

FROM: **Florida Power Corp.
St. Petersburg, Fla.
(J. T. Rodgers)**

DATE OF DOCUMENT: **3-25-68** DATE RECEIVED: **3-25-68** NO.:

TO: **Dr. Morris**

LTR.: MEMO: REPORT: OTHER:
x (NOTARIZED 3-25-68)

ORIG.: CC: OTHER:
3 & 19 conf'd cys. rec'd

ACTION NECESSARY CONCURRENCE DATE ANSWERED:
NO ACTION NECESSARY COMMENT BY:

CLASSIF.: **U** POST OFFICE REG. NO.:

FILE CODE: **DOCKET: 50-302 and -303**

DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)
**Ltr. withdrawing their 8-10-67 appl.
for the Crystal River Unit No. 4
only.....**

REFERRED TO	DATE	RECEIVED BY	DATE
	Long: 3-26		
	w/8cys. --	FOR ACTION	
Info Copies to:			
H. Price & Staff		Newell	
Dr. Morris		DeYoung (2)	
Boyd		Saltzman	
Shewholt/Kelly		D. Thompson	
Dube/Levine			
Rosen			
Moore			

ENCLOSURES:

REMARKS: **Distribution: 1-formal file
2-suppl. file
2-compliance
2-PDR 1-OGC**



DO NOT REMOVE 1062

POOR ORIGINAL

8008 240 846

A

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION

ST. PETERSBURG FLORIDA



March 25, 1968

Dr. Peter A. Morris, Director
Division of Reactor Licensing
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Re: Florida Power Corporation
Crystal River Plant, Units 3 & 4
Dockets #50-302 and 50-303

Regulatory Suppl File Cy.

Dear Dr. Morris:

On August 10, 1967, the Florida Power Corporation made application for necessary licenses (including a construction permit) to construct and operate a Nuclear Generating Plant to be known as Crystal River Units 3 and 4. Such nuclear facility will be operated as an integral part of our total operating system.

This letter is to advise the AEC that from our evaluation of predicted future system load growth Florida Power Corporation has concluded that construction of Crystal River Unit No. 4 for operation in 1974 would not constitute a prudent investment in generating capability.

In accord with this decision, the Florida Power Corporation as of Monday, March 25, 1968, withdraws its application for Crystal River Unit No. 4 (Docket 50-303).

In the immediate future the application for Crystal River Nuclear Generating Plant will be amended to reflect the removal of No. 4 unit from the plant design and show the extent which the deletion of Unit No. 4 affects Unit No. 3 (Docket 50-302).

Sincerely yours,

J. T. Rodgers
Nuclear Project Engineer



1062 ✓

