILITY STATUS S POWER OTHER STATUS 30 METHOD OF DISCOVERY DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 32 E (28) 0 7 9 (29) NA B (31) Surveillance Test
7 8	activity content Release AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 LOCATION OF RELEASE 36
1 6	LZ 33 Z 34 NA 44 45 NA 80
17	PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1 4	PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER 9 11 12 NA
110	LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY 43
7 8	9 10 PUBLICITY (45) SO NRC USE ONLY
20	
10.00	W Verne Childs 315-342-3840

POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

DOCKET NO. 50-333

ATTACHMENT TO LER 80-030/03L-0

Page 1 of 1

During normal operation while conducting operations surveillance test F-ST-76L, titled "Electrical Fire Barrier Penetration Seals Visual Inspection," to satisfy the requirements of Technical Specifications Paragraph 4.12.F, 350 fire barrier penetration seals were found to be either missing or requiring resealing. The actual inspection of the more than 6000 penetrations and corrective action extended over a period of several weeks. In each case, as the need for resealing of a penetration was identified, the personnel performing the inspection acted as the fire watch required by Technical Specifications, until the corrective action was complete. The event did not represent a significant hazard to the public health and safety.

A review of documentation related to the requirements for sealing of electrical penetrations indicates that sealing was not required by the NRC at the time the operating license was issued. Subsequent to the issue of the operating license, and following the 1975 fire at the Browns Ferry Plant, a procedure for sealing penetrations was developed and implemented. It is believed that during this post-construction installation, the above noted missing seals were not properly installed. In most cases the missing seals were not located directly at the fire barrier (wall or floor) but were located a number of feet from the penetration at the end of an electrical conduit which penetrates the fire barrier and travels some distance beyond to a termination adjacent to a cable tray. This physical separation probably resulted in the installation error. Since the inspection is now complete and the seals installed, no additional corrective action is required.